Exhibit W

```
1
        IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
         FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
3
  KIMBERLEE WILLIAMS,
4
   et al.,
5
        PLAINTIFFS.
6
                     CIVIL ACTION
      VS.
                    NO. 11-CV-01754
7
   BASF CATALYSTS LLC,
  et al.,
9
       DEFENDANTS.
10
11
     THE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF MARILYN HOLLEY
12
           WEDNESDAY, APRIL 5, 2017
13
14
      The videotaped deposition of MARILYN HOLLEY,
15
    called by the Defendants for examination pursuant
   to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, taken
16
17
    before me, the undersigned, Sarah R. Drown, Notary
18
   Public within and for the State of Ohio, taken at
19
   the offices of Thompson Hine LLP, 3900 Key Center,
20
    127 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio, commencing at
21
    10:01 a.m., the day and date above set forth.
22
23
24
25
```

Pages 2..5

APPEARANCES 1		MAKILIIN E	10111111	- 04/03/201/	Pages 25
2	1 A		Page 2	1 WITNESS INDEV	Page 4
3 On behalf of the Planistriffs:		FFEARANCES.			
Jared M. Pitterfells & Bos	3				
Combined	4				
127 Maple Avenue 1 Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 1 Roof Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 2 Roof Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 3 Roof Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 3 Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 3 Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 4 Roof Bank, New Jersey 07701 5 RYMS, FELDS	5				
Selection		127 Maple Avenue			
Morber 8 per pelaw com	6				
Jampiaciella@cpriany.com Examination 199 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	7				
Section					
Description					
Eugene F. Assard, Esq. Peter A. Farrell, Esq. Peter A. Farrell, Esq. Peter A. Farrell, Esq. Kirkdand & Blist LLP School (2023 Par 9500) 10 Defendants' Exhibit 1	5	On behalf of the Defendant			
Peter A Farrell, ESQ, Elizabert Dalmut, ESQ; 9 9 10 Defendants Exhibit 1					
	11				
255 Fitnerith Street, Northwest, Suite 1200 Washington, D. C. 20005 11 Defendants' Exhibit 1	12	Elizabeth Dalmut, Esq.			
Washington, D.C. 20005	40				
14 (202) 879-5000 1 Defendants' Exhibit 3	13				
Peter familial (Rikrikand.com 13 Defendants Exhibit 4, 87	14	(202) 879-5000			
Elizabeth.dalmut@kirkland.com	15				
	13				
10					
Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP, Howard G. (Peter) Sloane, 17 Defendants Exhibit 8	1/	On hehalf of the Defendants			
Howard G. (Peter) Sloane, 17 Defendants Exhibit 8	18	Cahill Gordon & Reindel LLP,			
Defendants Exhibit 10	40				
Grant Geyerman, Esq. Williams & Connolly, LLP 725 Twelfth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) 434-5000 Cifelds@wc.com Ggeyerman@wc.com 22 Defendants' Exhibit 12. 23 Defendants' Exhibit 15. 36 Defendants' Exhibit 16. 37 Defendants' Exhibit 16. 38 Defendants' Exhibit 17. 39 Defendants' Exhibit 17. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 17. 31 Thomas D. Halket: 30 Defendants' Exhibit 17. 31 Thomas D. Halket: 31 Defendants' Exhibit 18. 32 Defendants' Exhibit 18. 33 Thomas D. Halket: 34 Defendants' Exhibit 19. 35 Defendants' Exhibit 18. 36 Defendants' Exhibit 18. 37 Defendants' Exhibit 19. 38 Defendants' Exhibit 20. 39 Defendants' Exhibit 20. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 20. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 21. 31 Defendants' Exhibit 22. 31 Defendants' Exhibit 22. 32 Defendants' Exhibit 23. 31 Defendants' Exhibit 23. 32 Defendants' Exhibit 23. 33 Defendants' Exhibit 24. 34 Defendants' Exhibit 25. 35 Defendants' Exhibit 26. 36 Defendants' Exhibit 27. 37 Defendants' Exhibit 27. 38 Defendants' Exhibit 27. 39 Defendants' Exhibit 28. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 31 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 32 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 33 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 34 Southern Boulevard 35 Defendants' Exhibit 27. 36 Defendants' Exhibit 29. 37 Defendants' Exhibit 30. 38 Defendants' Exhibit 30. 39 Defendants' Exhibit 30. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 30. 30 Defendants' Exhibit 30. 31 Defend					
2725 Twelfth Street, N.W.					
22	21				
2021 434-5000 203	22				
Ggeyerman@wc.com 24 Defendants' Exhibit 15		(202) 434-5000			
25 Defendants' Exhibit 16	23				
Page 3 APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 1	24	Ggeyerman @ wc.com			
APPEARANCES CONTINUED: 1 Defendants' Exhibit 17	25			25 Defendants Exhibit 16 139	
2			Page 3		Page 5
On behalf of the Defendant Thomas D. Halket: Thomas D. Halket: Eric Tunis, Esq. (Via phone) Herold Law, PA 5		PPEARANCES CONTINUED:		1 Defendants' Exhibit 17 140	
3 Thomas D. Halket: 3 Defendants' Exhibit 19	2	On behalf of the Defendant		2 Defendants' Exhibit 18 141	
Herold Law, PA 25 Independence Boulevard 5 Defendants' Exhibit 22	3			3 Defendants' Exhibit 19 141	
5 25 Independence Boulevard Warren, New Jersey 07059 5 Defendants' Exhibit 22	4	Eric Tunis, Esq. (Via phone)		4 Defendants' Exhibit 20 141	
Warren, New Jersey 07059 6 Defendants' Exhibit 23	_			5 Defendants' Exhibit 22 142	
6	5				
Etunis@heroldlaw.com 7	6			6 Defendants' Exhibit 23 142	
8 On behalf of the Defendant 9 Defendants' Exhibit 26	Ü			7 Defendants' Exhibit 24 143	
On behalf of the Defendant Arthur Dornbusch: Kevin H. Marino, Esq. (Via phone) John A. Boyle, Esq. (Via phone) 11 Marino, Tortorella & Boyle PC 437 Southern Boulevard 12 Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 (973) 824-9300 14 Defendants' Exhibit 29	7			8 Defendants' Exhibit 25 143	
9 Arthur Dornbusch: 10 Kevin H. Marino, Esq. (Via phone) 11 Marino, Tortorella & Boyle PC 437 Southern Boulevard 12 Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 (973) 824-9300 13 Kmarino@khmarino.com 14 Defendants' Exhibit 30	8			9 Defendants' Exhibit 26 143	
10 Kevin H. Marino, Esq. (Via phone)	۵				
John A. Boyle, Esq. (Via phone) 11					
11 Marino, Tortorella & Boyle PC					
12 Chatham Township, New Jersey 07928 (973) 824-9300 13 Kmarino@khmarino.com	11			12 Defendants' Exhibit 29 143	
(973) 824-9300 14 Defendants' Exhibit 31				13 Defendants' Exhibit 30 144	
13 Kmarino@khmarino.com Jboyle@khmarino.com 14 16 Defendants' Exhibit 32	12	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		14 Defendants' Exhibit 31 144	
Jboyle@khmarino.com 14 15 15 ALSO PRESENT: 16 Alex Cook, Videographer 17 18 19 19 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	13	· ·			
14 16 Defendants' Exhibit 33					
ALSO PRESENT: 18 Defendants' Exhibit 35	14	•			
16 Alex Cook, Videographer 19 Defendants' Exhibit 36		00 00505117		17 Defendants' Exhibit 34 145	
Alex Cook, Videographer 19 Defendants' Exhibit 36		LSO PRESENT:		18 Defendants' Exhibit 35 145	
17 18 19 20 Defendants' Exhibit 37	10	Alex Cook Videographer		19 Defendants' Exhibit 36 145	
18 19 21 Defendants' Exhibit 38	17	Seek, videographor		20 Defendants' Exhibit 37 146	
20 22 23 24 24					
21 22 23 24					
22 23 24				22	
23				23	
24				24	
1.95				- · 25	
25	25				

Page 6 Page 8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're on the 1 Q Do you understand you're a class representative 2 record. This is the beginning of the video 2 in a lawsuit? 3 recorded deposition of Marilyn Holley in the 3 A Yes. 4 matter of Kimberlee Williams, et al. versus 4 Q What's your understanding of your obligations 5 as a class representative in this case? 5 BASF Catalysts LLC, et al. in the United States 6 District Court for the District of New Jersey, 6 A I represent the estate of my mother, Kathryn 7 civil action number 11-CV-01754. 7 Damell, and the other class members. 8 Q And who are the other class members, if you The time on the video monitor is 10:01. 9 Today's date is April 5, 2017. The video 9 know? 10 operator today is Alex Cook. 10 A I don't know them by name, no. Will counsel please identify yourselves 11 Q Do you know who they are? 12 A Yes. 12 and who you represent. 13 MR. COREN: Good day. I'm 13 Q Who are they? 14 Michael Coren. I'm representing the plaintiffs 14 A There are other defendants in this matter. I 15 in the Williams case, including Ms. Marilyn mean other plaintiffs in this matter. 16 Holley who is present here today. 16 Q And do you know how many of them there are? 17 MR. PLACITELLA: Jared 17 A No, I don't know how many. 18 Placitella for the plaintiffs. 18 Q Do you know where they are? MR. ASSAF: Gene Assaf for 19 A No. 20 defendant BASF. 20 Q Do you know what the circumstances are to make 21 MR. FARRELL: Peter Farrell 21 them class members? 22 for BASF. 22 A To the best of my knowledge, they're class 23 MS. DALMUT: members because they were also harmed in this Elizabeth 24 Dalmut for BASF. 24 matter. 25 MS. FIELDS: Cassandra 25 Q Were you harmed in this matter? Page 7 Page 9 Fields for Cahill Gordon and the individual 1 A By way of my mother. 2 defendants from Cahill Gordon. 2 Q And would you describe for me how you believe 3 MR. GEYERMAN: Grant Geyerman, 3 you or your mother were harmed. William & Connolly, for Cahill Gordon & 4 A My mother worked at BFGoodrich in Akron, Ohio, 5 Reindel, Peter Sloane, Ira Dembrow. 5 where she was exposed to asbestos. And she is 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court 6 a plaintiff in this matter and I represent the 7 reporter today is Sarah Drown. 7 estate. 8 Will the reporter please swear in --8 Q Do you think that she was exposed to talc? 9 MR. TUNIS: Eric Tunis --10 10 Q What's your factual basis to believe that? excuse me. I assume we're supposed to put our appearances in. Eric Tunis on behalf of Thomas 11 A Just by the fact that she worked there and what 11 12 Halket. 12 she said. 13 MR. MARINO: Kevin Marino 13 Q What she said to you? 14 and John Boyle by telephone on behalf of Arthur 14 A Yes. 15 Dombusch. 15 Q When did she tell you that she was exposed to 16 MARILYN HOLLEY 16 17 of lawful age, called by the Defendants for 17 A Just in her description of the job and what she 18 examination pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil 18 19 Procedure, having been first duly sworn, as 19 Q But did she tell you specifically -- withdrawn. 20 hereinafter certified, was examined and testified 20 I understand she told you she was exposed 21 as follows: 21 to asbestos. 22 **EXAMINATION OF MARILYN HOLLEY** 22 A Right. 23 BY MR. ASSAF: 23 Q We're going to get to her deposition later on. 24 Q Good morning, Ms. Holley. 24 A Okay. 25 A Good morning. 25 Q Have you read her deposition?

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

Pages 6..9

Pages 10..13

MARILIN		04/05/2017 Pa	ages	1013
1 A I have not read her deposition. I was present	Page 10	1 A I'm not saying that she was told that talc was		Page 12
2 at her deposition, one of them, yes.		2 harmful, what I'm saying is with the defendants		
3 Q And do you recall that she testified that she		that that matter, as far as talc being harmful,		
4 was exposed to asbestos?		4 that would have been discussed with her by the		
5 A I don't recall exactly what was said.		5 attorneys.		
6 Q Do you recall anything about her discussion of		6 Q Okay.		
7 talc at the deposition?		7 A And I was not privy to that conversation.		
8 A No, I can't say that I do.		8 Q Okay. So let's leave your mother's discussions		
9 Q Apart from the deposition, do you recall her		9 with her attorneys aside. I'll come back to		
10 discussion of talc with you? Not asbestos,		10 that in a second.		
11 talc.		11 A Okay.		
12 A I don't recall. I'm not saying that she		12 Q Other than your mother's discussions with		
13 didn't, but I don't recall.		13 attorneys, do you have any basis to believe as		
14 Q As we sit here today, you don't recall any		14 to how your mother was harmed by talc? Other		
15 discussions of talc with her?		15 than what your mother and the attorneys		
16 A I don't recall.		16 discussed.		
17 Q And with respect to conversations with your		17 A Other than what was presented in the complain	nt,	
18 mother, do you ever did you ever discuss a		18 then I have no personal knowledge.		
19 company called R.T. Vanderbilt?		19 Q And we're going to get to the complaint and		
20 A No.		what personal knowledge you have of the		
21 Q Did you ever discuss a company called Emtal?		21 complaint in a second.		
22 A No.		22 But in terms of your harms or your		
23 Q Did you ever discuss a company called		23 mother's harms, you mentioned that she was		
24 Engelhard?		24 harmed because she was told that talc was		
25 A No.		25 harmful. Is that what you said?		
	_	·		
1 Q Did you ever discuss a company called BASF?	Page 11	1 A Yes. That's what I said.		Page 13
2 A No.		2 Q Okay. And if she were told talc was harmful by		
3 Q Do you think that your mother was exposed to		3 her attorney or somebody else, how, then, was		
l		4 she harmed and why is it she should get money		
products made by BASF? A I would have no way of knowing that.				
6 Q Do you think she was exposed to products made		6 A She was harmed because she developed		
7 by Engelhard?		7 mesothelioma, which you can only get by		
8 A I don't know that personally either.		8 asbestos exposure.		
9 Q In terms of your role as class representative,		9 Q Do you think that this lawsuit, the Williams		
10 what do you want out of the case?		10 lawsuit, the reason you're here, is a case to		
11 A What we want from the case is for the Court to		11 compensate for her asbestos injuries?		
12 recognize that there was fraud and deceit in		12 A I don't think that I could answer that		
13 what was told to us about talc.		13 question.		
14 Q And what was told to you about talc?		14 Q Do you think that the harm that you're seeking		
15 A In this particular instance we were told		money for is because of your mother's asbestos		
16 that that it was harmful to my mom and other		16 injuries?		
17 class members by way of their employment.		17 A Yes.		
18 Q Your mother was told that talc was harmful?		18 Q Are you seeking money for any other reason		
19 A Based upon what I know of this case, and my		19 other than the asbestos injuries?		
20 mother was the one who did the initial		20 MR. COREN: Objection to		
21 conversations with the attorneys, but based		21 form.		
22 upon what I have been told, yes, I do believe		22 Q You can answer.		
23 that.		23 THE WITNESS: I can answer?		
24 Q That your mother was told that talc was				
·		24 A Repeat the question.		
25 harmful?		24 A Repeat the question.25 Q Sure. Other than seeking money for asbestos		

Pages 14..17

MARILIN HOLI	_
Page 1	
injuries in this case, are you seeking moneyfor any other reason in this case?	
•	2 compensation for? 3 A Would you clarify that?
3 A Because of fraudulent representation by the	
4 company.	4 Q Sure. Other than the asbestos injury to your
5 Q And what fraudulent representations are you	5 mother and other than the fraud that Mr. Bevan
6 seeking compensation for?	6 knows about
7 A Because the company represented that there was	7 A Right.
8 no asbestos in the talc.	8 Q - are you seeking compensation for any other
9 Q What company represented that?	9 harm?
10 A At this point I guess it would be referred to	10 A I'm not certain of that. I'm not certain of
11 as BASF or Engelhard.	11 that question.
12 Q When did that representation occur?	12 Q Okay. Fair enough.
13 A I don't have those dates.	13 A Okay.
14 Q To whom was that representation made?	14 Q Okay. So you're seeking compensation for the
15 A To to my mom and other plaintiffs.	15 asbestos injuries to your mother?
16 Q When did excuse me.	16 A Right.
17 Who made the representation to your	17 Q True?
18 mother and other plaintiffs?	18 A Right.
19 A I would not know that, because those were	19 Q You're seeking compensation for the allegedly
20 conversations that my mother had with her	20 fraudulent statements that Mr. Bevan knows
21 attorneys.	21 about, true?
22 Q Did your mother withdrawn.	22 A True.
23 Other than the conversations with	23 Q Are you seeking compensation for anything else?
24 between your mother and her attorneys, do you	24 A I don't know what anything else would be.
	25 Q In this lawsuit, as we sit here today
25 have any personal knowledge of representations	25 Q III ti iis lawsuit, as we sit here today
Page 1	
being made to your mother about talc?	1 A Okay.
2 A I have no personal knowledge of that.	2 Q - you're going to be asking for money,
3 Q The only knowledge you have is from Mr. Bevan	3 correct?
4 regarding what was said or not said to your	4 A Correct.
5 mother, true?	5 Q Okay. And you would like money for the
6 A Correct.	6 asbestos injuries, correct?
7 Q So you're relying on Mr. Bevan as the source	7 A Yes.
8 for the facts in this lawsuit, fair?	8 Q And you would like money for the false
9 A I'd say fair based upon the complaint filed,	9 statements known about by Mr. Bevan, correct?
10 yes.	10 A Yes.
11 Q Did in terms of the harms you mentioned	11 Q Would you like money for anything else?
12 that you'd like to be compensated for the harms	12 A I really
13 caused by asbestos, true?	13 MR. COREN: Objection to
14 A The harms caused by asbestos and the	14 form.
15 representation by the company.	15 Go ahead.
16 Q So you would like to be compensated for the	16 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Okay.
17 harms caused by asbestos and by the	17 Q Would you like money for anything else?
18 representations by the company, and these	18 A Treally would not know how to answer that
19 representations were the – are the	19 question. That's not clear to me.
•	
20 representations that you know through	20 Q Okay. Are there any other injuries as you sit21 here today that you can identify for me, other
24 Mr Dayon foir?	LA LINE TO TRAIN TO SELVEN LOS DE LOS DELOS DE LOS DELOS DE LOS DELOS DE LOS DELOS DEL
•	
22 A Fair.	22 than the asbestos injury and the alleged injury
22 A Fair.23 Q In terms of the harms, other than compensation	than the asbestos injury and the alleged injuryof fraud through Mr. Bevan, statements made to
22 A Fair.	22 than the asbestos injury and the alleged injury

Pages 18..21

MARILIN HOLLE	
Page 18	
1 anything else asked for that that would be	1 A That he is the attorney that represents us in
2 clarified by my attorneys. I'm not the legal	2 the asbestos case and the defendants therein.
3 representative.	3 Q In any asbestos case?
4 Q But you're a class representative.	4 A Yes.
5 A I'm a class representative.	5 Q Have you sought compensation for asbestos
6 Q So I'm just asking you as you sit here today -	6 injuries from companies other than BASF?
7 withdrawn.	7 A Yes, we have.
8 You prepared for this deposition,	8 Q How many cases?
9 correct?	9 A There's one case, multiple defendants.
10 A Correct.	10 Q Multiple defendants. How many defendants?
11 Q Did you meet with your attorneys yesterday?	11 A I'm not sure. There are a number of.
12 A Yes, I did.	12 Q Order of magnitude, three or three, four or
13 Q Who was present?	13 five, more than 10? More than 20?
14 A Myself and Attomey Coren.	14 A More than 20.
15 Q Okay. Was Mr. Bevan present?	15 Q And have you more than 30?
16 A No, he was not present during that time.	16 A I'm not sure.
17 Q Okay. In preparation for your deposition	17 Q Does 98 sound right?
18 today, have you spoken to Mr. Bevan?	18 A I really don't know
19 A I spoke to him in greeting him yesterday at the	19 Q Okay.
20 office.	20 A - how many defendants there were.
21 Q And did you speak to him regarding any of the	21 Q Okay. Would you disagree with the number 98?
22 facts in this case in preparation for your	22 MR. COREN: Objection as to
23 deposition?	23 form.
24 A No, I did not.	24 A Not being sure how many defendants there are, I
25 Q By the way, do you have a fee agreement with	25 can't agree or disagree.
Page 19 1 Mr. Coren or Mr. Placitella's firm?	Page 2 1 Q Okay. And have you received compensation from
2 A I don't recall that. Our family is represented	2 other defendants for asbestos-related injuries?
3 by Tom Bevan and his associates, so I don't	3 A Yes.
4 recall that. I think that's taken care of in	4 Q From how many defendants?
5 our relationship with him.	-
6 Q That as a class representative you have a	6 Q Order of magnitude, two or three or more than
7 relationship with Mr. Bevan?	7 20?
8 A Right.	8 A More than 20.
9 Q And you any relationship, then, with the	9 Q More than 20?
10 Williams lawyers would be through Mr. Bevan?	10 A More than 20.
11 A It's through Mr. Bevan.	11 Q And have you received compensation in excess
12 Q And you don't know any of the details of that?	12 of, say, \$250,000?
13 A I'm not aware of the details of that.	13 MR. COREN: Objection.
14 Q Were you ever given a written fee agreement	14 I'll instruct her not to answer that.
15 outlining what the compensation for	15 MR. ASSAF: Grounds?
16 A I don't recall getting a fee agreement.	16 Grounds?
17 Q Well, what's your understanding of what the fee	17 MR. COREN: The grounds
18 agreement is in this case?	18 are – one, it's, as we have maintained to you,
19 A I don't have a particular understanding of the	19 it's totally irrelevant. And in the various
20 fee agreement in this case, all I know is that	20 filings in front of –
21 our estate is represented by Tom Bevan.	21 MR. ASSAF: Just – Mike,
22 Q You mentioned you had your family and the	22 is it attorney-client privilege or is it some
23 estate has a relationship with Mr. Bevan.	23 other ground? No speaking objections, please.
24 A Yes.	24 MR. COREN: You asked me
25 Q What do you mean by that?	25 the grounds
ZS CJ VVNALOO VOU MEAN DV INAL?	

Pages 22..25

	MAKILIN		- 04/05/2017 Pages	
1	MR. ASSAF: Grounds.	Page 22	1 Q You signed confidentiality with the trust?	Page 24
2	MR. COREN: - and I gave		2 A I can't say for sure whether or not it was with	
	you the		3 the trust, but Attorney Bevan's office with	
4	MR. ASSAF: Under the		4 settlements provided me as fiduciary of the	
	federal rules		5 estate releases to sign.	
6	MR. COREN: Now you don't		6 Q And are they releases vis-a-vis Mr. Bevan and	
	want me to give you the grounds.		7 the estate?	
8	MR. ASSAF: No, under the		8 A Yes.	
	federal rules you can instruct a witness not to		9 Q Okay. And Mr. Bevan's your attorney, correct?	
	answer for either attorney-client privilege or		10 A Mr. Bevan is our attorney.	
	some other reason succinctly stated. If you're		11 Q And so the confidentiality agreement between	
	going to make a speaking objection, I'm going		12 you and Mr. Bevan and the estate, you could	
	to ask that the witness be excused.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
13 14	So are you basing it on privilege or some		13 change that if you wanted to, correct? You're14 the client.	
	other reason under the federal rules of		14 the client. 15 A Treally Treally can't say that.	
	evidence?		16 Q Okay. So other than the confidentiality with	
17			17 Mr. Bevan, are you aware of any other	
	MR. COREN: I'm basing it on confidentiality and for the reasons that we		17 Ivir. Bevari, are you aware or any other 18 confidentiality agreement prohibiting you from	
	set forth in the various submissions to Judge		19 discussing settlements?	
	Dickson.		20 A Not that I can personally recall.	
20 21	MR. ASSAF: So it's not a		21 Q Whose idea was it for you to become a class	
	privileged instruction, it's a confidentiality		22 representative in this case?	
	instruction?		23 A Tam a class representative in this case based	
23 24	MR. COREN: That, as well		24 upon being fiduciary of my mother's estate.	
	,		24 upon being liduciary of my morner's estate. 25 Q But I'm saying how did you even find out about	
	as relevance too, but yes.		20 Q Duli iii sayiiig now did you even iii la oul aboul	
1	MR. ASSAF: You're	Page 23	1 this case?	Page 25
			2 A Through our attorneys.	
2	instructing a witness not to answer because of relevance?		2 A Through Mr. Bevan?	
ა 4	MR. COREN: It's the same		4 A Right.	
5	issues that we had in the answers to		-	
5 6	interrogatories and it's the same issue that's		Q This is the same Mr. Bevan who has knowledge ofany facts regarding talc and representations	
7	before Judge Dickson that's pending on the		7 made to your mother, correct?	
8	issue of settlements. It's been very		8 MR. COREN: Objection to	
	issue of settlethenis. Its been very		a man a marin value (alabitat)	
9	extensively briefed			
10	extensively briefed.		9 form.	
	As we said, you may know that there are		9 form. 10 A Correct.	
11	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of		9 form.10 A Correct.11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this	
11 12	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no.		 9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 	
	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I		 9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 	
11 12 13 14	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents.		 9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 	
11 12 13 14 15	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts,		 9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 	
11 12 13 14 15 16	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct?		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case?	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes.		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that?	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in there has been truthful, correct?		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates. 20 Q At the time Mr. Bevan spoke to you, did you	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in there has been truthful, correct? A Truth — excuse me. Truthful and to the best		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates. 20 Q At the time Mr. Bevan spoke to you, did you 11 have any facts regarding Emtal, Engelhard,	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in there has been truthful, correct? A Truth – excuse me. Truthful and to the best of my knowledge, confidential.		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates. 20 Q At the time Mr. Bevan spoke to you, did you 12 have any facts regarding Emtal, Engelhard, 22 BASF, or Cahill Gordon? Had you ever even	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in there has been truthful, correct? A Truth — excuse me. Truthful and to the best of my knowledge, confidential. Q Did you ever sign a confidentiality agreement?		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates. 20 Q At the time Mr. Bevan spoke to you, did you 10 have any facts regarding Emtal, Engelhard, 21 BASF, or Cahill Gordon? Had you ever even 18 heard of them?	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	As we said, you may know that there are settlements, but as to nature and the amount of settlements, no. Q We'll get to the amounts later, because I actually have some of the documents. You have submitted documents to trusts, correct? A To the trust, from what I understand, yes. Q Correct. And, to your knowledge, have they been truthful applications? The information in there has been truthful, correct? A Truth – excuse me. Truthful and to the best of my knowledge, confidential.		9 form. 10 A Correct. 11 Q And the same Mr. Bevan who has this 12 confidentiality agreement with you, correct? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And he's the one who first told you about the 15 possibility of being a plaintiff in this 16 Williams case? 17 A Yes. 18 Q When was that? 19 A I don't have particular dates. 20 Q At the time Mr. Bevan spoke to you, did you 12 have any facts regarding Emtal, Engelhard, 22 BASF, or Cahill Gordon? Had you ever even	

Pages 26..29

I-IAICILIII IIOLILI	1 01/05/2017 14965	
Page 26 1 Q In this case as a class representative, would	1 an incentive award or a bonus for being a class	Page 28
2 you like money from the defendants?	2 representative?	
3 A Yes.	3 A No.	
4 Q Other than money, do you want anything else?	4 Q Do you know withdrawn.	
5 A I would like it to be clarified for my mom and		
6 other class members that this particular	6 spoken to any people you believe who are	
7 company was not truthful in its representation	7 members of the class?	
8 as to their product and the effect of their	8 A In a meeting at Attorney Bevan's office there	
9 product on my mother and other class members.	9 were several of us present. I don't remember	
10 Q You said the company was not truthful as to its	10 their names, but I think there were three or	
11 product?	11 four other representatives of the class at that	
12 A As to the harm its product could do.	12 meeting.	
13 Q When you say the company was not truthful as to	13 Q And did they all have a prior relationship with	
14 the harm the product can do, your basis for	14 Mr. Bevan?	
15 that is again Mr. Bevan, correct? You have no	15 MR. COREN: Objection.	
16 independent personal knowledge?	16 I instruct you to the answer to the	
17 A I have no independent personal knowledge, but	17 extent your answer relies on advice of counsel	
18 based upon the allegations contained in the	18 you're not to answer, but if you have your own	
19 complaint, I'm privy by that.	19 personal basis or knowledge of that, then you	
20 Q Other than what's written in the complaint and	20 can respond.	
21 what Mr. Bevan has told you, you have no	21 MR. ASSAF: As to whether a	
22 independent personal knowledge of the company	22 person is represented by an attorney and she	
23 doing anything wrong, correct?	23 knows that? That's legal advice?	
24 A If you put it that way, I would say I do I	24 MR. COREN: It depends how	
do not have any independent personal knowledge.	25 she learns it, Gene.	
Page 27		Page 29
1 Q And so in terms of the harms and letting people	1 MR. ASSAF: It's a fact.	Ü
2 know about the harms, is that your idea or is	2 Are you instructing her not to answer?	
3 that Mr. Bevan's idea?	3 MR. COREN: No. I'm saying	
4 MR. COREN: Objection. And	4 if she has independent knowledge she could	
5 I'm going to instruct her not to extent not	5 answer. If she doesn't	
6 to angular to the autont the angular ralice upon		
6 to answer to the extent the answer relies upon	6 MR. ASSAF: It's a fact.	
·	6 MR. ASSAF: It's a fact. 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact	
7 advice of counsel.		
7 advice of counsel.8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not	
 7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 	
 advice of counsel. However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer the question without incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, please respond. 	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 	
 advice of counsel. However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer the question without incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, please respond. A I can't answer without revealing advice of counsel. 	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms?	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again,	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were?	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you	 7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have — do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams?	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel,	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, 20 you can respond.	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't 20 know them personally. They were probably	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, 20 you can respond. 21 Q Can you answer?	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have — do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't 20 know them personally. They were probably 21 identified at that meeting, but I don't	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, 20 you can respond. 21 Q Can you answer? 22 A I cannot answer, because I believe that that's	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't 20 know them personally. They were probably 21 identified at that meeting, but I don't 22 remember their names.	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, 20 you can respond. 21 Q Can you answer? 22 A I cannot answer, because I believe that that's 23 attorney-client privilege.	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have — do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't 20 know them personally. They were probably 21 identified at that meeting, but I don't 22 remember their names. 23 Q How long did this meeting occur?	
7 advice of counsel. 8 However, Ms. Holley, if you can answer 9 the question without incorporating or revealing 10 advice of counsel, please respond. 11 A I can't answer without revealing advice of 12 counsel. 13 Q Mr. Bevan gave you advice as to letting other 14 people know about the harms? 15 MR. COREN: Once again, 16 same instruction. To the extent your answer 17 relies upon advice of counsel, we instruct you 18 not to answer. If you can answer without 19 incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, 20 you can respond. 21 Q Can you answer? 22 A I cannot answer, because I believe that that's	7 Whether somebody's represented or not is a fact 8 that you put even on a privilege log. It's not 9 revealing advice of counsel. 10 A Repeat the question. 11 Q Sure. Do you have – do you know whether the 12 other people at this meeting with Mr. Bevan 13 also had prior relationships with him for other 14 asbestos cases? 15 A I would not have that information. 16 Q Do you know who they were? 17 A I don't recall who they were. 18 Q Well, were they Kimberlee Williams? 19 A I know there are other plaintiffs, but I don't 20 know them personally. They were probably 21 identified at that meeting, but I don't 22 remember their names.	

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 30..33 Page 30 Page 32 1 A - or how long was the meeting? attornevs? 2 Q How long ago. 2 A They were personal notes for me. There was --3 A Several years. 3 there was nothing -- to the best of my 4 Q Before the filing of the complaint? 4 knowledge, there was nothing in my notes to 5 A I believe the first meeting was before the 5 give to the attorney. 6 filing of the complaint, but I can't state 6 Q Have your attorneys ever asked you for notes 7 unequivocally. 7 relating in any way to this case? 8 Q Was Mr. Placitella or anybody from 8 A No, they have not. 9 Mr. Placitella's firm at that meeting? 9 Q Really? They haven't asked you for any 10 A Yes. 10 documents you have that relate to this 11 Q Was Mr. Placitella there? 11 complaint or the allegations in this case? 12 A I'm not certain I understand your question. 12 A I don't recall. 13 Q How long did the meeting take place? How long 13 Q Okay. Have your attorneys come to you and 14 did it go? 14 said, "Ms. Holley, we'd like you to search your 15 A About an hour or two. 15 notes and files and computer to see whether 16 Q Without revealing what was said, did 16 there are any documents that relate to this 17 Mr. Placitella make a presentation at that 17 case"? Have they asked you that in words or in 18 substance? 19 A I don't know if it was him specifically, but 19 A I can't say for sure. 20 someone from the law firm did. 20 Q Well, you've been around the litigation system 21 Q Made a presentation? for years in these asbestos cases, right? 22 A Right. 22 A Correct. 23 Q Was it a PowerPoint presentation? 23 Q And you generally follow your attorneys' 24 A No, it was not. 24 advice, correct? 25 Q Did they show you documents? 25 A Correct. Page 31 Page 33 1 A I don't believe there were documents. 1 Q And if your attorneys had asked you for 2 Q Was there anybody at the meeting who was not a 2 documents, you would have told them about the 3 class representative or an attorney 3 documents, correct? 4 representing the class? 4 A Personally, I can't think of any documents that 5 A I really couldn't say. 5 they would have asked me for. The documents 6 Q Did you take notes of the meeting? 6 that I received were from the attorneys. 7 A I took a few personal notes. 7 Q Well, let's start with the notes that you took 8 Q And do you have those notes? 8 during the Bevan class rep meeting with 9 A No, I do not. 9 Mr. Placitella. It's clear you haven't given 10 Q Where are they? 10 those to the attorneys. 11 A Clear. 11 A Athome. 12 MR. ASSAF: Have they been 12 Q Okay. 13 logged? 13 A True. 14 MR. COREN: I don't know. 14 Q And they haven't asked you for them? 15 MR. ASSAF: Pardon me? 15 A No. 16 MR. COREN: I don't know. 16 Q So are there -- do you have a computer or a 17 I can't tell you off the top of my head. laptop? 18 A This was several years ago. 18 A Yes. 19 Q Have you given them to your attorneys? 19 Q And do you have emails on that? 20 A This was several years ago. As I said, I took 20 A Yes. 21 a couple of personal notes. 21 Q Do you have emails regarding your 22 communications with Mr. Bevan on that? 22 Q Okay. 23 A That was it. 23 A No.

24 Q Do you have any communications on that

25 regarding this case at all?

24 Q Okay. And with respect to at least these

25 personal notes, have you given them to your

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 34..37 Page 34 Page 36 1 A No. 1 A If there is a reasonable settlement offered, I 2 Q Do you have any communications with other class 2 do expect that. 3 Q You expect to be informed of it, correct? 3 representatives? 4 A No. 4 A I expect to be informed. 5 Q Has anybody ever asked you to look at your 5 Q And whose decision would it be to accept the 6 computer for that information? 6 settlement offer for the class? 7 A No. 7 MR. COREN: Objection as to 8 Q Do you get copies of the -- withdrawn. 8 form. 9 Without revealing what's said, are you 9 A My attorneys. kept up to date on what's happening in this 10 Q You mean Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren would 11 case? 11 decide on how much money the class should get? 12 A Yes. 12 A They would advise me. 13 Q Do you know whether there were any settlement 13 Q What about Mr. Bevan? 14 discussions in this case? 14 A I'm -- I'm not sure in this matter. They're 15 A Yes. representing the class action, so I'm not 16 Q When were you told about settlement certain how that's done. I can imagine that 17 discussions? 17 Attorney Bevan would be privy to it, but I 18 A I just know there were settlement discussions. 18 don't know that for certain. 19 I have no information on those particular 19 Q But as we sit here today, you've never been 20 discussions. informed that there was an opportunity to 21 Q Well, you were told about the economics, the 21 settle a case for some sum of money that you 22 terms, the money that was being offered, 22 would receive, correct? 23 weren't you? 23 A In some of the others. 24 A No. 24 MR. COREN: Objection. 25 Q Withdrawn. 25 Q As a class representative, you weren't told how Page 35 Page 37 much money was being offered to settle the MR. COREN: Objection. case? 2 A Okay. 3 A To settle this particular case? 3 Q Leave aside the individual asbestos cases with 4 Q Yeah. 4 Mr. Bevan, okay? 5 A No. 5 A Okay. Okay. 6 Q Interesting. As a class representative, do you 6 Q With respect to this case, the Williams case, 7 think that you're entitled to know whether your 7 as we sit here today, you've never been 8 attorneys are negotiating to settle the case 8 informed that there was a possibility to settle for some sum of money? 9 a case -- settle these cases at some sum of 10 MR. COREN: Objection as to 10 money and that you will receive some specific 11 sum, correct? 11 form. 12 A I have always been advised of relevant 12 A I've only been advised that there have been 13 settlements in regard to the defendants' end, 13 some settlement discussions. No money was 14 discussed. 14 my mom's asbestos case. 15 Q Mr. Bevan always tells you that there's a 15 Q It was never -16 defendant who's willing to pay \$10,000, for 16 A With me. 17 example, correct? 17 Q Okay. Well, you're the class representative. 18 A Correct. 18 A Right. Right. Right. 19 Q And then you decide --19 Q Other than you, is there anybody else --20 A Right. 20 A I do receive that information. 21 Q And then you decide whether to take that money, 21 Q -- you think would be privy to these 22 correct? 22 discussions? 23 A Yes. 23 A I wouldn't know that.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

24 Q At the end of the day, do you think that

25 Mr. Placitella and Mr. Bevan get to decide how

25 happen?

24 Q In this case do you expect the same thing to

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 38..41 Page 38 Page 40 much money the class should get? 1 A From Mr. Coren. MR. COREN: Objection as to 2 2 Q Did you review those documents? 3 form. 3 A Yes, I did. 4 A Repeat that. 4 Q Did they refresh your memory and help you 5 Q Sure. In this class action, do you think the 5 remember things? 6 A Basically they helped in just the review of the 6 class representatives decide how much money the 7 case should be settled for, if it's settled, or 7 case itself. the attorneys, like Mr. Placitella, Mr. Coren, 8 Q Were they documents relating to your mother's 8 9 and Mr. Bevan? 9 case? 10 MR. COREN: Objection as to 10 A Yes. Relating to this particular case. 11 form. 11 Q Were they documents relating to the Williams 12 A I believe that our attorneys would advise us on 12 class action? 13 A Yes. 14 Q Could you estimate how many documents you got? 14 Q Advise you on who makes the decision? 15 A No. Advise on any settlements that have been 15 Was it five, 10, 15, 20? 16 offered and they feel as though they are 16 A I know how many documents I received. 17 settlements that we should take into 17 Q Oh. How many? 18 consideration. 18 A It was -- there were three documents. 19 Q And, for example, if somebody had offered 19 Q And those documents helped you both prepare for 20 you -- withdrawn. today and refresh your memories as to the If a defendant or a group of defendants 21 21 events, correct? 22 in this case had offered you, say, \$50,000 to 22 A Yes. 23 settle the case, would you at least want to 23 Q What three documents refreshed your memories as 24 know about that? 24 to the events? MR. COREN: Objection as to 25 25 A The complaint, amended complaint, and the Page 39 Page 41 1 judge's -- the judge's opinion. 2 A I consider that to be supposition. As I stated 2 Q So the pleadings with the allegations in this 3 case and the Court of Appeals' decisions --3 before, I understand in this particular matter 4 that there had been some settlement 4 A Correct. discussions, but that was with -- that was the 5 Q - are what you reviewed to prepare you for 6 attorneys, the plaintiffs, and the defendants. 6 today's deposition? 7 A That along with the meeting with Mr. Coren. 7 As a class action member, I was not privy to 8 Q And were any other documents shown to you? 8 9 Q And as a class representative you were not 9 MR. COREN: Asked and 10 privy to those discussions, correct? 10 answered. 11 A No, not to those particular discussions. 11 Q Other than those three. 12 Q And so -- withdrawn. 12 A Not at this time. I had received documents 13 As we sit here today, do you have any 14 knowledge of whether you had an ability last 14 Q In preparation for your deposition or just as a 15 summer to get a specific sum of money to settle 15 class representative? 16 the case? 16 A These -- the documents I reviewed were for 17 A I have no knowledge of that. 17 preparation of this deposition. MR. COREN: Form objection. 18 Q The three documents you reviewed? 18 19 I'm sorry, I'm a little slow on the draw. 19 A Those three documents. 20 Q In meeting with Mr. Coren yesterday, was there 20 Q Okay. Did you review any other documents in 21 anybody else present in the room? preparation for your deposition? 22 A No. 22 A No, I did not. 23 Q And did he show you any documents? 23 Q Okay. In terms of your role as class 24 A I had already received documents. representative, do you believe that other class

25 Q From whom?

members are interested in receiving money for

Pages 42..45

PIAKILII	11011111	01/05/2017 1 ages	12
1 alleged injuries?	Page 42	1 MR. COREN: Objection to	Page 44
2 MR. COREN: Objection as to		2 form.	
3 form.		3 A And I don't know exactly what you mean by	
4 A Do I believe that other		4 "class members who were not injured." Why	
5 Q Class members		5 would they be class members?	
6 A class members		6 Q Okay. Good question.	
7 Q - want money.		7 So if somebody, let's say, actually	
•			
8 A I'd say yes.9 Q Okay. In terms of, say, things that aren't		8 didn't have exposure to Emtal talc and yet9 filed a complaint against Emtal and had it	
		9 filed a complaint against Emtal and had it10 dismissed, do you think they should get money?	
money, all right, instead of money, whateverelse, say an apology, do you think other class		11 MR. COREN: Objection as to	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		12 form.	
, , , , ,		13 A And had it dismissed?	
13 MR. COREN: Objection as to			
14 form.		14 Q Yeah. Even though they had never worked with	
15 A I couldn't say what they would prefer.		15 Emtal talc.	
16 Q What about you?		16 A Why would they be a party to the class if they	
17 A I think I've already stated it, what I what		had never worked for – if they had never been	
18 my expectation is.		18 exposed to or harmed?	
19 Q Money?		19 Q Well, your you mentioned earlier you had	
20 A Monetary compensation and admission of		20 filed a complaint against dozens of companies,	
21 fraudulent representation.		21 correct?	
22 Q Admission of fraudulent representation.		22 A Other companies, correct.	
23 The admission of fraudulent		23 Q And do you know whether all of them actually	
24 representation, we talked about that earlier,		24 had asbestos that your mother was exposed to?	
25 that's what		25 A No, I would not. I would not know that.	
1 A Dight	Page 43	1. O And if you found out later that one of the	Page 45
1 A Right.		1 Q And if you found out later that one of the2 companies was listed as a defendant but	
2 Q - Mr. Bevan knows about, not you, right?3 A I know about it through Mr. Bevan.		1	
4 Q You know – anything you know, you know about		, , , ,	
		4 where your mother worked, would you want money 5 from them?	
6 A Mr. Bevan and Mr. Coren.		6 A Wouldn't that be a legal issue?	
7 Q Anything you know about the alleged fraudulent		7 Q Well, I think it's actually just a matter of	
8 misrepresentation you know either through		8 ethics, isn't it?	
9 Mr. Bevan or Mr. Coren?		9 MR. COREN: Objection as to	
10 A Through the attorneys, yes.		10 form.	
11 Q All right. Do you think that members of the		11 A I don't think it's anything that I can answer.	
12 class should get money if they were not harmed?		12 If you repeat the question	
13 MR. COREN: Form objection.		13 Q Sure.	
14 You can respond.		14 A and I get a better understanding, maybe I	
15 THE WITNESS: Did you say I		15 can answer you.16 Q If you found out that there's a lawsuit against	
	l I		
·		3	
17 MR. COREN: Yes. Yes.		17 the company and the company actually didn't	
17 MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. 18 A Actually, I don't think I would be able to		the company and the company actually didn'thave any products with asbestos that your	
 17 MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. 18 A Actually, I don't think I would be able to 19 answer that question. Because you're talking 		 the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by 	
17 MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. 18 A Actually, I don't think I would be able to 19 answer that question. Because you're talking 20 about expectations of other people, right?		 the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by mistake, do you think that company still owes 	
MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. A Actually, I don't think I would be able to answer that question. Because you're talking about expectations of other people, right? Q Well, I'm talking about your role as class		the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by mistake, do you think that company still owes you or your estate money?	
17 MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. 18 A Actually, I don't think I would be able to 19 answer that question. Because you're talking 20 about expectations of other people, right? 21 Q Well, I'm talking about your role as class 22 representative in approving or I guess hearing		the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by mistake, do you think that company still owes you or your estate money? A Where the company's still in the lawsuit or	
MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. A Actually, I don't think I would be able to answer that question. Because you're talking about expectations of other people, right? Q Well, I'm talking about your role as class representative in approving or I guess hearing about a settlement from your attorneys.		 the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by mistake, do you think that company still owes you or your estate money? A Where the company's still in the lawsuit or were they dismissed? 	
MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. MR. COREN: Yes. Yes. A Actually, I don't think I would be able to answer that question. Because you're talking about expectations of other people, right? Well, I'm talking about your role as class representative in approving or I guess hearing		the company and the company actually didn't have any products with asbestos that your mother was exposed to, they were named by mistake, do you think that company still owes you or your estate money? A Where the company's still in the lawsuit or	

Pages 46..49

LITALLII		1 01/05/2017 1age	.5 1012
1 con anguer	Page 46	1 A Leannet anguer that question	Page 48
1 can answer.		A I cannot answer that question. Q You don't understand that concept?	
2 Q Well, let's say they were dismissed.			
3 A Not based upon the way that you presented it.		3 A It's not a matter of not understanding the	
4 Q Do you think that any company that's sued in an		4 concept, it's a matter of what are you really	
5 asbestos case needs to pay money to the		5 asking me to answer?	
6 plaintiff?		6 Q I'm asking if you found out a company did	
7 A Isn't that speculation?		7 nothing wrong and you sued them any way, would	
8 Q You can answer the question.		8 you let them out of the lawsuit?	
9 A I don't see how I could answer that question.		9 A I guess what stops me in this question, when	
10 I don't see how why I should answer that		10 you start off with "if." So to me that's	
11 question, because you're talking about other		11 speculative. I don't know how to answer that.	
12 people and I think you're bringing up legal		12 Q Well, the "if" has to do with proof that the	
13 issues. And I'm not I'm not qualified to		13 company did not have any asbestos that your	
14 answer that.		14 mother was exposed to. That's the "if."	
15 Q If you found out in this case		15 A You said if there were other companies that	
16 A Okay.		16 were claimed to have asbestos	
17 Q - that your mother was not ever exposed to		17 Q Withdrawn.	
18 Emtal talc, do you think you should still get		18 A were not yeah	
19 money in this case?		19 Q Withdrawn.	
20 A I don't think we'll find that out.		20 A because I'm not understanding	
21 Q Why		21 Q Okay.	
22 A She was exposed to Emtal talc.		22 A that question.	
23 Q And you know that through Mr. Bevan?		23 Q Fair enough.	
24 A Yes.		24 A Okay.	
25 Q Well, if Mr. Bevan's wrong for some reason and		25 Q Withdrawn.	
	Page 47		Page 49
1 I am able to show you Mr. Bevan is wrong, will	. ago	1 A Okay.	. ago .o
2 you still want money in this case?		2 Q Do you know whether you've ever dismissed a	
3 A I think that's speculative. I don't see how I		3 company from any of your dozens of lawsuits	
4 could answer a question based upon		4 without taking any money?	
5 probabilities. Now, if you ask me a question		5 MR. COREN: Objection as to	
6 based upon something in this particular case,		6 form.	
7 yes, but I'm not understanding that question as		7 A I can't recall. I really I can't recall.	
8 my being able to give you a substantive answer.		8 There are a number of defendants, so I	
9 If you repeat it or if you phrase it a		9 really - I cannot recall.	
10 different way, maybe, but based upon the way		10 Q Can you think of any reason why you would allow	
11 that you put the question, I don't see how I		11 a company out of a lawsuit without paying	
12 can answer that.		12 money?	
13 Q You've been involved in numerous lawsuits		13 A I would – I would actually expect my attorney	
14 against asbestos companies, true?		14 to handle that.	
15 A Yes. Since the death of my mom.		15 Q Here's what I'm trying to get at	
16 Q Okay.		16 A Please.	
17 A Uh-huh.		17 Q - Ms. Holley. And it's not a legal question,	
18 Q Dozens of them, correct?		18 it's actually just a basic fairness question.	
19 A Correct.		19 A Okay.	
20 Q Okay. And based upon your experience in dozens		20 Q If you found out you sued a company that wasn't	
21 of asbestos cases, do you think that you should		21 responsible for any harm to you, would you	
22 receive money even if you later learn that the		22 still want them to pay you money? Can you	
23 company that you sued did nothing wrong?		23 answer that question "yes" or "no"?	
24 A Ican't-		24 A I would rely on advice of counsel.	
25 MR. COREN: Form objection.		25 Q Okay. Without you can't answer the question	

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 50..53 Page 50 Page 52 of whether you expect money from companies even 1 A Yes, I would have. 2 Q And how would you have gotten that document? 2 though they did nothing wrong without talking 3 to your attorneys? You need to talk to your 3 A Through Mr. Bevan. 4 attorneys about that question? 4 Q By email? 5 A I don't need to talk to my attorneys about that 5 A Hard copy. 6 question, but in my mind, in my way of 6 Q Do you still have it? 7 thinking, the way you are phrasing the 7 A Probably. 8 question, it doesn't seem to me that it's a 8 Q You have files regarding your question I can answer. Do you want to repeat 9 A Right. 10 Q - Bevan cases at --10 it again? 11 Q Sure. 11 A Right. 12 A Okay. Please do. 12 Q -- home, don't you? 13 Q Do you think it's fair for people to get money 13 A Right. 14 if they were not harmed? 14 Q And when I asked you earlier about whether you 15 A No, I don't think that's fair. 15 looked for documents -- withdrawn. 16 Q Okay. And if when a person -- withdrawn. 16 When I asked you earlier about whether 17 When a person files a lawsuit against a 17 your attorneys asked you to look for documents, 18 company that actually never harmed the person, 18 did your attorneys ask you to look for 19 do you think that company should pay the person documents in your Bevan files at home? 20 money? 20 A No, they did not. 21 Q Okay. 21 A I'm still not understanding the basis of your 22 22 question. MR. ASSAF: Interesting 23 Q The basis is that you've sued somebody who did approach. 24 nothing wrong and you want them to pay you 24 Q Okay. Paragraph 25, could you turn to that, 25 money. please? Page 51 Page 53 1 A No. 1 A Uh-huh. I'm not there yet. 2 Q Do you think that's fair? 2 Q Okay. 3 A No, it would not be fair. 3 A Okay. 4 Q Is that something you would want to do? 4 Q Paragraph 25. I'd like to talk to you about 5 A In terms of what would I want to do? 5 the first sentence. "On or about November 14, 6 Q Take money from a company that actually didn't 6 2000, Plaintiff Holley's decedent, Kathryn 7 cause you any harm. Darnell, while alive, commenced an asbestos 8 A First of all, I would not expect my attorneys 8 injury lawsuit in the Court of Common Pleas, 9 to file against a company or persons that did Cuyahoga County, against BASF's predecessor, 10 not do me harm. That's my first inclination. 10 Eastern Magnesia, naming same as a defendant in 11 11 Q Okay. So as far as you know, Mr. Bevan hasn't accordance with the accepted asbestos practice 12 filed against companies that he knew were 12 in that area in view of settlement programs 13 uninvolved with your mother, correct? 13 that potentially responsible product 14 A To the best of my knowledge. manufacturers or suppliers were negotiating or 14 15 Q Okay. Let's get out the complaint, D Ex. 1. had developed." 15 16 (Defendants' Exhibit 1 was marked.) 16 Do you see that? 17 Q I'm showing you what's been marked D Ex. 1. 18 Q What is the "accepted asbestos practice in that 18 This is the second amended complaint, and I area in view of settlement programs that 19 think you mentioned earlier that you had 19 20 reviewed this as one of the three documents you 20 potentially responsible product manufacturers 21 21 or suppliers were negotiating or had reviewed in preparation for your deposition. 22 22 Do you recognize this document? developed"?

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

23

24

MR. COREN: Ms. Holley, I

instruct you not to answer to the extent your

answer relies upon advice of counsel. If you

25 it was initially filed?

24 Q By the way, did you review this document before

23 A Yes.

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 54..57 Page 54 Page 56 could answer the question without incorporating understand paragraph 25, could you explain to 2 or revealing advice of counsel, please answer. 2 me what the accepted asbestos practice was 3 Q Okay. Ms. Holley, going forward, any question that's referenced in paragraph 25? 4 I ask you that you have to reveal advice of 4 MR. COREN: And once again 5 counsel you should pause and say I would need 5 I instruct you not to answer to the extent your 6 to -- advice of counsel, otherwise Mr. Coren 6 answer relies upon advice of counsel. If you 7 will continue to interrupt and try to prompt 7 can answer it without incorporating or revealing advice of counsel, please respond. 8 you not to answer questions like that --9 MR. COREN: Objection. 9 A The particular - the particular words that you 10 Q - okay? 10 are pointing out in referring to "Eastern 11 MR. COREN: I'm stating 11 Magnesia, naming same as a defendant in accordance with the accepted asbestos practice 12 an --12 13 Q Soin that area," I believe that my attorney would 13 14 MR. COREN: -- objection 14 have made that decision. I personally would for the record and I'll continue to state an 15 not know what the accepted practice is in our 16 16 objection for the record. 17 Q Ms. Holley, what does that sentence mean to 17 Q So that first sentence in paragraph 25 18 you? 18 regarding the accepted asbestos practice for 19 MR. COREN: Once again my 19 settlement programs that were either being 20 same instruction. 20 negotiated or developed, Mr. Bevan would be the 21 A What it means to me is that on or about that 21 person who has knowledge of that? 22 date that a lawsuit was filed against BASF's 22 A Well, the paragraph starts off: On or about predecessor, Eastern Magnesia. November 14, 2000, my mom, Kathryn Damell, 24 Q We're actually focused on the second part, I 24 commenced an asbestos injury lawsuit. She was 25 apologize. the one who dealt with her attorney, Tom Bevan, Page 55 Page 57 1 A Okay. regarding this particular lawsuit. I am not 2 Q The second part, what are -- what were -privy to that information. 3 what's the accepted asbestos practice in 3 Q Okay. 4 A I was not at that meeting. 4 Cuyahoga County of a settlement program where potentially responsible product manufacturers 5 Q You don't have any facts about the first 6 were negotiating or had developed? What does 6 sentence of paragraph 25 and the person who 7 that mean? does have the facts would be Mr. Bevan? 8 A I have no knowledge of what that means. 8 A The person who does have the facts would be 9 Q Well, you reviewed it in the complaint under Mr. Bevan, as indicated on November 14, that 10 the paragraph that talks about your claims, 10 Kathryn Damell, while alive, commenced. So 11 correct? 11 that would have been between my mother and 12 A Okav. 12 Attorney Bevan. And I was not a party to any 13 MR. COREN: And, once conferences or meetings to develop this again, my same instruction applies. 14 particular lawsuit. 15 Q You just mentioned you reviewed the complaint 15 Q And so if I wanted to know what's meant by the 16 before filing, correct? accepted asbestos practice in Cuyahoga County 17 A Yes. for settlement program, I would be best to talk 18 Q And this is a paragraph about your underlying to Mr. Bevan, fair? lawsuit and Mr. Bevan and your mother's 19 19 A Or review the accepted practices. 20 lawsuits, correct? 20 Q But in terms of this complaint, you have, and 21 A Correct. 21 as -- withdrawn. 22 Q So you would have read this carefully, correct, 22 As a class representative, in paragraph 23 and wanted to understand it? 23 25 can you refer me to anybody whom I could 24 A Correct. I do understand it. 24 talk to to get answers about paragraph 25?

25 A Okay. The lawsuit was brought in the Common

25 Q Okay. And so could you -- since you do

Pages 58..61

MARILYN	HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 586
1 Place Court of Cumphage County and it cours in	Page 58 Page 60
1 Pleas Court of Cuyahoga County and it says in	1 Q And as a class representative, could you point
2 accordance with the accepted asbestos	2 me to the person who would know anything about
3 practices. So I don't understand how I would	3 those practices as referenced in the complaint?
4 even be able to answer that question, really.	4 MR. COREN: Objection to
5 Q And let's step back.	5 the form.
6 A Okay.	6 Q Could I talk to Mr. Bevan? Would he be a
7 Q When you reviewed the complaint, did you have	7 better person to talk to?
8 any questions about what that meant?	8 A Yes. Because I consider accepted practices to
9 A This particular complaint was done by Attorney	9 be an issue that the attorneys would know
10 Bevan with meetings with my mother.	about, not an issue that I would know about
11 Q Withdrawn.	11 personally.
12 With respect to reviewing the Williams	12 Q So it's something
13 complaint, the second complaint that's in	13 A In spite of reviewing I review the complaint
14 front	14 based upon based upon facts that I know of.
15 A Right.	15 The legal issues I rely on the attorneys. I
16 Q - of you.	16 consider that to be a legal issue when you say
17 A Right.	17 "accepted practices." How would I know about
18 Q When you read it for the first time, did you	18 accepted practices as a layperson?
19 have any questions about what that meant?	19 Q That would be Mr. Bevan?
20 A No. No, I did not.	20 A Right.
21 Q Did you review the complaint for accuracy?	21 Q Okay. And turn to the next sentence. It says,
22 A Yes, however, I don't see anything that I would	22 "reasonably relying upon and acting upon the
	 23 misrepresentations and material omissions of 24 Defendants regarding Engelhard's talc products
24 Q Well, you just withdrawn.	3 3 3 1
25 You don't know what the accepted	and the absence of any evidence indicating
	Page 59 Page 61
1 asbestos practices for settlements in Cuyahoga	1 Engelhard's talc contained asbestos fibers that
2 County, do you?	2 were made to her attorney and representative,
3 A No, I don't know the accepted in Cuyahoga	3 Thomas Bevan, as set forth more particularly
4 County.	4 herein."
5 Q And if I wanted to find out from anybody	5 Do you see that?
6 involved in this case, do you think Mr. Bevan	6 A Yes.
7 might be the person to talk to? Or somebody	7 Q I think you mentioned earlier that the alleged
8 else?	8 representations were made to or known about by
9 A You're talking about the complaint filed in	9 Mr. Bevan, not you, correct?
10 2000?	10 A That would be correct.
11 Q No. I'm talking about that who this	11 Q So if I want to ask somebody about that
12 withdrawn.	12 sentence, I would have to ask Mr. Bevan,
13 Paragraph 25 –	13 correct?
14 A Okay.	14 A Yes. It says, "that were made to her attorney
15 Q - in your complaint against my client says	15 and representative, Thomas Bevan."
that there's an accepted asbestos practice in	16 Q And then going to the next sentence, it says,
17 Cuyahoga County for settlement programs. You	17 "Plaintiff voluntarily dismissed her lawsuit
18 say you don't know anything about that,	18 against Eastern Magnesia as part of a nominal
19 correct?	
20 A About what, the accepted practices?	20 defendants without receiving full, fair and
21 Q Yes.	21 adequate compensation for her asbestos injury
	00 1: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
	22 claims against BASF's predecessors."
 A To the best of my knowledge and understanding based upon your question, I don't know why I 	23 Do you know anything about that sentence

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 62..65 Page 62 Page 64 1 facts that her attorneys had at that time. 1 A Yes. 2 Q And you know about that through Mr. Bevan, 2 Q Were there people who weren't class 3 correct? 3 representatives at the meeting? 4 A Yes, I do. 4 A I would not know that. 5 Q Other than what you know from Mr. Bevan, you 5 Q Okay. After -- withdrawn. 6 don't have any personal knowledge? After that first meeting, were there 7 A I have no personal knowledge. 7 other meetings where people attended who were 8 Q Turning to the next page, still paragraph 25. 8 not class reps or attorneys? 9 "Plaintiff Holley did not know and learn that 9 A Not that I can recall. I won't say 10 her decedent was a victim of Defendants' 10 unequivocally, but not that I can recall. 11 Fraudulent Asbestos Defense Scheme until late 11 Q And at this first meeting, did Mr. Placitella 12 2010/early 2011, when she was informed of the 12 in words or in substance, or any of his 13 same by her attorney, Tom Bevan." 13 colleagues, tell you to make sure you Do you see that? 14 maintained all of your documents relating to 15 A Yes. the underlying Bevan cases against Emtal? 16 Q Do you have any papers, documents, emails, that 16 A No, I was not specifically told that. 17 could put a more specific date on when 17 Q Were you ever told that? 18 Mr. Bevan informed you of this fraudulent 18 A Not specifically, no. 19 asbestos defense scheme? 19 Q Turning to the next sentence of paragraph 25. 20 A To the best of my recollection, it was late 20 "Had Plaintiff's counsel and Plaintiff known 21 21 2010, early 2011 when I met with -- when I met about the existence of spoliation described 22 at Attorney Bevan's office. 22 more particularly herein and/or the existence 23 Q Okay. And was that the same meeting of evidence that BASF's talc and talc products 24 Mr. Placitella was at? 24 contained asbestos, the settlement demand would 25 A Yes. have been higher and/or the Plaintiff would Page 63 Page 65 1 Q So the first time you heard of this alleged have taken her case to trial." 2 2 scheme and filing a class action complaint was Do you see that? 3 a meeting with Mr. Bevan and Mr. Placitella 3 A Yes. 4 that lasted about two hours at Mr. Bevan's 4 Q Do you have any knowledge of the facts 5 office? 5 surrounding the settlement demand and whether 6 A One or two hours. And let me -- let me 6 you would have taken the case to trial or is 7 clarify. It was -- Mr. Coren was there. 7 that a Mr. Bevan topic as well? 8 Q And Mr. Coren too? 8 A I have no personal knowledge of that, no. 9 A Uh-huh. Yeah. 9 Q And it says here plaintiffs would have taken the case to trial. 10 Q And after that the complaint was filed? 10 11 A Sometime after that. I don't remember exactly 11 Do you see that? 12 when, but sometime after that meeting the 12 A Yes. 13 complaint was filed. 13 Q Out of the 98 or so cases -- withdrawn. 14 Q Did you have any other meetings between that 14 Out of the 98 or so defendants that 15 first meeting and the time the complaint was you've sued, have any of those cases gone to 15 16 filed? 16 trial? 17 A I can't recall for sure. I know that there 17 A We did not go --18 were at least one or two more meetings, but I Form objection. 18 MR. COREN: 19 can't say specifically if they were before or 19 You can answer it. 20 after the complaint was filed. 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. 21 Q And at any of those meetings were there people 21 A We did not go to trial. 22 besides your attorneys or other class 22 Q In any of them? 23 representatives? 23 A We did not go to trial. 24 A At the first meeting for sure. 24 Q But Mr. Bevan would know whether this was the

25 case that you were going to take to trial?

25 Q The other class reps were at the meeting?

Pages 66..69

Page 68 1 He's the person I should ask? 2 A He would know that. I specifically personally 3 recall that EPGoodin's defendant settled within 4 a weak before the stiril date. 5 Q And then the next sentence says. "Plaintiff is 6 Willing and offers to return the product of the 7 settlement contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 the Court demens is raind, just, noter to be 9 restored to the status quo ante the settlement 10 Q And then in the mess fair and just, noter to be 11 Soft patient, that's you, correct? 11 Soft patient, that's you, correct? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And than in thems of these various documents 14 A No. We status and the settlement 15 Progetiest? 16 A I'll minor mistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the more you've already gotten from BASF or 18 Er geltated? 19 Q boyou know how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou brown how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 19 C Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 20 C Olay, I neading these cases – by the way, 21 Doyou stronk how much money you or the status 22 don't memoritie. 23 C Olay, I have status in pace you deat with defendants who didn't 24 you've deat with various settlements of people 25 Who he ad abstesso, correct? 26 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 Description of the status status or pace of the system? 20 C Olay, I have pace to a with failed the failed th	MARILIN HOL	iller - 04/05/2017 Pages 0003
2 A His would know that. I specifically personally 3 a recall that BFGoothich defendant settled within 3 a week before the trial clase. 5 Q And then the next sentence says, "Peintiff" is 6 Q And then the next sentence says, "Peintiff" is 7 settlement contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 the Court deems fair and last, in order to be 9 restored to the status quite an ele settlement 10 with BASF. 11 So the plantiff, that's you, correct? 12 A Correct. 13 C And that means you're willing to return the 15 Engelhand? 16 A If Immonthissisten in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 If there had not been a problem with BASF. 19 C Doy out now hor worm, thomeny you on the estate 20 Peecked from BASF or Engelhand? 21 A Loon to horn worm, thomeny you on the estate 22 contributed with various settlements of people 23 C All And the means you defend the settlement of the service of the serv		
3 recall hat DFCoodrich defendant settled within 4 a week before the third date. 5 Q And then the next sentence says, "Paintiff is 5 Q And then the next sentence says, "Paintiff is 6 willing and offers to return the portion of the 7 settlement contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 the Court deern fair and just, in order to be 9 restored to the status quo and text he settlement 10 with BASF. 11 So the plaintiff, that's you, corect? 12 A Correct. 12 Paregory of the manes you're willing to return the 13 them? 14 A No. We either met or I received copies from 14 his office. 15 Engelter? 16 A Iffer morth week private this 17 paragorph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 received from BASF or Engelter? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I contributed the SASF or Engelter? 22 of Cokey, In settling these cases — by the way, 23 you've dealt with various settlements of people 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had absestes, connect? 26 A Vage, 27 And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 3 have assistance? 30 Cokey, In settling these cases — by the way, 31 A Correct. 41 A Correct. 42 Q And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 3 have assistance? 43 A Courte settlements. 44 A Not settling these cases — by the way, 45 A I Sat Text and the settlements of people 45 A Yes. Page 67 1 A Correct. 4 Page 67 1 Q Okay, In settling these cases — by the way, 46 A Correct. 47 You've dealt with various settlements of people 48 A Yes and the remaining the mane received 49 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 40 Query mentioned the settlement of the settleme	·	
4 A Not specifically, no. 5 Q And then the rest sentence says. "Plaintiff is 6 willing and offers to return the portion of the 7 settlement contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 the Court deems fast and just, in order to be 9 restored to the status quo ante the settlement 10 with BASF." 11 So the plaintiff, that's you, correct? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And than in terms of these various documents 14 money you've already gotten from BASF or 15 Engelshard? 16 A Iffirm or metalsien in the way I read this 16 A Yes. 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 19 Q by Jou know how much morey you or the estate 20 reveled from BASF or Engelshard? 21 A Idon't know. I don't remember the amount. 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In setting these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with defendants who don't 25 a Nami Assessed, correct? 26 A Correct. 27 A Correct. 28 A No. 29 Page 67 29 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 29 (Okay. 31 A Okay. 4 No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 4 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 5 Is A Piley much year. 5 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 5 Q Okay. 5 Well whether these notes we referenced before or 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 In Journ urnother's estates ince 2000 in these 8 askessics cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 13 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 Is harding the abbestos cases? 15 A Pilety much yea. 16 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 17 A Correct. 18 Q Correct. 19 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 19 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 Is harding the abbestos cases? 16 A And firm not even sure of that. Linean, they 17 were sunthered. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've been death or the you've been fresponsible for 19 Is harding the abbestos cases? 10 Q Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't excelved any emails 22 Q A		
5 Q. And then the next sentence says. "Paintiff is 6 willing and offers to return the portion of the settenent contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 he Court deems fair and just, in order to be resolved the status quo anter the settement 9 his office 9		
6 willing and offers to return the portion of the 7 settlement contributed by BASF, or such amount 8 A No. Not generally. No. 7 Q All right. 8 A No. We either met or I received copies from 9 his office. 10 Q And then in terms of these various documents 11 So the plantiff, that's you, correct? 11 For your underlying asbestss cases since 2001, 12 A Correct. 13 Q And that means you'ne willing to return the 14 money you've already gotten from BASF or 15 Engelstan? 16 A If from office already gotten from BASF or 16 Forgelstan? 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 18 paragraph, the money would have been returned 19 C Doyou from Now much more you or the esiate 20 received from BASF or Engelstan? 21 A I clorit know. I don't know. I don't know. I don't know will clorit know will don't know will will know settlements of people 20 Q And have you dealt with defendants who don't 21 A Corect. 21 Q And know will kno		
the Court deems fair and just, in order to be state with a fact of the status quo anie the settlement to the status quo anie the status quo anie the settlement to the status quo anie the settlement to the status quo anie the status quo an	-	
8 A No. We either met or I received copies from 9 restored to the status go area the settlement 10 restored to the status go area the settlement 11 with BASF.* 11 So the plaintiff, that's you, correct? 12 A Correct. 13 Q And that means you're willing to return the 14 money you've already gotten from BASF or 15 Engehant? 16 A If I'm not mistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 lifer he hand been a problem with BASF. 19 Q Doyou know how much money you or the estate 20 reselved from BASF or Engelhant? 21 A I don't home. I don't been any the state 22 A Correct. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way. 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? Page 67 I A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbeststs? 4 Q Correct. 5 Q I have you wealth estate 6 Q I have you dealt with defendants who didn't 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 a absestos cases 9 A No. We usually deal with the documents 10 Q And him the sea not to we retire more of the correct has price of the ments braying been necessed 11 Q Okay. 11 A Correct. 12 Q And him have some sort of tile system? 13 A Correct. 14 Q Okay. 15 A Correct. 16 A Correct. 17 Q Okay of the money of the correct sea of the ments and the correct of the correct of the ments and the correct of the correct of the ments and the man the correct of the correct of the ments and the man the correct of the correct of the ments and the man the correct of the correct of the ments and the man the correct of the corre		
9 his office. 10 with PASE* 10 Q And then in terms of these various documents 11 So the plaintiff, that's you, correct? 12 A Cornect. 13 Q And that means you're willing to return the 13 have spou ever discarded or destroyed any of 15 Engelstard? 16 A if firm not mistaken in the way I read this 16 A Yes. 17 Do you will have them all? 18 If there had not been a problem with BASE* 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 Po you will have them all? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 22 don't remember. 23 Q O Kay, in setting these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 3 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 4 Q Q Suryou menting with expect to – withdrawn. 5 Q Ray the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been ading as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 absestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Cokay. 11 A Correct. 12 Q And have you been responsible for the death. 13 Q Cokay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for the death. 15 Q And you mentioned before that you've received have reviewed them enting who may be were well and out received reflexely the same file system? 25 A Correct. 26 Q And May you've been responsible for the death. 27 Q Cokay. She passed June 7, 2001. 28 Q Cokay. 39 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 39 Q And you mentioned before that you've received have reviewed them they who was there and how long it took, but not any the same file system? 28 Q And may you haven't received any emails 29 Learn Mr. Bevan? 20 Q And Mr. Placialial and Mr. Coren haven't asked 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placialial and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 26 A Correct. 27 A Mr. We usually deal with the documents 28 themselves via hard copy. 29 A Correct. 20 A Correct. 20 And Mr. Placialial and Mr. Cor	-	
10 Q And then in terms of these various documents 11 for your underlying abbestos cases since 2001, 12 A Correct. 13 Q And thete means you've willing to return the 14 money you've already gotten from BASF or 15 Engelhard? 16 A Iff m not mistaken in the way I read this 16 A Yes. 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 liftmen behalf not been a problem with BASF. 19 Q Doyou know how much money you of the estate 20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't how. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases - by the way. 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 26 Q A Can the end of t	-	·
11	9 restored to the status quo ante the settlement	9 his office.
12 A Correct. 13 Q And that means you're willing to return the 13 a thern? 15 Engelhard? 16 A If Immorth insistein in the way I read this 15 Q So you still have them all? 16 A If Immorth insistein in the way I read this 16 A Yes. 17 Q Could you describe for me what those files look 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 18 Ike? Is it 6 inches, is it a couple boxes? 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 20 were a number of documents having been received at oth termember. 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've deat with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 24 A I can't recall. 25 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 2 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 have asbestos? 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 you're metrigs with other dass representatives, 5 would those notes be in the same file system? 4 Q Display were just some cursory notes that I mean, they 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 3 asbestos cases? 4 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 5 Q Correct. 5 A Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 15 A Okay. 16 A Petry much, yes. 16 A Since that time you've been received any emails (14 A Petry Morth Problem Court.) 17 Cederate they even defensive or not, these 15 A Pretty much, yes. 18 A Petry much, yes. 19 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 A Petry much, yes. 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time - jou've been received any emails (14 A Petry Morth Problem Court.) 17 Events were or not and whether the notes are they in the same file system as your Weters they readens we or not, these 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Oray. 17 Petry much, yes. 18 A Pretty much, yes. 19 A No you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be rele	10 with BASF."	10 Q And then in terms of these various documents
13	11 So the plaintiff, that's you, correct?	11 for your underlying asbestos cases since 2001,
14 A No. 15 Engelhard? 16 A If I'm northistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if three had not been a problem with BASF. 19 Q Doy ou know how much money you or the estate 20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 1 A Correct. 2 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 3 A Okay. 4 You've been acting as a representative for fory your other's estate since 2000 in these asbestos cases? 4 A I can't recal. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative for fory your other's estate since 2000 in these asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A No. 12 Q Correct. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So snow that time – in 2001 we did open up an extensive of the Loral Probate Court. 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an extensive roll and incher the received any emails 26 I for Mr. Bevan? 27 A reviewed them all? 28 A No. No. 2000 was you haven't received any emails 29 A No. We usually deal with the documents 20 Counters state and how fore the case, we unduring the case we hard corpy. 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 21 Correct them, our received with received any emails 22 I forn Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 I themselves we hard corpy. 24 A No. No. 25 So you will have them ail? 26 So you describe for me what those files look in the salt of the peer in the salt by but I 29 Could not give you a general idea because there 20 could not give you ageneral idea because there 21 were a number of documents from the observe? 24 Q But you have some sort of file systems. I did not receive all of the 25 A Yes. Page 69 1 Q Okay. 2 A Form receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 Q And then these notes we referenceber. 4 A Jour meetings with o	12 A Correct.	12 have you ever discarded or destroyed any of
15 Engehard? 16 A If I'm not mistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 received from BASF or Engehard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In setting these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who hard asbestos, correct? 26 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been adding as a representative for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So so ustill have them all? 16 A Yes. 17 Q Could you describe for me what those files look 18 like? Is it 6 inches, is it a couple boxes? 19 A Is a lot of paper. It's quite a bit, but I 20 could not give you a general idea because there 21 at various times. I did not receive all of the 22 at various times. I did not receive all of the 23 documents at one time. Okay. 24 Q But you have some sort of file system? 25 A Yes. Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 A I can't recall. 4 you've been adding as a representative. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been adding as a representative. 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 basically the data of the meeting, who – maybe 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 Correct. 14 Bevan litigation files? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A A Froeth much, yes. 17 extensive or not, these 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Orrect. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. Westally deal with the documents 24 themselves va hard coppy.	13 Q And that means you're willing to return the	13 them?
16 A If I'm not mistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 18 iffer's it is inches, is it a couple boxes? 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 roceived from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, corred? 26 Q And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recail. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 Q Correct. 13 Q Correct. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 15 A Pietty much, yes. 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you asay you haven't received any emails 24 of themselves via hard coppy. 25 A Prety much, yes. 26 A Pion that time you've been responsible for 16 A And you mentioned before that you've received 27 a Correct. 28 A Protry much, yes. 29 A Not you mentioned before that you've received 30 A Order. 31 Correct. 32 Q And you asay you haven't received any emails 44 D Correct. 45 A Pretty much, yes. 46 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mir. Bevan? 49 A Not you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 review them, correct? 20 C review them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 I review them, correct? 23 A No. We susually deal with the documents	14 money you've already gotten from BASF or	14 A No.
16 A If I'm not mistaken in the way I read this 17 paragraph, the money would have been returned 18 if there had not been a problem with BASF. 18 iffer's it is inches, is it a couple boxes? 19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 roceived from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, corred? 26 Q And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who dich't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recail. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 Q Correct. 13 Q Correct. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 15 A Pietty much, yes. 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you asay you haven't received any emails 24 of themselves via hard coppy. 25 A Prety much, yes. 26 A Pion that time you've been responsible for 16 A And you mentioned before that you've received 27 a Correct. 28 A Protry much, yes. 29 A Not you mentioned before that you've received 30 A Order. 31 Correct. 32 Q And you asay you haven't received any emails 44 D Correct. 45 A Pretty much, yes. 46 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mir. Bevan? 49 A Not you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 review them, correct? 20 C review them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 I review them, correct? 23 A No. We susually deal with the documents	15 Engelhard?	15 Q So you still have them all?
18	16 A If I'm not mistaken in the way I read this	16 A Yes.
18	·	17 Q Could you describe for me what those files look
19 Q Do you know how much money you or the estate 20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 20 could not give you a general idea because there 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 21 were a number of documents having been received 22 don't remember. 22 at various times. I did not receive all of the 22 at various times. I did not receive all of the 23 Q O klay. In settling these cases – by the way, 23 documents at one time. Okay. 24 Q But you have some sort of file system? 25 A Yes. Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 2 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 have asbestos? 3 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 4 You've been acting as a representative 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putfing down facts or anything, but 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 10 Who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 Q Okay. 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 12 Q Well, whether they've extensive or not, these 13 A Okay. 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 14 Bevan ting the asbestos cases? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an estate with the local Probate Court. 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mir. Bevan? 19 No. No. Verence. 19 A No. No. Usually deal with the documents from Mir. Bevan? 19 reviewed them, correct? 19 A Andy our sally our havent received any emails 24 K how. No. No. No. No. No. No.		
20 received from BASF or Engelhard? 21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 do Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 26 A Yes. Page 67 A Correct. 2 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 A And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q But you have some sort of file system? 2 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 A And then these notes we referenced before of 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 5 You've been acting as a representative 6 A And finn not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from the received 20 A review them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 for mMr. Bevan? 23 documents at not emitter. 24 a Vest. 25 documents at entime. Okay. 26 But you have some sort of file system? 27 by By Source. 28 A Yes. Page 69 29 A Vest. 20 Correct. 30 Q Okay. 4 D Okay. 5 would those notes be in the same file system? 4 A Prom receiving documents from the office, yes. 5 would those notes be in the same file system? 5 would those notes be in the same file system? 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Correct. 12 Q Well, whether they/re extensive or not, these 13 A Okay. 14 Bevan Hizgoston files? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extens	·	•
21 A I don't know. I don't remember the amount. I 22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In settling these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? 26 A Yes. Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 2 have asbestos? 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 A I can't recall. 4 you're meetings with other class representatives, 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 5 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 Q Correct. 12 Q Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 17 estates with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 And Mr. We usually deal with the documents 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 form Mr. Bevan? 23 documents at vanious times. Idd not receive a lift of the meeting. Nature of the class representative and the violation of the system? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Pacallel and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 No. No. Ow.		
22 don't remember. 23 Q Okay. In setting these cases – by the way, 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Cory. 2 Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 10 Q Orect. 11 Q Okay. 12 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 13 Q And then these noties we referenced before of word those notes be in the same file system? 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 12 Q Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you asy you haven't received any emails 21 A Correct. 22 And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy.		
23 documents at one time. Okay. 24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? Page 67 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q Who have you, dealt with defendants who didn't 4 Your meetings with other class representatives, 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 5 Would those notes be in the same file system? 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 For your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 24 A No. No. 26 A No. No. 27 A Page 69 28 A Yes. Page 69 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 your received 18 factor that mit have assume referenced before of 4 your received 18 factor that mit have assume referenced before of 4 your received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We		
24 you've dealt with various settlements of people 25 who had asbestos, correct? Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 2 A From receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 5 Would those notes be in the same file system? 6 You've been acting as a representative 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Neay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 forwise with other correct? 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy.		
25 M Yes. Page 67 A Correct. A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. 12 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A No. We usually deal with the documents 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 A No. We usually deal with the documents 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 A no. No. 19 who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took, but not any who was there and how long it took hou how and it i		-
Page 67 1 A Correct. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 Q Correct. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time you've been responsible for 16 handling the asbestos cases? 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A No. We usually deal with the documents 20 A No. We usually deal with the documents 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 A No. We usually deal with the documents 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy.		
1 Q Okay. 2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 14 Bevan litigation files? 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you asy you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 24 hemselves via hard copy. 26 A No. No. No. No. No. We usually deal with the documents 27 to review them, correct? 28 A No. No. No. No. No. No. Provided them correct? 29 A No. No. No. No. Okay. 20 A No. No. No. Okay. 21 A No. No. No. No. No. Okay. 22 And you say you haven't received any emails 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 26 A No.	who had aspestos, conect:	25 A 165.
2 Q And have you dealt with defendants who didn't 3 have asbestos? 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 A I can't recall. 4 your meetings with other class representatives, 5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 5 You've been acting as a representative 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A No. We usually deal with the documents 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 themselves via hard copy. 22 A Rrom receiving documents from the office, yes. 3 Q And then these notes we referenced before of 4 your meetings with other class we referenced before of 4 your meetings with other class we referenced before of 4 your meetings with other class we referenced before of 5 would those notes be in the same file system? 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Well, whether they free extensive or not, these 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 14 Bevan litigation files? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 wouldn't know actually until an attomey 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal	Page	e 67 Page 69
3		
4 A I can't recall. 5 Q By the way, with respect to withdrawn. 5 Q By the way, with respect to withdrawn. 5 Would those notes be in the same file system? 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 themselves via hard copy. 24 A No. No.		
5 Q By the way, with respect to – withdrawn. 6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 25 Would those notes be in the same file system? 6 A And I'm not even sure of that. I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I mead, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I mead, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I mead, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I mead, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 And I'm not even sure of that I mean, they 7 were just some cursory notes that I made, yeah. 8 And I'm not even sure of that I mean, they 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 13 notes, are they in the same file system 14 Bevan litigation files? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.		
6 You've been acting as a representative 7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 21 A No. We usually deal with the documents 22 themselves via hard copy. 24 A No. No.		
7 for your mother's estate since 2000 in these 8 asbestos cases? 8 Not putting down facts or anything, but 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 10 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Correct. 12 Q Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 10 Yes usually deal with the documents 11 extensive or not and whether they have actually until an attomey 11 extensive or not and whether they have actually until an attomey 12 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 13 A Correct. 14 Correct. 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 extensive or not and whether they have actually until an attomey 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 19 wouldn't know actually until an attomey 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy.		
8 asbestos cases? 9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 9 basically the date of the meeting, who – maybe 10 Q Okay. 11 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 extensive notes, no. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 20 A No. No.		-
9 A No. No. 2000 was prior to her death. 10 Q Okay. 11 who was there and how long it took, but not any 11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 26 A No. No.	•	
10		
11 A Okay. She passed June 7, 2001. 12 Q Correct. 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 And you say you haven't received any emails 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 The you've received the you've received them, correct? 24 Themselves via hard copy.	·	
12 Q Well, whether they're extensive or not, these 13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 10 A Correct. 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 20 Levensive or not and whether they have actual for extensive or not and whether they have actual facts that might be relevant to the case, we 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.		who was there and how long it took, but not any
13 A Okay. 14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 13 notes, are they in the same file system as your 14 Bevan litigation files? 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.		
14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for 15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 A Correct. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 24 A No. No.		
15 handling the asbestos cases? 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 A Correct. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 25 A No. No. No.	13 A Okay.	13 notes, are they in the same file system as your
16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 A Correct. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 26 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 27 extensive or not and whether they have actually 28 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 29 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	14 Q So since that time you've been responsible for	144 5 194 4 61 0
17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 26 And you say you have n't received any emails 27 A No. No. 28 Extensive or not and whether they have actuall 29 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.		14 Bevan litigation files?
18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 A Correct. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 28 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 29 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	15 handling the asbestos cases?	
19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 29 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.		15 A Pretty much, yes.
20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 21 A Correct. 22 from Mr. Bevan? 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	16 A Since that time - in 2001 we did open up an	15 A Pretty much, yes.16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are
20 reviewed them, correct? 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 21 A Correct. 22 from Mr. Bevan? 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual
21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we
22 from Mr. Bevan? 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney
23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy. 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.	 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct?
24 themselves via hard copy. 24 A No. No.	 16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct.
	16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan?	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked
	16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct?
	16 A Since that time – in 2001 we did open up an 17 estate with the local Probate Court. 18 Q And you mentioned before that you've received 19 hard copies of documents from Mr. Bevan? 20 A Correct. 21 Q And you say you haven't received any emails 22 from Mr. Bevan? 23 A No. We usually deal with the documents 24 themselves via hard copy.	 15 A Pretty much, yes. 16 Q And in order to find out whether the notes are 17 extensive or not and whether they have actual 18 facts that might be relevant to the case, we 19 wouldn't know actually until an attorney 20 reviewed them, correct? 21 A Correct. 22 Q And Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren haven't asked 23 to review them, correct? 24 A No. No.

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 70..73 Page 70 Page 72 any way, but please don't discard them. Keep extent your answer relies upon advice of 2 hold of them, okay? 2 counsel. If you can answer the question 3 A Well, I keep all the -without incorporating or revealing advice of 4 Q Because I think -- I think -counsel, please respond to Mr. Assaf's 5 A I keep the material I receive. 5 question. 6 Q Good. I think they're going to ask you now to 6 Q And to be clear, Ms. Holley, I'm asking you yes 7 review them. or no do you know what that means. 8 A Okay. MR. ASSAF: And, Mr. Coren, 8 9 Q Okay. I might be wrong on that, but I think 9 I'd ask you to stop coaching and suggesting 10 I'm right. 10 answers to the witness. 11 Okay. Let's go to paragraph 228 of the 11 MR. COREN: I'm not complaint. I'm going to ask you about 228 to 12 12 coaching. I'm putting an objection on the 13 230. 13 record. 14 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know what the term "aggregate 15 Q So if you take a minute and review those. settlement" means? 16 A Okay. 228 to 230? 16 A I believe I do. 17 Q Yes, ma'am. 17 Q Okay. What is it? 18 Okay. 18 A That it would be the collective group of 19 A Okay. settlements. 20 Q All right. So in paragraph 228, and then I'm 20 Q So with other plaintiffs? 21 going to continue on to 230, there's the phrase 21 A With other plaintiffs. 22 in the first sentence, "When negotiating these 22 Q And have you participated in aggregate 23 aggregate settlements and deciding to recommend settlements? 24 and obtain its clients' consent and 24 A Participated in what way? 25 authorization to participate in them, the Bevan 25 Q Have you settled as part of an aggregate Page 71 Page 73 Law Firm reasonably relied upon Cahill Gordon's settlement? and BASF's (or its predecessors') 2 A Yes. 3 Q And did you -- withdrawn. 3 representations" and it continues. And has Mr. Bevan represented you in Do you see that? 5 A Yes. these aggregate settlements? 5 6 Q Based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan and 6 A Yes. 7 Q Now, if you turn to paragraph 230, at the very over the last 15 years of asbestos litigation 8 with 98 or so defendants, do you have any 8 bottom of the page, it says, "Correspondingly." understanding of what the phrase "aggregate 9 Do you see it at the bottom right? 10 settlement" means? 10 A Uh-huh. MR. COREN: And, 11 11 Q "Correspondingly, the Bevan Law Firm asbestos 12 Mrs. Holley, once again I need to instruct 12 injury clients, including Plaintiff Pease, 13 Plaintiff Holley's decedent, Ms. Darnell, and you --14 MR. ASSAF: Oh, please. Plaintiff Ware, as well as others similarly 14 15 Michael. situated to them, would not have given their 15 16 MR. COREN: Stop it. 16 consent or authorization to participate in an 17 MR. ASSAF: No. This is aggregate settlement with BASF." 17 not -- there's no advice to go here. I'm 18 Do you see that? 18 19 asking her if she knows what it means. It's a 19 A Yes. 20 yes or no question. There's no legal advice 20 Q Do you have any knowledge of whether or not you 21 that can conceivably impact this answer. would have given authorization to participate 22 in a settlement with BASF? MR. COREN: Well, you and I 23 seem to have a disagreement, Gene, on putting 23 A I have no knowledge of that. 24 my objection on the record. 24 Q If I wanted -- the person who would have

I instruct you not to answer to the

25

knowledge of that would be Mr. Bevan, correct?

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 74..77 Page 74 Page 76 1 A Yes, it would have been Mr. Bevan. what money damages has that incurred? 2 Q All right. Could you turn to page -- to 2 A What do you mean? 3 Q Well, it says that class members, plaintiffs --3 paragraph 289. 4 A Okay. 4 A Right. 5 Q It says, "Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the 5 Q - have -- may or will incur or may have claims of other Class Members'." 6 already incurred pecuniary or monetary losses 7 Do you see that? 7 and damages, including but not limited to the 8 loss of their underlying asbestos injury 8 A Yes. 9 Q Do you know what that means? 9 claims, and then it goes on. 10 A Yes. 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q What does that mean to you? 11 Q So let's start there. With respect to the loss 12 A It says because we were similarly affected by of the underlying asbestos injury claim, do you think that that's a loss that you or your 13 Defendants' spoliation of asbestos evidence. 14 Q And do you have any facts to that or is that a mother's estate has incurred? 15 Mr. Bevan issue? 15 A Yes. 16 A That's a Mr. Bevan issue. 16 Q Okay. And how much? 17 Q Okay. Could you turn to paragraph 316. 17 A How much? 18 A I'm there. 18 Q Is that loss? 19 Q Okay. It says, "As a further proximate" cause 19 MR. COREN: Objection as to 20 "of the above described deliberate gathering 20 form. 21 and withholding, destruction and/or concealment 21 A As in a monetary value? 22 of documents and evidence relating to Class 22 Q Yes. 23 Members' underlying asbestos claims, and/or the 23 A I would not be able to answer that. 24 24 Q Well, you now have testified you've been false and misleading statements thereafter that 25 no documents or evidence existed. Plaintiffs involved with Mr. Bevan for 15 years settling Page 75 Page 77 1 and other Class Members may or will incur, or cases against asbestos defendants, including, 2 have already incurred pecuniary losses and as we're going to see, people who actually 3 damages, including but not limited to the loss 3 created asbestos piping where your mother 4 of their underlying asbestos injury claim, the 4 worked. 5 A Right. expenses and costs of proceeding without this 6 evidence incurred in the effort to replace, 6 Q And you settled those cases? 7 locate, or identify evidence, and the cost of 7 A Right. 8 Q So you have some understanding of what cases 8 prosecuting this case to prove the fraudulent concealment and spoliation of evidence." 9 settle for, correct? 10 Do you see that? 10 A I have some understanding of what cases settled 11 A Yes. for, but I do not know how the figures were 12 Q Okay. First of all, the pecuniary damages, 12 derived. 13 what pecuniary damages, what money damages have 13 Q Well, as we sit here today, do you have any 14 you suffered? amount of money that you could identify for me 15 A What money damages? as your loss for having your mother's claim 15 16 Q Yeah. 16 dismissed or settled against BASF? 17 A Are you asking are there money damages that I 17 MR. COREN: Objection as to 18 have suffered personally? 18 form. 19 Q Yes. 19 You can respond. 20 A I'd have to give thought to that question. I'm 20 A I have -- I have no idea. That has not been 21 not certain what's involved in money damages 21 discussed. 22 Q Well, do you know that you or your mother's 22 for me. Are you talking about time? Are you 23 talking about any kind of material loss that I 23 estate have settled with other talc 24 have had? I'm not sure what that means. 24 manufacturers?

25 A I know that they have settled with other

25 Q What about in terms of your mother's estate,

Page 78

1 defendants, whether or not they were talc

2 manufacturers, I'm not certain. I particularly

4 that sort of thing.

6 settlements" --

7 A Right.

3 remember defendants like BFGoodrich, Cooper,

5 Q And you said you understood the term "aggregate

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017

Pages 78..81 Page 80 1 Mr. Bevan told you there was fraud is because 2 she heard that Engelhard didn't have asbestos 3 in the talc, right? 4 A No. 5 Q No. 6 A Well, based upon my understanding of this 7 particular case, the information given to them Page 81

9 A Right. 10 Q That's where maybe a bunch of defendants – 11 A Right. 11 A Right. 11 Q - were settling – 13 A Right. 14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs – 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based upon what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what - yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood	And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a efendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if — only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
10 Q That's where maybe a bunch of defendants – 11 A Right. 12 Q - were settling – 13 A Right. 14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs – 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	And at the time — withdrawn. Based upon your litigation experience with Mr. Bevan, you have come to learn that you have settled with defendants who actually did have asbestos in the talc, right? Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a hefendant owed somebody who was around talc, correct? Correct only if — only if they admitted that hey had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
11 A Right. 12 Q - were settling - 13 A Right. 14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs - 15 A Right. 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what - yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis - the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	Based upon your litigation experience with Mr. Bevan, you have come to learn that you ave settled with defendants who actually did ave asbestos in the talc, right? Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a lefendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if — only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
12 Q - were settling - 13 A Right. 14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs - 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	with Mr. Bevan, you have come to learn that you have settled with defendants who actually did have asbestos in the talc, right? Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a defendant owed somebody who was around talc, correct? Correct only if — only if they admitted that hey had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
13 A Right. 14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs - 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 16 Q 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	ave settled with defendants who actually did ave asbestos in the talc, right? Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a lefendant owed somebody who was around talc, correct? Correct only if only if they admitted that hey had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
14 Q - with a bunch of plaintiffs - 15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what - yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis - the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	ave asbestos in the talc, right? Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a lefendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
15 A Right. 16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	Yes. And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a lefendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if — only if they admitted that hey had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
16 Q - correct? 17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	And you would agree with me that's going to be elevant information, to see how much a efendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if — only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
17 A Right. Right. 18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	elevant information, to see how much a lefendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if only if they admitted that hey had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
18 Q And would you agree with me, would it be fair 19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	efendant owed somebody who was around talc, orrect? Correct only if only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
19 if I can show you that your mother or your 20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 Q Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	orrect? Correct only if only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
20 mother's estate settled with talc manufacturers 21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	Correct only if – only if they admitted that ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
21 who admitted they had asbestos in the talc, 22 that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A 8 Q A 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	ney had asbestos in the talc. I don't think
that would be instructive for you in 23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	•
23 understanding what the damages are in having 24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. 25 th 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 Q Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	
24 settled with Engelhard, correct? 25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	 I don't think it's fair to lump that in
25 A I think it's instructive to a certain extent. Page 79 1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	ith someone who said that they did not have
1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A 8 Q A 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	sbestos in the talc but in fact did. I think
1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	nat's a there's the difference there.
1 Q Because if you have two talc manufacturers and 2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A A S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	
2 you're settling with them, you would think, 3 based upon your experience with Mr. Bevan, that 4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Okay. And we'll get to that. I'm going to
3 A Filter than the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 5 A G Who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 6 Who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A G W Wou can respond. 8 Q W Wou can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 9 particular matter there was fraud involved. 10 in 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 Q Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 12 A Wr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 15 G Back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 16 that those cases were being settled against 17 that those cases who both had asbestos in their 18	now you
4 the talc manufacturer who has asbestos in the 5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A 7 8 You can respond. 8 Q 8 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 12 A 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	
5 talc would pay more than the talc manufacturer 6 who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 7 A 7 8 You can respond. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	- a listing of defendants.
6 Who doesn't have asbestos in the talc, fair? 7 MR. COREN: Form objection. 8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	_
8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 9 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	Some with asbestos, some without.
8 You can respond. 9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 9 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	All right. All right.
9 A Fair to a certain extent, because in this 10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	All right. Let's see.
10 particular matter there was fraud involved. 11 Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you? 11 12 A Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 12 A Based upon what — yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	MR. ASSAF: Can I have the
11Q Based on what Mr. Bevan told you?1112A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what12A13Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis13E14of this lawsuit.1415Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases15G16back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood1617that those cases were being settled against17th18defendants who both had asbestos in their18	nterrogatory?
12 A Based upon what – yes. Based upon what 13 Mr. Bevan said and the basis – the very basis 14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their 12 A 13 [14 of this lawsuit. 15 Q 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 16 lawsuit 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 defendants who both had asbestos in their	(Defendants' Exhibit 2 was marked.)
13Mr. Bevan said and the basis — the very basis13E14of this lawsuit.1415Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases15g16back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood1617that those cases were being settled against17tt18defendants who both had asbestos in their18	I think they're right in front of you. This is
14 of this lawsuit. 14 15 Q Okay. But in terms of the underlying cases 15 g 16 back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood 16 17 that those cases were being settled against 17 that those cases who both had asbestos in their 18	i u iii ik u iey ie iigi it ii i iioi it oi you. Ti iis is
16back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood1617that those cases were being settled against17that those cases were being settled against18defendants who both had asbestos in their18	D Ex. 2. "Plaintiff"
16back in 2000 and 2001, 2002, you understood1617that those cases were being settled against17that those cases were being settled against18defendants who both had asbestos in their18	Ex. 2. "Plaintiff"
17 that those cases were being settled against 17 that those cases were being settled against 17 that those cases were being settled against 18 that those cases were being settled against 19 that the settled against 19 tha	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff –" MR. COREN: Gene, when you
18 defendants who both had asbestos in their 18	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff –" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot
	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff –" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot –
	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff –" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think
20 product, correct? 20 b	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff" MR. COREN: Gene, when you let to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think his will take five minutes or so and then MR. COREN: Yeah.
• '	DEx. 2. "Plaintiff" MR. COREN: Gene, when you let to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think his will take five minutes or so and then
22 asbestos. I cannot recall those who did not.	DEX. 2. "Plaintiff" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think nis will take five minutes or so and then MR. COREN: Yeah. MR. ASSAF: we'll take a reak.
	DEX. 2. "Plaintiff" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think his will take five minutes or so and then MR. COREN: Yeah. MR. ASSAF: we'll take a reak. Marilyn Holley's withdrawn.
	DEX. 2. "Plaintiff" MR. COREN: Gene, when you let to a good spot MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think lis will take five minutes or so and then MR. COREN: Yeah. MR. ASSAF: we'll take a reak. Marilyn Holley's withdrawn. D Ex. 2 is entitled: Plaintiff Marilyn
25 settled with Engelhard, and one of the reasons 25	DEX. 2. "Plaintiff —" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot — MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think nis will take five minutes or so and then — MR. COREN: Yeah. MR. ASSAF: — we'll take a reak. Marilyn Holley's — withdrawn. D Ex. 2 is entitled: Plaintiff Marilyn dolley's answers to BASF's first set of
	DEX. 2. "Plaintiff —" MR. COREN: Gene, when you et to a good spot — MR. ASSAF: Yeah, I think his will take five minutes or so and then — MR. COREN: Yeah. MR. ASSAF: — we'll take a reak. Marilyn Holley's — withdrawn. D Ex. 2 is entitled: Plaintiff Marilyn

Pages 82..85 Page 82 Page 84 1 Q And then the response, the second paragraph, 2 says, "Plaintiff possesses no personal 3 information responsive to this request." Do you see that? 5 A Yes. 6 Q And I think you and I talked about this in the 7 beginning of the deposition, the information --8 personal -- withdrawn. 9 You have no personal information

o & And did you review these interrogatories before	o personal - will arawn.	
9 they were finalized? Or did you review them	9 You have no personal information	
10 after they were already filed?	10 regarding why the underlying case was settled,	
11 A No. I reviewed them after they were finalized.	11 what happened in the underlying case, what was	
12 We discussed them prior to submission.	12 said regarding Engelhard in the underlying	
13 Q And did you ever sign what's called a	13 case, correct?	
14 verification?	14 A I have no personal information of that, that's	
15 A Yes.	15 true.	
16 MR. ASSAF: I'm going to	16 Q And the person who does have that personal	
17 ask counsel for a copy of that verification.	17 information –	
18 MR. COREN: Yes.	18 A Would be	
19 MR. ASSAF: If you could	19 Q is would be?	
email it to me during the dep, that would be	20 A Attorney Bevan.	
21 useful. Okay?	21 Q Attorney Bevan. Okay.	
22 MR. GEYERMAN: Same goes for	22 Interrogatory 12, I think the same thing.	
23 the verifications for the other defendants.	23 "Describe all efforts made by Decedent and her	
24 Q So you remember signing the verification?	24 counsel in the Underlying Action to develop and	
25 A I believe so. I won't say unequivocally, but I	25 prosecute claims under Engelhard."	
• • •		Dogo or
1 believe so.	ge 83 1 And, again, just to be clear, the person	Page 85
2 Q And because you've signed verifications for	2 who would have the factual information to	
other interrogatory responses in other	3 address why the case was settled, what was said	
4 litigations, correct?	4 by Engelhard would be?	
5 A Correct.	5 A Attorney Bevan.	
6 Q And so you know what a verification is?	6 Q And on that note, we'll take a break.	
7 A Yes.	7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.	
8 Q Let's start at the back, actually, 11 and 12.	8 The time is 11:36.	
9 In 11 the second paragraph reads,	9 (Recess taken.)	
10 "Subject to and without waiving the foregoing	10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the	
11 Objections, Plaintiff possesses no personal	11 record. The time is 11:48.	
12 information responsive to this request."	12 (Defendants' Exhibit 3 was marked.)	
13 This is a question –	13 BY MR. ASSAF:	
14 A Do we have – oh, I wasn't at the	14 Q Okay. In front of you, Ms. Holley, is	
15 interrogatories. I'm sorry.	15 exhibit Defendants' Exhibit 3, the response	
16 Q Sorry. Withdrawn.	16 to the Cahill Gordon interrogatories.	
17 Let's start again.	17 Do you see these?	
18 A Okay. Interrogatory number 11?	18 A Yes.	
19 Q 11, yep. Describe in detail the process behind	19 Q Did you also review these at some point?	
20 the Decedent's decision to settle or dismiss	20 A Yes.	
21 Engelhard in the Underlying Actions, including	21 Q Okay.	
22 the Persons involved, the information reviewed,	22 A I'm certain that I did. Uh-huh.	
23 and the basis for the decisions.	23 Q Did you sign a verification?	
24 Do you see that?	24 A I believe I did, yes.	
25 A Uh-huh.	25 Q Okay.	

1 A Yes.

6

2 Q Okay. When did you first see these?

3 A I first saw these after they were prepared for

4 me, but I can't give you an exact time when

Based upon the certificate of service, it

8 Q And did you review these interrogatories before

5 they were -- when it was done. Let's see.

7 looks like it was the end of last year. Okay.

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 86..89 Page 86 Page 88 1 plaintiffs' -MR. ASSAF: Counsel, may we 1 2 also have a copy of that verification? 2 A Right. 3 MR. PLACITELLA: Sure. 3 Q - lawyers --4 MS. FIELDS: We join in that 4 A Right. 5 request. 5 Q - in the Williams case? MR. ASSAF: 6 I don't think 6 A Right. 7 it was attached. 7 Q And I would like to turn your attention to 8 Q All right. And in terms of filling out the 8 paragraph 2. 9 A Paragraph 2. Okay. 9 disclosed - the interrogatories, fair to say that you also -- you relied on the facts that 10 Q Yep. 11 you knew from Mr. Bevan? Withdrawn. 11 I'm sorry. Page 2. Page 2. 12 In terms of responding to the 12 A Page 2. 13 Q Where it says "Thomas Bevan." 13 interrogatories, I know you said that you had no personal knowledge of many of the facts in 14 A Uh-huh. 15 there, correct? 15 Q Do you see that? 16 A Yes. 16 A Yes. 17 Q And with respect to the facts you did know, is 17 Q And I'm going to read this under "Subject." 18 it fair to say that many of those facts came 18 A Okay. 19 from Mr. Bevan? 19 Q "Mr. Bevan is an attorney in the Akron, Ohio 20 A Many, but I believe in this interrogatories we area who has represented the five Ohio-venued 21 talked about residences. 21 Named Class Representative Plaintiffs. He has 22 Q Yes. 22 knowledge of their asbestos claims, the 23 A Okay. So that would have been personal representations that he and his firm were given 24 by Defendant BASF Catalysts, Cahill, Gordon and 24 knowledge. 25 Q So other things like residences, I guess it's 25 the individual attorneys affiliated with these Page 87 Page 89 1 your brother and Linda Neal whom also you Defendants, and the actions taken as a result 2 identified as potential witnesses? of Defendants' misrepresentations, half-truth 3 A Linda Neal, not necessarily my brother. 3 statements, material omissions concerning Emtal 4 Q Okay. All right. talc and the evidence of asbestos in Emtal 5 A Uh-uh. talc. He has knowledge about the involuntary 5 6 Q But other than that purely personal 6 dismissal of his clients' claims due to the 7 information -defendants' misrepresentations, half-truth 8 A Yes. 8 statements and material omissions concerning 9 Q - the facts of the underlying case and the Emtal talc and the evidence of asbestos in 10 facts of the alleged fraud, all that's 10 Emtal talc." 11 Mr. Bevan? 11 Do you see that? 12 A Yes. 12 A Yes. 13 Q "Go talk to Mr. Bevan"? 13 Q Do you think that's true? 14 A Yes. 14 A Yes. 15 (Defendants' Exhibit 4 was marked.) 15 Q Yes. And do you have - have you ever told 16 Q May I have put in front of the witness 16 Mr. Bevan that he cannot provide facts in this Defendants' Exhibit 4, please. 17 17 case? 18 Defendants' Exhibit 4 is entitled "Class 18 MR. COREN: Objection as to 19 Plaintiffs Rule 26(a) Disclosures." 19 form. 20 Have you ever seen this before? 20 Also to the extent that you're relying 21 upon advice of counsel, I'm going to instruct 21 A I can't recall for sure. This document goes 22 back to October 2015. 22 you not to answer. However, to the extent that 23 Q Okay. 23 you have personal knowledge, you may --24 A And I don't remember -- I can't say yea or nay. 24 without -- you can answer without revealing 25 Q Okay. It's something filed by your -advice of counsel, please respond.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 90..93 Page 90 Page 92 1 A I have no personal knowledge. Mr. Coren? 1 2 Q Okay. Let me try it this way: You've known 2 MR. COREN: Many. Many. 3 Mr. Bevan for 15 years? 3 MR. ASSAF: What identified 4 A Yes. 4 by that question? 5 Q He has a relationship with you and your 5 Court reporter, could you read back the mother's estate and helping you in all of these 6 question, please? 7 asbestos cases, right? 7 MR. COREN: You know, I'm 8 A Correct. 8 not going to respond. I've asserted my --9 Q You trust him, correct? 9 Please read MR. ASSAF: 10 A Yes. 10 back the question. 11 Q You think he's a good guy, good lawyer? 11 (Requested portion of the record was read.) 12 A Yes. 12 MR. COREN: Okay. And, 13 Q Okay. If he has information that would help 13 once again, the issue is a matter of assertion 14 out your case here, you wouldn't have any 14 of privilege, which is a complicated one in New 15 objection to him giving it to you to help you 15 Jersey. It's not an automatic one in New 16 out, correct? Jersey. We have asserted the privilege. It's 16 17 MR. COREN: 17 a matter under submission to judge --I'm going to 18 instruct you not to answer the question. It 18 Magistrate Judge Dickson. I'm instructing the 19 deals with a matter of privilege. We are client not to answer. 20 20 Q Have you had -- yes or no: Have you had asserting at this time the privilege. Until 21 21 discussions with Mr. Bevan regarding the facts the Court takes appropriate action under law, 22 I'm instructing the witness not to answer. 22 in this case, the Williams case? Just answer 23 MR. ASSAF: 23 it "yes" or "no" without revealing what was On whether she 24 24 said. has an objection to him providing us 25 information? 25 A Yes. Page 91 Page 93 MR. COREN: Yes, because 1 Q Okay. Could you answer yes or no whether it's a matter of privilege and we're you've had discussions with Mr. Bevan as to 3 instructing her not to answer. It's a very 3 whether he would be willing to testify in this complicated issue. It's an issue that's case? Don't say what he said, just have you pending before Magistrate Judge Dickson. had those discussions? 6 Q So let's try it this way: We've identified --6 A I have not had those discussions with him. you've identified Mr. Bevan as a guy who 7 Q Okay. Do you have any written agreement with 8 understands the facts of the underlying action, 8 Mr. Bevan prohibiting him from testifying in the alleged representations, and other issues, this case? 10 fair? 10 A No. 11 A True. 11 Q We talked about the arrangements when we 12 Q As we sit here today, as a class representative started, by the way, and it was your 13 and somebody who's known Mr. Bevan for 15 recollection you did not have a written fee 14 years, can you think of any reason why you as a arrangement with Mr. Placitella's firm? 15 class rep wouldn't want him to provide those 15 A I'm not going to say that I don't have one, I 16 facts to the Court? just don't recall that particular document. 17 MR. COREN: 17 Q Would this be in your files that the Once again, 18 it's a matter of privilege. I'm -plaintiffs' counsel haven't reviewed yet? 19 MR. ASSAF: 19 A Either in my files or Mr. Bevan's. It's not 20 privileged, Michael. 20 Q And do you have a separate agreement with 21 21 Mr. Bevan in writing for compensation in this MR. COREN: Excuse me. It 22 is. It's the assertion of a privilege which we case, the Williams case? 23 are asserting. 23 A I'm not sure. Really I'm not sure.

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

24 Q Would that be in your files as well?

25 A If it's -- if I have it, if I was given that,

MR. ASSAF:

advice or legal communication is at issue,

What legal

24

25

Pages 94..97

Page 96 1 A When you say "in addition to this lawsuit," 2 were you referring to 3 Q In addition to the lawsuit against 98 asbestos 4 defendants 5 A Oh, I see. 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta. 15 Do you see this document?
 2 were you referring to 3 Q In addition to the lawsuit against 98 asbestos 4 defendants 5 A Oh, I see. 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
3 Q In addition to the lawsuit against 98 asbestos 4 defendants 5 A Oh, I see. 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
4 defendants 5 A Oh, I see. 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not - not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled - or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 5 A Oh, I see. 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not - not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page - 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled - or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 6 Q - did you file any other asbestos case? 7 A No, not - not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled - or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
7 A No, not – not except the one that we're 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled – or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 8 presently talking about. 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled - or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 9 Q Okay. So that's November 14, 2000, okay? 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 10 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled – or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 11 Q Then we're going to go to the very next page 12 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a 13 letter entitled or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 the very next document, D Ex. 6. It is a letter entitled – or dated February 15, 2001 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
 13 letter entitled – or dated February 15, 2001 14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
14 from Mr. Bevan to Sam Martillotta.
15 Do you soo this document?
15 Do you see this document?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And if you turn to the second page of D Ex. 6,
18 there's a reference to Ms. Darnell. Do you see
19 this? Do you see that?
20 A Yes.
21 Q The document says, "I have enclosed the above
22 captioned Master Consolidated Complaints. As I
23 indicated to you previously, most of these
24 Plaintiffs did not work in facilities where
25 they would have been exposed to talc."
Page 97 1 Do you see that?
2 A Yes.
3 Q Okay. "The following Plaintiffs did work in
4 facilities where they were exposed to talc."
5 And then he lists
6 A Yes.
7 Q -14-
8 A Yes.
9 Q - plaintiffs. Do you see that?
10 A Yes.
11 Q And do you see that they have different
12 employers? Firestone, Goodyear, General Tire?
13 A Yes.
14 Q And do you see where they have different years
15 in which they were working at these employers?
16 A Yes.
17 Q And that they have different diagnoses?
18 A Yes.
19 Q Do you think those withdrawn.
20 Based upon your 15 years of dealing with
21 asbestos litigation with Mr. Bevan, do you
22 understand that the differences in exposure and
23 diseases matter in terms of compensation?
24 A Yes.
25 Q What's your understanding of the reason for

Pages 98..101

MARILIN			04/05/2017	rayes	90101
1 that?	Page 98	1 A	Yes		Page 100
2 A The severity of the illnesses. Basically			And Georgia-Pacific. Do you see the	hat?	
3 mesothelioma, which my mother had which we know		2 Q		nat:	
4 is a lung disease only contracted by exposure			And R.T. Vanderbilt and Company	Do you see	
5 to asbestos.			that?	. 20 you ooo	
6 Q So mesothelioma should be the disease for which			R.T. Vanderbilt.		
7 the greatest compensation is allowed, as			Yeah, down towards the end.		
8 opposed to asbestosis?		8 A			
9 A To the best of my knowledge and belief, that is		-	And if you go back up to the E's, Ea	astern	
10 true.		10	Magnesia Talc Company, do you s		
11 Q So the complaint is November of 2010, and in		11 A	*		
12 roughly three months later, there's a letter	I		So in May of 2001, all of the claims	s against	
13 from Mr. Bevan to Mr. Martillotta, whom I'll			those defendants are dismissed by		
14 represent to you was defense counsel for talc			do you see that?	, ,	
15 defendants group.		15 A	•		
16 A Okay.			Okay. Then a few weeks later - I'	d like to	
17 Q Okay. Regarding these 14 cases.			turn your attention to D Ex. 8.		
18 And at the end, Mr. Bevan says the			Okay.		
19 very last paragraph, I'm also enclosing – "I	I		D Ex. 8 is a document dated May	25, 2001 from	
20 have also enclosed diagnosing medical records			Mr. Martillotta to Mr. Bevan.	·	
21 for each of these cases. Please let me know if		21 A	Yes.		
22 the talc defendants would like to resolve these		22 Q	And it's entitled "Settlement with 7"	Talc	
23 cases along with the plaintiffs from the		23	Defendants."		
24 Breckenridge complaint. Obviously, we would		24	Do you see that?		
25 also dismiss the defendants from other cases on		25 A	Yes.		
	Page 99				Page 101
1 these complaints as well."		1 Q	By the way, have you seen this doo	cument before?	J
2 Do you see that?		2 A	I can't say that I have. I may have, I	but there	
3 A Dismiss the talc defendants.			have been so many documents. I c	annot identify	
4 Q Yes.			them individually.		
5 A Uh-huh.			Okay. Let's see if I can refresh you	r	
6 Q Okay. So Mr. Bevan's asking Mr. Martillotta		6	recollection on this.		
7 whether we could resolve all of these cases			Okay.		
8 three months after the complaint's filed,			Thank you for forwarding to me the		
9 right?			Please revise the name of Harwick (
10 A According to the record, yes.		10	Corporation to read 'Harwick Chem	•	
11 Q Okay. Now let's go to D Ex. 7, which is the		11	known as Harwick Standard Distrib		
12 very next document. And it is in fact a			Corporation'. With that change, the		
dismissal by Mr. Bevan of your mother's lawsuit			defendants agree to the language of		
14 against a number of defendants.		14	and urge you to obtain them for the		
15 Do you see that?		15	Breckenridge plaintiffs with whom w		
16 A Yes.		16	settling for a total of \$14,000, and th		
17 Q And included in these defendants are companies			fourteen plaintiffs from the other cas		
18 like the Asbestos Corporation. Do you see		18	specifically including Kathryn Dame	II.	
19 that?		19	Do you see that?		
20 A Yes.		20 A			
21 Q And Bell Asbestos Mines.			Tom, as soon as you have the exe		
22 A Uh-huh.		22	please forward them to me and I wil	ll torward to	
23 Q And Federal Mogul.		23	you the drafts.		
-			=		
24 A Uh-huh. 25 Q Do you see that?		24 A	Uh-huh. In the meantime, prepare and serv	a	

Pages 102..105

MAKIDIN NOI	
Page 1 the dismissal of the seven talc defendants with	102 Page 104 1 Q All right. So did you know that R.T.
	2 Vanderbilt in fact had asbestos in its talc?
whom you are settling in each of these cases.	
3 Do you see that?	3 A No, I did not know that. Personally, no.
4 A Yes.	4 Q Okay. Well, can you dispute that Mr. Bevan
5 Q And then if you turn to the second or I	5 knew that?
6 guess it's page 3 under "Bevan 14 Plaintiffs."	6 MR. COREN: Objection as to
7 Do you see that?	7 fom.
8 A Yes.	8 A I wouldn't be able to dispute that. That's
9 Q And it says \$19,000 and Kathryn Damell.	9 based upon his knowledge and belief and it's
10 So do you see that?	10 nothing that I discussed with him.
11 A Yes.	11 Q Okay.
12 Q All right. Does that refresh your recollection	12 A Because this, as I say, this was prior to my
that all seven talc defendants were settling	13 mom's passing. And so this particular
14 for a total of \$14,000?	14 defendant then she would have had the
15 A No, it does not. It does not refresh my	15 relationship with Mr. Bevan.
16 recollection. And, actually, this is dated May	16 Q Well, this is actually this is August 16,
17 25, 2001. It was literally only two weeks	17 2001.
18 before my mom passed away.	18 A Okay.
19 Q In terms of understanding the facts of this	19 Q This is the dismissal of all seven talc
20 settlement with seven talc defendants for	20 defendants.
21 \$14,000, would Mr. Bevan be the person that you	21 A That was the dismissal
22 would encourage me to talk to?	22 Q Yep.
23 A Yes. He would definitely have the information	23 A - of all seven and it was - I have it at
24 on this. I did not. I was not a party to	24 home. I don't remember let me see the
25 this.	25 date of my becoming –
Page	103 Page 105
1 Q Okay. Then if you turn to D Ex 9. It's dated	1 Q Okay.
2 May 16, 2001.	2 A executrix of the estate.
3 A Yes.	3 Q Okay. But as we sit here today, do you know
4 Q So now I'm showing you this because it's a	4 whether Southern Talc had asbestos in its talc?
5 voluntary dismissal of defendants Georgia Talc,	5 A I have no idea.
6 Harwick Chemical, Emtal, R.T. Vanderbilt,	6 Q And could you dispute that Mr. Bevan in fact
7 Johnson & Johnson, and Southern Talc Companies,	7 knew that Southern Talc had asbestos in the
8 which seems to be, by my count, seven talc	8 talc?
9 companies.	9 A I would not
10 A Okay.	10 MR. COREN: Objection.
11 Q Okay. Now, remember in the beginning of the	11 A be able to dispute that.
12 deposition I asked you whether talc companies	12 Q Could you turn to D Ex. 10?
who have asbestos in the talc were paying money	13 A Okay.
14 different from talc companies that didn't have	14 Q January 7, 2002 from Mr. Bevan to Sam
15 asbestos in the talc?	15 Martillotta.
16 A Yes, I recall that.	16 A Right.
17 Q And you said you would expect the talc	17 Q And dated January 7, 2002.
18 companies with asbestos to actually pay more,	18 A Uh-huh.
19 fair?	19 Q Entitled "Talc Settlement."
20 A Fair.	20 A Right.
	21 Q "Dear Sam:
 Q Now, here we have seven talc defendants dismissing the case against your mother and 	
ZZ OBODSKIO DE CASE ADADS VOULTIONELAND	22 I have enclosed executed release for the23 following clients."
23 let's assume it's for \$14,000, per Mr. Bevan's	
	24 And then on the next page it says, "The 25 following clients were previously paid."

Pages 106..109

MAKIDIN 110DI	
Page 10 "We have not filed claim for the	
	A No, not with – understanding the underlying issues.
We are waiting releases from the	3 Q Could you turn to D Ex. 11, the very next
4 following clients.	4 document. This is January 10, 2002.
5 "Per your release, I have enclosed death	5 It says: Enclosed is a settlement draft
6 certificates for the following clients."	6 from the Mansour Trust Account payable to Bevan
7 And then on page 3 it says, "According to	7 & Associates on behalf of the Breckenridge
8 our records, we have previously settled and	8 Plaintiffs and 14 additional Plaintiffs listed
9 dismissed, but not yet been paid for the	9 below, in the amount of \$33,000.
10 following clients." Do you see where it says	10 It says: Specifically, the settlement is
11 Kathryn Damell?	11 with Defendants Emtal, Georgia Talc put a
12 A Yes, which includes my mom, yes.	12 just remember Georgia Talc.
13 Q Which includes your mom.	13 A Right.
14 A Uh-huh.	14 Q Harwick Chemical, Johnson & Johnson, R.T.
15 Q And it says for the talc defendants that she	15 Vanderbilt let's remember R.T. Vanderbilt
16 was paid \$2,000. Do you see that?	16 too Southern Talc remember them
17 A I see that.	17 St. Lawrence Liquidating Company, and Trustee
18 Q And that \$2,000 included money from talc	18 for International Talc Companies.
19 defendants who had asbestos in the talc,	19 And then it goes through the claims of
20 correct?	20 the Breckenridge complaint.
21 MR. COREN: Objection as to	21 And then the next page, on page 2, says,
22 form.	22 "In addition, the settlement is with the
23 A Does it say that?	23 following Plaintiffs (along with their spouses
24 Q I'm asking if you know.	24 and/or estates, where applicable) who have
25 A Idon't know.	25 filed actions separately in the Cuyahoga County
Doga 4/	
Page 10 1 Q Mr. Bevan would know, correct?	Page 109 1 Court of Common Pleas." And you see Kathryn
2 A Okay. Right.	2 Damell listed?
3 Q And would you be surprised, based on what you	3 A I see it.
4 know of Mr. Bevan and the allegations in this	4 Q Correct?
5 case, if Mr. Bevan recommended a settlement	5 A Uh-huh.
6 from talc defendants who had asbestos in the	6 Q Now, we're going to come back to page 2 in a
7 talc for a total of \$2,000? Would that	7 second, but I want to show you – if you could
8 surprise you?	8 keep D Ex. 11 in front of you.
9 MR. COREN: Objection as to	9 A Yes.
	10 Q And then I'm going to show you something filed
111 1/1/11	TO G AUGUELIU COUCUSOV VOU SOMEMUO MEG
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I	11 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc
 11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 	by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talccompany
 A Based upon the way you put your question, I don't know why I would be surprised or not surprised, because we're talking about 	11 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc12 company13 A Okay.
 A Based upon the way you put your question, I don't know why I would be surprised or not surprised, because we're talking about settlement issues. Okay. 	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company - A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc,
 A Based upon the way you put your question, I don't know why I would be surprised or not surprised, because we're talking about settlement issues. Okay. Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay?
 A Based upon the way you put your question, I don't know why I would be surprised or not surprised, because we're talking about settlement issues. Okay. Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any reason you would object to settling with a talc 	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay.
 A Based upon the way you put your question, I don't know why I would be surprised or not surprised, because we're talking about settlement issues. Okay. Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any reason you would object to settling with a talc defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000?	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants,
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000?	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company - A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants, and we're trying to figure out whether they had
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000? 19 A I can think —	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants,
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000? 19 A I can think – 20 MR. COREN: Objection as to	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company - A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants, and we're trying to figure out whether they had
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000? 19 A I can think — 20 MR. COREN: Objection as to 21 form.	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants, and we're trying to figure out whether they had asbestos in the talc or didn't have asbestos in
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000? 19 A I can think – 20 MR. COREN: Objection as to	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company A Okay. Q - and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants, and we're trying to figure out whether they had asbestos in the talc or didn't have asbestos in the talc, okay?
11 A Based upon the way you put your question, I 12 don't know why I would be surprised or not 13 surprised, because we're talking about 14 settlement issues. Okay. 15 Q So as we sit here today, you can't think of any 16 reason you would object to settling with a talc 17 defendant who had asbestos in the talc for 18 \$2,000? 19 A I can think – 20 MR. COREN: Objection as to 21 form. 22 A – of no reason for me to object.	 by Mr. Bevan regarding the Southern Talc company - A Okay. Q - and whether it had asbestos in the talc, okay? A Oh, okay. Q So, again, remember, to set this up: Settling with seven defendants, seven talc defendants, and we're trying to figure out whether they had asbestos in the talc or didn't have asbestos in the talc, okay? So now if you turn to D Ex. 12, this is

Pages 110..113

MARILIN HOLL.	E1 - 04/05/2017 Pages 11011.
Page 110	
1 Mr. Bevan.	1 Q Of Mr. Bevan's document.
2 A Uh-huh.	2 A Okay. Okay.
3 Q Okay. Now, first of all, it says, if you turn	3 Q Okay. And may I just point you to this?
4 to page 2	4 A Uh-huh.
5 A Okay.	5 Q Right there.
6 Q - "The BFGoodrich plant contained many miles	6 A Right here.
7 of asbestos insulated steam pipes, asbestos	7 Q "Soapstone/talc was used extensively all over
8 insulated tanks, boilers, and asbestos	8 the BFGoodrich plant. (See affidavit of James
9 insulated machinery."	9 Clark.) Talc/soapstone was commonly
10 A Where is that?	10 contaminated with asbestos as was evidenced by
11 Q On page 2. Do you see that right there?	11 BFGoodrich's own laboratory analyses. Robert
12 A Okay.	12 Moddrell, a former BFGoodrich Industrial
13 Q "In the late 1980s, BFGoodrich engaged in a	13 Hygienist has testified that the talc used at
14 major asbestos abatement project in its Akron	14 BFGoodrich contained asbestos."
15 plant. One job involved the removal of an	15 Do you see that?
16 astounding 22 miles of asbestos pipe	16 A Yes.
17 insulation."	17 Q Okay. And it says, if you go to the bottom of
18 Do you see that?	18 page 15, "BFGoodrich did not create a 'no
19 A Yes.	19 asbestos' talc specification until seven years
20 Q And your mom had worked at BFGoodrich	20 later. Also, BFGoodrich did not remove
21 A Yes.	21 asbestos-containing 'White Talc' from Southern
22 Q - correct?	22 Talc Company " withdrawn.
23 A Yes.	23 It says, "Also, BFGoodrich did not remove
24 Q And then on the next page Mr. Bevan talks about	24 asbestos-containing 'White Talc' from Southern
25 "Between 1950 and 1977, BFGoodrich purchased	25 Talc Company from its supplier list until
Dogo 11/	Dogo 112
Page 111 1 millions of pounds of raw asbestos fiber."	Page 113
2 During that "During said time, BFGoodrich	2 Do you see that?
3 purchased over seven million pounds of raw	3 A Yes.
4 asbestos fiber from Johns Manville and over	4 Q So there's Southern Talc. So Mr. Bevan knows
5 seven million pounds of raw asbestos from The	5 that there's talc used at BFGoodrich, knows
6 C.P. Hall Company."	6 that some of the talc has asbestos, and in fact
7 Do you see that?	7 knows that Southern Company has asbestos in it.
8 A Yes.	8 In fact, if you turn to Exhibit 26 – may
9 Q And it says, "Talc/soapstone was commonly	9 I turn it for you?
10 contaminated with asbestos as was evidenced by	10 A Yes, please.
11 BFGoodrich's only laboratory analyses."	11 Q If you turn to Exhibit 26, Mr. Bevan it's
12 Do you see that?	12 Exhibit 12A, for the record.
13 A Where is that?	13 Mr. Bevan includes a document showing
14 Q That's right there.	14 that states "Recent Raw Materials investigation
_	
15 A Okay.	11 2
16 Q Okay.	16 Talc Company contain significant amounts of
17 A Okay.	17 asbestos-like particles (tremolite)."
18 MR. ASSAF: By the way,	18 Do you see that?
19 counsel, we would very much like, if Mr. Bevan	19 A Yes.
20 has them, the analyses done by BFGoodrich's own	20 Q Okay. So does that refresh your recollection,
	21 going back to D Ex. 11, as to whether Southern
21 laboratory analyses on the talc that he	
22 references in these papers.	22 Talc Company, which supplied BFGoodrich, had
references in these papers.Q Okay. Then I'm going to ask you to flip to	Talc Company, which supplied BFGoodrich, hadasbestos in its talc?
22 references in these papers.	22 Talc Company, which supplied BFGoodrich, had

Pages 114..117

11 - 04/05/2017 Pages 11411
Page 116
1 MR. COREN: Objection.
2 Where does it say that?
3 Q Do those people get compensation as part of the
4 class?
5 MR. COREN: Objection as to
6 form.
7 A You're saying that based upon the documentation
8 that these particular plaintiffs were not –
9 Q Exposed to talc.
10 A Exposed to talc. So what do you want from me?
11 What are you asking?
12 Q Well, as the class representative, let's say
13 you have a pile of money at the end.
14 A Uh-huh.
15 Q Let's say you have a million dollars and you
16 have to divide it up amongst class members. So
17 do you think that people who are class members
18 who were actually never exposed to talc deserve
19 to get some money?
20 A If they were in fact never exposed to talc, but
21 based upon the basis of the lawsuit, I
22 really – I really don't see me being able to
23 answer that question, I really don't.
24 Q Well, let's say let's put it the other way.
25 A Okay.
Page 117 1 Q For people who were exposed to asbestos and
2 talc, like Georgia Southern's talc
3 A Right.
4 Q - because we now know that Southern Talc
5 Company is rife with asbestos.
6 A Right.
7 Q Okay. So somebody works with that talc for 20
8 years and develops meso and you have a limited
9 amount of money at the end. Do you think the
10 meso victim should get less money because
11 people who were never exposed to talc are going
12 to make claims? How is that fair?
13 MR. COREN: Objection to
14 form.
15 A I'm still not certain how I would factually be
16 able to answer about fairness, in particular
17 you know, we know that the basis is if they're
18 exposed to talc. But to me, again, this is
19 what I say, the supposition questions, I mean,
20 I just don't see how I can fairly answer them.
21 Q But you're the class representative.
21 Q But you're the class representative.22 A I'm the class representative on this particular
21 Q But you're the class representative.22 A I'm the class representative on this particular

Pages 118..121

	EY - 04/05/2017 Pages 11812
Page 11	
1 Q I'm asking you as a class rep do you think that	1 form.
2 people who were never exposed to talc	2 A Yes.
3 A If they were never exposed to talc, based upon	3 Q And that's part of the reason why for the last
4 accepted practices, then no, I don't think they	4 15 or so years you've helped Mr. Bevan recover
5 would be entitled to compensation, but to add	5 money from various companies for
6 to that, I don't see how I really would make	6 asbestos-related injuries, correct?
7 that determination.	7 A That's correct.
8 Q You would need the Court to help you?	8 Q Now would you turn to page 113?
9 A There you go. Yes.	9 A In the same document?
10 Q Okay. And if the Court were to determine that	10 Q Yes, ma'am.
11 people were never exposed to my client's talc,	11 And line 23, page 113 at the bottom.
12 Emtal talc, and the Court determined that, you	12 A Uh-huh.
13 would agree that, yeah, they shouldn't get	13 Q It says, "Do you draw a distinction, ma'am,
14 money either?	14 between soapstone and talc?"
15 A If the Court determined that?	15 Answer, "And talcum?"
16 Q Yes. Correct?	16 Question, "Talc, do you know what talc
17 A Yes.	17 is?"
18 (Defendants' Exhibit 13 was marked.)	18 Answer, "No."
19 Q Let's go to D Ex. 13.	19 Question, "Okay."
20 A Okay.	20 "You're talking about talcum?"
21 Q D Ex. 13 is the deposition of Kathryn Damell	21 Question, "I'm talking about a material
22 on June 18, 2001.	22 that is used in some industries that is
23 A Okay.	23 referred to as talc, T-A-L-C."
24 Q Okay.	24 Answer, "I've never heard of it."
25 A The date again?	25 Do you see that?
Page 11	9 Page 121
1 Q January 18, 2001.	1 A Yes.
2 A January.	2 (Defendants' Exhibit 14 was marked.)
3 Q Yes, sorry.	3 Q And then if we go to D Ex. 14, the next
4 A It wouldn't have been June, no.	4 deposition, January 22, 2001.
5 Q Sorry. Could you turn to page 47?	5 A Okay.
6 A 47?	6 Q And if you turn to page 226, I want to refer
7 Q Yep.	7 you to a statement by Mr. Bevan at the bottom.
8 A Okay.	8 A Page 226?
9 Q And it's their question to Ms. Darnell about	9 Q Yes.
10 her exposure to real asbestos in the BFGoodrich	10 A Okay.
11 plant.	11 Q Mr. Bevan says, "Brent, we stipulated and we
12 Line 6, question, "What were in the bags,	12 will stipulate again that she doesn't know the
13 ma'am?"	13 brand name, manufacturer, distributor or
14 Answer, "Asbestos."	14 supplier of any insulation products, as well as
15 Question, "Just raw asbestos?"	
•	15 any soapstone products as well." 16 Do you see that?
Answer, "Raw asbestos, 50-pound bags.	16 Do you see that? 17 A No. Where is it? You said on 226?
17 And it was written right on the bags what it	17 A INO. WHERE IS IT? TOU SAID ON 226?
10 was book we didn't know that it was don server.	19 O Dight at the bottom
was, heck, we didn't know that it was dangerous	18 Q Right at the bottom.
19 or anything like that."	19 A Oh, okay. Okay.
19 or anything like that."20 Do you see that?	19 A Oh, okay. Okay. 20 Yes.
19 or anything like that."20 Do you see that?21 A Yes.	19 A Oh, okay. Okay.20 Yes.21 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to
 or anything like that." Do you see that? A Yes. Q Okay. You understood that Ms. Damell was in 	 19 A Oh, okay. Okay. 20 Yes. 21 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to 22 whether your mother didn't know the name of any
 19 or anything like that." 20 Do you see that? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Okay. You understood that Ms. Damell was in 23 fact exposed to real asbestos in the BFGoodrich 	 19 A Oh, okay. Okay. 20 Yes. 21 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to 22 whether your mother didn't know the name of any 23 of the talc manufacturers that she was exposed
 19 or anything like that." 20 Do you see that? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Okay. You understood that Ms. Damell was in 	 19 A Oh, okay. Okay. 20 Yes. 21 Q Does that refresh your recollection as to 22 whether your mother didn't know the name of any

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 122..125

MARILIN HC	лььы - 04/05/2017 Pages 12212
	Page 124
1 Q Would Mr. Bevan be the best person to talk to?	1 Q Where are they?
2 A Yes, he would be.	2 A I think they're at the family home. I'm not
3 Q It's time for lunch.	3 sure. I'm not sure, but I think they're at the
4 A Okay.	4 family home where one of my sisters lives.
5 Q We're taking a lunch break.	5 Q Okay. Do they still exist?
6 A Okay.	6 A Oh, yes. Yes.
7 Q Okay. Is that okay with you?	7 Q Okay. And could you could you work with
8 A That's fine with me.	8 Mr. Coren to get those – to have somebody
9 Q Okay. Great.	9 review those documents?
10 MR. ASSAF: Let's go off	10 A Yes, I would be able to do that.
11 the record.	11 Q Great. Thank you.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record.	12 A Uh-huh.
13 The time is 12:30.	13 Q Now, and also – so you see it's entitled
14 (Recess taken.)	14 Kathryn Damell Complaint?
15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on	15 A Uh-huh.
16 the record. The time is 1:08.	16 Q The re line.
17 BY MR. ASSAF:	17 A Uh-huh.
18 Q Good afternoon.	18 MR. ASSAF: Mr. Coren and
19 A Good afternoon.	19 Mr. Placitella, do you have any reason why this
20 Q Did you discuss your deposition testimony over	20 wasn't produced or logged? D Ex. 6. The
21 lunch?	21 letter entitled Kathryn Damell Complaint.
22 A No.	22 MR. COREN: Is this 6?
23 Q Did you review any documents	23 MR. ASSAF: Yes, that's 6.
24 A No.	24 MR. COREN: Your question
25 Q over lunch? Okay.	25 is, I'm sorry, what?
Page	e 123 Page 129
1 I'm going to show you again Defendants'	1 MR. ASSAF: Why that wasn't
2 Exhibit 6 and Defendants' Exhibit 8, the	2 produced or logged. I just checked over the
3 correspondence to and from Bevan regarding	3 lunch break and it hasn't been produced and it
4 settlement with seven top defendants and	4 hasn't been logged.
5 regarding the Kathryn Darnell complaint.	5 MR. COREN: I'll have to
6 A You said 6?	6 look into it, Gene, I don't know.
7 Q I'm going to give it to you.	7 MR. ASSAF: Okay.
8 A Okay.	8 Q And also, then, D Ex. 8, which I'm going to put
9 Q So let's start with D Ex. 6.	9 also in front of you, which again, is Bevan
10 A All right.	10 correspondence settlement with seven talc
11 Q DEx. 6 is February 15, 2001 and it's entitled	11 defendants.
12 Re: Kathryn Damell Complaint. Do you see	12 MR. ASSAF: And as we said,
•	·
	13 Ms. Damell's mentioned throughout the letter,
14 A Yes.	14 and I just don't I'm now concerned.
15 Q So would this be part of your files? Would	15 It just dawned on me over lunch that this
16 Mr. Bevan have sent you a copy of this?	16 wasn't produced or logged and how could that
17 A No. This was February 15, '01. Mom passed	17 be? There's only six named plaintiffs and this
18 Q Right.	18 is you met with Bevan prior to the
19 A in June of '01. So it would have been with	19 complaint, you've met with Bevan since. She
20 her.	20 Bevan is Ms. Holley's lawyer. He has all the
21 Q But did you take her files, her litigation	21 files. How could it be that this isn't
	22 produced or logged?
22 files	1 00
22 files - 23 A No.	23 MR. COREN: Gene, we'll

Pages 126..129

	LEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 126129
Page 1	
1 timing of the things, I'll have to look into it	1 filed with the Court until the Court asks to
2 and see.	2 see them.
3 MR. ASSAF: And will you	3 MR. ASSAF: Do you have
4 also agree to look at Ms. Holley's documents,	4 one?
5 both at her home and at the family home, the	5 MR. COREN: What, a fee
6 underlying I hesitate to say what I really	6 agreement?
7 think, but how could they not be even reviewed,	7 MR. ASSAF: Yeah.
8 yet alone put aside so that nothing happens to	8 MR. COREN: Yes. My
9 them? It's one of the named plaintiffs in the	9 understanding we do, yes.
10 case.	10 MR. ASSAF: Okay.
11 MR. COREN: Gene, we'll	11 MR. COREN: Okay.
12 talk about this later.	12 Q So you do have a written fee agreement.
13 BY MR. ASSAF:	13 A Okay.
14 Q Now, you mentioned when you met with	14 Q Okay.
15 Mr. Placitella and Mr. Bevan when you first	15 MR. ASSAF: Could
16 heard about the case and you took notes that	16 Ms. Holley have a copy of it?
17 you hopefully still have at home, do those	17 MR. COREN: She probably
18 notes have who else was at the meeting?	18 does but doesn't recollect.
19 A No.	19 A That could possibly be the case.
20 Q Okay. How did you find out about the meeting?	20 Q Okay. Do you have any understanding of the
21 A I was either contacted by phone or mail to come	21 terms?
22 to the meeting.	22 A Understanding based on that particular fee
23 Q By Mr. Bevan or Mr. Placitella?	23 agreement or do I understand a fee agreement?
24 A By Mr. Bevan's office.	24 Q Well, let's do both.
25 Q Did you know what the topic of the meeting was?	25 A Okay.
Page 1	127 Page 120
Page 1 1 A Not at the time that I received notice.	Page 129 1 Q Do you understand a fee agreement?
2 Q Have you ever been in Mr. Placitella's offices	2 A Yes, Ido.
3 in Pennsylvania or New Jersey?	3 Q What's that?
4 A No.	4 A The fee agreement is the document between an
5 Q Are you willing to come to New Jersey for court	5 attorney and client, okay, stipulating how
6 proceedings?	6 they're going to handle the case and possibly
7 A Yes. We discussed that.	7 the amount or if it's contingency.
8 Q Okay. Have you had any meetings with the class	8 Q Contingency. And do you know whether the
9 representatives without the attorneys present?	9 Williams case is a contingency case?
10 A Not without attorneys present, no.	10 A I'm not certain. I really am not certain. I
11 Q Do you know whether any of the other	11 don't recall I just don't recall in this
12 attorneys withdrawn.	12 particular defendant. I don't recall any of
13 Do you know whether any of the other	13 the documents or anything that was presented.
14 class representatives have written fee	14 Because I think that was - I believe it was
·	
15 agreements with Mr. Placitella?16 A I would not have that information.	15 all done while my mom was still living.
	16 Q But with respect to this Williams case, the
17 MD ACCAE: I'll roitorata	
17 MR. ASSAF: I'll reiterate	17 case in New Jersey.
18 this request. We've looked over lunch, and the	18 A Oh, okay. Okay.
this request. We've looked over lunch, and thewritten fee agreement, which I understand is a	18 A Oh, okay. Okay.19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in
 this request. We've looked over lunch, and the written fee agreement, which I understand is a requirement under New Jersey, but you can tell 	18 A Oh, okay. Okay.19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in20 that case?
 this request. We've looked over lunch, and the written fee agreement, which I understand is a requirement under New Jersey, but you can tell me 	 18 A Oh, okay. Okay. 19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in 20 that case? 21 A I have no recollection of the fee agreement in
this request. We've looked over lunch, and the written fee agreement, which I understand is a requirement under New Jersey, but you can tell me MR. COREN: Yes, but	 18 A Oh, okay. Okay. 19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in 20 that case? 21 A I have no recollection of the fee agreement in 22 this particular case.
this request. We've looked over lunch, and the written fee agreement, which I understand is a requirement under New Jersey, but you can tell me MR. COREN: Yes, but MR. ASSAF: is neither	 18 A Oh, okay. Okay. 19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in 20 that case? 21 A I have no recollection of the fee agreement in 22 this particular case. 23 Q Do you even have a general understanding of how
this request. We've looked over lunch, and the written fee agreement, which I understand is a requirement under New Jersey, but you can tell me MR. COREN: Yes, but	 18 A Oh, okay. Okay. 19 Q What do you recall about the fee agreements in 20 that case? 21 A I have no recollection of the fee agreement in 22 this particular case.

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 130..133

MARILIN HC	3
	e 130 Page 133 1 MR. COREN: She just
•	-
2 have not discussed fees in this case.	2 doesn't recollect
3 Q Or your recovery?	3 MR. ASSAF: And the fee
4 A No.	4 agreement isn't logged or produced?
5 Q So-	5 MR. COREN: You don't log
6 A If I understand what you're saying.	6 and you don't produce fee agreements, Gene.
7 Q Let's make it clear, though.	7 It's not my experience, okay?
8 A Okay.	8 MR. ASSAF: Even Jared is
9 Q As we sit here today, you have not had any	9 raising his eyebrows at that one. You better
10 discussions with Mr. Placitella and Mr. Coren's	10 check that.
11 firm or Mr. Bevan's firm about what the fee	11 MR. PLACITELLA: No
12 arrangements are, in terms of the fees and the	12 Q Okay. So
13 recovery in this case?	13 MR. PLACITELLA: based on
14 A No.	14 your comment, Gene but
15 Q And how do you think that's all going to get	15 Q - Ms. Holley, in terms of Mr. Bevan's
16 sorted out?	16 relationship to this Williams case in New
17 MR. COREN: Objection.	17 Jersey, do you have a written fee agreement
18 Gene, you know, you're now	18 with him?
19 MR. ASSAF: No speaking	19 A I don't recall doing the written – I don't
20 objections. Do you have an objection?	20 recall doing a fee agreement with regard to
21 MR. COREN: Yeah, I have an	21 this case.
22 objection –	22 Q Okay. Do you have any understanding of how
23 MR. ASSAF: What is it	23 Mr. Bevan will get paid, if at all?
24 MR. COREN: because	24 A I can't recall ever discussing that or seeing
25 you're –	25 anything in writing.
·	
Page 1 MR. ASSAF: form,	e 131 Page 133 1 Q Is that something you would – withdrawn.
2 foundation, or privilege?	2 You seem pretty sawy and you've been
3 MR. COREN: Form,	3 around litigation for 15 years. It seems like
4 foundation, and privilege.	4 something you would recall?
5 MR. ASSAF: Okay. You're	5 A Probably.
6 instructing her not to answer?	6 Q Let's see.
•	
7 MR. COREN: Correct.	7 MR. ASSAF: And
8 MR. ASSAF: Okay. Basis?	8 verifications, have we gotten them?
9 MR. COREN: You know,	9 MR. PLACITELLA: I have someone
10 privilege.	10 in my office getting them. We'll get those to
11 MR. ASSAF: Attorney-client	11 you soon.
12 privilege?	12 MR. ASSAF: Great.
13 MR. COREN: Yeah.	13 Q All right. So let's turn to settlements with
14 Attorney-client privilege, because now you need	14 other asbestos defendants.
15 to invade into discussions that occurred	15 Could you tell me in order of magnitude
16 regarding, you know, aspects of the fees.	16 how much you've received for asbestos-related
17 MR. ASSAF: She doesn't	17 injuries since filing that lawsuit that we saw
18 she has no she has no there are no	18 against 98 defendants?
19 discussions because she has no she says	19 MR. COREN: I'm going to
20 she	20 instruct her not to answer for the reasons we
21 MR. COREN: That's right,	21 explained earlier, that the issue of the
22 she has no recollection. She doesn't recall	22 settlement with other parties outside of the
23 it.	23 settlements with the asbestos trust is an issue
24 MR. ASSAF: Of any	24 that is before the Court on scope of discovery,
25 discussions regarding fees?	25 and I'm going to advise her not to respond
20 discussions regarding rees:	and thir going to advise her hot to respond

Pages 134..137

Until that issue is sorted out with magistrate 2 judge - 3 A And consider that confidential. 3 A And consider that confidential. 3 A Would that have been reviewed by Mr. Bevan to 4 ensure that it was true and accurate? 5 A To the best of my knowledge it would have been. 6 O Have you clossosed your settlements with	PIARTILIN IIOI	101 01/03/2017 rages 13113
2 A To the best of my knowledge it would have been 3 A A and I consider that confidential 4 O You consider thordidential 4 O You consider thordidential 5 A To the best of my knowledge it would have been 6 O Have you doscussed your settlements with 6 O Have you doscussed your settlements with 6 O Have you doscussed your settlements with 6 O Let me show you Disk 15, which I think is the 8 next document 9 O Like your family members 9 A No. 8 next document 9 O Like your family members 9 A O No. 9 O Like your family members 9 A O No. 10 O — to your right, Ms. Holley 11 A O No. 12 O Disk 15 is a claim form for discounted cash 12 O Disk 15 is a claim form for discounted cash 13 D And you've distributed checks over the lists 15 14 Years 14 A Uh-huh 14 Uh-huh 15 Uh huh		
3 A And consider hat confidential? 4 Q You consider to confidential? 5 A I do. I consider to confidential? 5 A I do. I consider to confidential? 6 Q Have you discussed your settlements with 7 anybody other than lawyers? 8 A No. 9 Q Like your family members? 9 A Okey. 10 A Not discussed settlements, list as foliulary. 11 When there were settlements, list as foliulary. 12 checks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 a 3 a payment dated September 6, 2000. 14 years? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attomeys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to lat me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for advestigated and the top of 21 A I would not be able to star me how much you've 20 my head, no. 21 A I would not be able to star me how much you've 22 my head, no. 22 A Broace with a Country of the star of the from? 23 C But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 1 A — documentation. 2 A Mould this bis in the files at your home? 3 A travolud be in my files. 4 Q And lets had book truss. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against adsbests truss, 10 Corner? 11 A — documentation. 12 A Product his bis in the files at your home? 13 A it would be in my files. 14 A — documentation in gainst adsbests truss, 15 Own mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against adsbests truss, 16 C And the attomey, if anyone, has helped you 19 A And humber five, paragraph 5, states 10 Doyou see that? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And lets had bout truss. 13 A Doyou know whether your mother had 14 A Press in omention of talc. 15 A Press in one mention of talc. 16 C Would first bis more than adsbestos truss, 17 Corner? 18 A Press in one mention of talc. 19 A And do you know whether your mother had 20 C But first and documentation regarding 21 A A dad you know whether your mother had 22 L Do you know whether your mother had 23 Do you know whether your mother had 24 C A Others ame document? 25 C A Press in one mention of talc. 26 C	_	
4 G. You consider it confidential? 5 A 1 do. 1 consider it confidential. 6 C. Have your discussed your settlements with 7 anybody other than lawyers? 8 A No. 9 C. Like your family members? 10 A Not discussed settlements, just as fiduciary. 11 When three were settlements, just as fiduciary. 12 checks. 13 C. And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 16 Q. Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to bell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos.—For asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 mg/hand, no. 22 Q. But doyou have documentation— 23 Q. But doyou have documentation— 24 A I have— 25 Q. I on show it? Page 135 A Description from for discounted cash 13 payment dated September 6, 2000. 14 A Unithab. 15 Q. And the attorney name on the front is Torn 16 Beans. Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Quit doyou have documentation— 24 A I have— 25 Q. I on show it? Page 135 A Description from for discounted before? 26 Q. I on show it? Page 135 A Development of the attorney name on the front is Torn 18 Beans. Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Quit doyou have documentation— 29 A Mouth that be in the files at your home? 21 A I would be in my lites. 29 A for a family home. 21 A mould be in my lites. 30 below for on a separate page) describing in your lites or your mother's files at the family home. 21 A mould be immy lites. 31 below for on a separate page) describing in your separate page of describing in your separate page) describing in 1 your action of the files at your home? 31 A livouid be immy lites and you will be for your mother's 1 sabesites exposure. 31 A Post our mother's 1 sabesites trusts. 32 In live party may write a narrative statement below for on a separate page) describing in your separate page) describing in 1 your separate page of describing in 1 you		
5 A I do. I consider it confidential 6 Q I lave you discussed your settlements with 7 anybody offer thal lavyers? 8 A No. 9 C Like your family members? 10 A Not discussed settlements, just as foluciary. 11 When there were settlements, just as foluciary. 11 When there were settlements, just as foluciary. 11 When there were settlements, just as foluciary. 11 A Not discussed settlements, just as foluciary. 12 C D Ex. 15 is a claim form for discounted cash 13 payment dated September 6, 2000. 14 A Un-huth. 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 16 Bevan. Do you see that? 17 If your attomeys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to set me how much you've 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation— 24 A I have— 25 Q — to show it? 2 In Res at the family home. 24 A I have— 25 Q — to show it? 2 In Res at the family home. 24 Q And jets talk about trusts. 2 In A — documentation. 2 Injured party may write a nearative statement 3 below (nor an separate page) describing in on a separate page) describing in my fless. 3 held with a supplementary with a payment of talc. 3 for or made faith you have settled with 4 Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to be in the related in juried party smost significant as absests trusts. 4 Q Poly and you with a stepsion trusts. 5 a Shelver so, yes. 9 Q And which altomery, if anyone, has helped you 10 with its one? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 13 A That would also be Altomery Bevan. 14 Q Do you so whether you where your mother had 15 to be true and accurate? 16 Q And do you know whether your mother had 17 be internation to trust prior to her 18 to be true and accurate? 20 A deat		-
6 (Defendants Exhibit 15 was marked.) 7 anytody ofter than lawyers? 8 A No. 8 A No. 9 C Libre show you Disc. 15, which I think is the 8 next document - 9 A Cikay. 10 A Not docussed settlements, just as foliuciary. 11 A Not docussed settlements, just as foliuciary. 11 A Not docussed settlements, just as foliuciary. 12 c heeks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 14 A Ushah. 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a - withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to let me how much you've 19 recovered from abselsors - for asbestos-related 20 ripuries? 20 ripuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 21 my head, no. 22 Q But doyou have documentation - 22 A I have - 24 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 23 A I would this be in the files at your home? 24 A I have - 25 Q - to show it? 28 A I would this be in the files at your home? 29 A I would his be in the files at your home? 30 A I would be in my files. 40 A not lest slak about trusts. 51 A - documentation. 52 Page 135 Your mentioned that you have settled with 5 whith case? 53 A I would be in my files. 54 Q And the attorney paren on the front 2 the family home. 55 Your mentioned that you have settled with 5 subsects trusts. 66 or made claims against asbests trusts. 77 correct? 89 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with tose? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 howe asbests trusts and claims to them? 15 A A dos we sit here today, you understand that 14 A Yes, through the Probate to submissions 16 for Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 A I dod do, know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you know whether your mother had 20 Add do you kno		
7 a Noody other than lawyers? 8 a Noody other than lawyers? 9 C Like your family members? 10 A Not discussed settlements, list as fiduciary. 11 When there were settlements, list as fiduciary. 12 C DEX. 15 is a claim form for discounted cash to checks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 to elect. 14 years? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a - withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, would you be able to tall me how much you've to provide injuries? 18 would you be able to tall me how much you've to recovered from abstestos - for as bestore-related injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of this provide injuries? 22 A But doyour have documentation - 23 A I would this be in the files at your home? 24 A I have - 25 Q - to show it? 26 O Would this be in the files at your home? 27 A J I would not be able to say that on the top of the form? 28 A I would this be in the files at your home? 29 A J I would make the following the form as the form? 29 C Would this be in the files at your home? 30 A I would be my files. 31 A - documentation. 32 A Would this be in the files at your home? 33 A I would be my files. 34 C And left stalk about trusts. 35 C you mentioned that you have a settled with 5 sabests exposure. 36 A I because of aims against achiestors trusts, 6 or made claims against achiestor to them? 39 Q And which adtorney, if anyone, has helped you 1 with those? 30 And what would also be Attorney Bevan. 31 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 32 C would have you filled out documentation regarding 1 the form or a senare to ped describing in 7 piece covering, coment, and raw asbestos fiber 6 with those? 31 A P A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 1 Doyou see that? 31 A P A yes, strough the Probate Court, which has to 1 Doyou see that? 31 A P A yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 1 Doyou see that? 31 A P A yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 1 Doyou see that? 31 A P A yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 1 Doyou see that? 31 A Yes, through the Pr		
8 A No. 9 Q Like your family members? 10 A Not dosussed settlements, just as fiduciary. 11 When there were settlements, lidistribute the 12 checks. 12 Q Dex 15 is a claim form for discounted cash 13 payment dated September 6, 2000. 14 A Uh-huh. 15 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 16 Q Doyu have a – withdrawn. 17 If your atomeys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestors—for asbestors-related 20 Injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have a cocumentation — 24 A I have — 25 Q - To show it? 26 Q - To show it? 27 A A documentation. 28 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 39 A It would be in my files. 40 Q And let's talk about trusts. 50 You mentioned that you have settled with 60 or made claims against asbestos trusts. 71 C correct? 72 A To correct? 73 A I twould be be Attomey Bevan. 74 A Pies. 75 A Orea, a Seeding this document before? 75 It is a first family home? 76 If is at the family home? 77 If is injuried party may write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in greater deal injured party sin most significant asbestos trusts. 76 Correct? 77 Correct? 78 A I believe so, yes. 79 Q And which attomey, if anyone, has helped you of with those? 71 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 71 A Pies. 72 The information contained in those submissions to the Court and to the trust have to be — has 10 to be true and accurate? 79 It is involud his De in the sust have to be — has 10 to be true and accurate? 71 A Pies. 72 Q And do you know whether your mother had 20 death? 73 A lot would be him, not rout. 74 A Pies. 75 Q And do you know whether your mother had 20 death? 76 G And do you know whether your mother had 20 death? 77 A Id moth success. 78 A Pies and accurate? 79 A Pies and A Pies an		
9 A Okay. 10 A Not discussed settlements, just as fiduciary. 11 A Mont discussed settlements, just as fiduciary. 12 Checks. 13 O And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 15 A Yes. 16 O Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 myhead, no. 23 O But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 O – to show it? 21 A – documentation. 22 Q Would this be in the fless at your home? 23 A I twould be in my fless. 24 Q And lest alk about husts. 25 You mentioned that you have settled with 26 or made claims against asbestos tusts, 27 O and which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 28 M I believe so, yes. 39 A Okay. 30 I would also be Attorney Bevan. 31 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 32 In the family home with the probable of the form of the court, which has to 31 the family home. 32 O gour departs as bestos trusts. 33 I believe so, yes. 34 I believe so, yes. 35 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding a those assession tists and claims to them? 36 I or box out of the filled out documentation regarding a three seabsests thusts and claims of them? 36 I would discove the flesh of the filled out documentation regarding a three seabsests thusts and claims of them? 31 A Pyes. 32 O Pour day of the filled out documentation regarding a three seabsests threat share to be – has to the fill of the found of the flesh of the filled out of the filled out documentation to the regarding a store and that the filled out of the filled	7 anybody other than lawyers?	7 Q Let me show you D Ex. 15, which I think is the
10 A Not discussed settlements, just as fiduciary. 11 When there were settlements, idistribute the 12 checks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 yeast? 14 A Urh-huh. 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your atomys allowed you to answer, 18 wouldy out abile to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 1 A – documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A It would be in my files. 4 Q And les' task about husts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attomey, if anyone, has helped you 10 with tose? 11 A That would as be Attomay Bevan. 12 Q And show you file ed out documentation to them? 13 A It would be in my files. 4 Q And les' as a become the store them? 15 a certify. 16 A That would also be Attomay Bevan. 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 20 A Yes. 21 C And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 of certify. 24 Q And by you have not not the top of the state of th		8 next document
11 When there were settlements, I distribute the 12 checks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 15 A Yes. 15 Q Doy uheve a – withdrawn. 16 Q Doy uheve a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But doy ou have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q - to show it? 1 A A – documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A I twould be in my files. 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 Your mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 2 A I have wesses the fer industry and the files at your home? 3 A I believe so, yes. 4 A A devery our filled out documentation regarding 5 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 5 Developed by the BFGcodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987. 10 Do you see that? 11 A Okay. 14 A Uh-huh. 15 Q And the trust he front is Tom 16 Bevan. Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Would rise be in the front is Tom 19 Bevan. Do you see that? 19 A I daw you see that? 20 Would this be in your files or your mother's 21 files at the family home? 22 A Because it was 2000, it would probably be at the family home? 23 the family home? 24 A I have – 25 Q - to show it? 25 This right here. That's it. 26 Q And the stalk about trusts. 27 This right here. That's it. 28 Page 135 29 Would this be in the files at your home? 29 A down with you have settled with 29 A make the files at your home? 20 A down with your with you have settled with 20 A make the files at your home? 21 A I have — 22 A Because it was 2000, it would probably be at the family home? 23 A trought here files at your home? 24 A I have — 25 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 26 This right have a page of sestibling in greater detail injured party smost significant abelow for on an separate page) describi		9 A Okay.
12 checks. 13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? Page 135 A — documentation. 29 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A I twould be in my files. 4 Q And this atterney have well as a painst absestos trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against absestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And the you lifed out documentation regarding 13 A Okay. 14 A Uh-huh. 15 Q And the attorney name on the front is Torn 16 Bevan. Do you see that? 17 A Yes. 18 Q Have you seen this document before? 19 A I don't recall seeing this document before? 20 Q Would this be in your files oryour mother's 21 files at the family home? 22 A Because it was 2000, it would probably be at the family home? 23 This right here. That is it. Page 135 1 A — documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 2 This right here. That is it. Page 135 1 And number five, paragraph 5, states 1 high graph ym write a namative statement to below (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injuried party's most significant as absence exposure. 2 I submit the probate Court, which has to the my pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber while employed by the BFGcodrich Company from 9 1989 to 1987? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has to the information of the trust have to be – has to the court and to the trust have to be – has to the information of the trust have to be – has to the court and to the	10 A Not discussed settlements, just as fiduciary.	10 Q - to your right, Ms. Holley.
13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15 14 years? 14 A Uh-huh. 15 Q And the altomey name on the front is Tom 16 Q Do you have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 26 Q – to show it? 27 A Jean- 28 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 29 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 21 A I would not be in the files at your home? 22 A Place as you seem this document before. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 26 Page 135 27 A – documentation. 28 A I because it was 2000, it would probably be at the family home. 29 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 30 A It would be in my files. 40 And lefts talk about trusts. 51 You mentioned that you have settled with or or made claims against asbestos trusts, 61 Easys, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber with incse? 62 Q Hould dislo be Attorney Bevan. 63 A It believe so, yes. 64 Disleves so, yes. 65 It has would also be Attorney Bevan. 66 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber with employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 1969 to 1967. 66 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber with employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 1969 to 1967. 67 Correct? 68 A I believe so, yes. 69 A fees, through the Probate Court, which has to 150 Certify. 69 A rise, through the Probate Court, which has to 150 Certify. 60 And as we sit here today, you understand that 150 the first of the first of the first of the first son mention of talc. 61 It anybody knows the reason why this says what 150 to be true and accurate? 61 It would be him, not me. 62 A ris would be him, not me. 63 A rise of the same document? 6	11 When there were settlements, I distribute the	11 A Okay.
14 years? 15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 C But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? 26 Q How old this be in your files or your mother's 27 If x and left stak about truss. 28 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 29 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 This right here. That's it. 29 Page 135 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 21 A I have — 22 Would this be in the files at your home? 23 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 24 A I have — 25 Vin mentioned that you have settled with 26 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 27 correct? 28 A I because ye. 39 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you with those? 30 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you with those? 31 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 31 Q And have you filed out documentation regarding those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 31 A That would have be filed with has to contain the information contained in those submissions to the first probable of the trust have to be – has to be true and accurate? 31 A I would be him, not me. 32 A I would be him, not me. 33 A I would be him, probable out now whether your mother had you know who there you mother had you have so the pour mother ha	12 checks.	12 Q D Ex. 15 is a claim form for discounted cash
15 A Yes. 16 Q Doyou have a — withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation — 24 A I have — 25 Q — to show it? 27 D Yould this be in the flies at your home? 28 Q And lete talk about trusts. 29 Q Mould the selin my flies. 30 A It would be him my flies. 40 Q And lete talk about trusts. 41 A December of the flies at your home? 42 A I believe so, yes. 43 A I believe so, yes. 44 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 45 With those? 46 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 46 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 47 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 48 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 49 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 40 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 41 A That would be him there today, you understand that 42 A Yes. 43 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 44 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 55 Certify. 56 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 57 Seven and accurate? 58 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 59 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 50 A It would be him, not me. 51 A It must use. 52 Q Hould which wheelter your mother had 53 death? 54 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 55 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 56 Q Yes. 57 Cortect? 58 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 58 Q Hard you know wheelter your mother had 59 Q And do you know wheelter your mother had 50 Q Yes. 50 Q Yes.	13 Q And you've distributed checks over the last 15	13 payment dated September 6, 2000.
16 Q Doyou have a – withdrawn. 17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? 2 A Cocumentation. 2 A A – documentation. 2 A A – documentation. 3 A It would be the files at your home? 3 A I would not be files at your home? 3 A I would not be files at your home? 4 A A not number five, paragraph 5, states 1 Injured party may write a narrative statement 3 A It would be in my files. 4 Q and left stak about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A Trat would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filed out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 20 And do you know whether your mother had 21 death? 22 do files at the family home? 22 he would be information to trusts, or a file file family home. 24 A Press, through the probate Court, which has to 25 to be true and accurate? 26 Q And do you know whether your mother had 27 death? 28 Q And do you know whether your mother had 28 submitted information to trusts prior to her 29 A Offens are accourant? 20 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death?	14 years?	14 A Uh-huh.
17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? 26 Vould this be in your files or your mother's 27 the family home? 28 This right here. That's it. 29 A Page 135 20 A not do you have documentation – 29 A Page 135 21 A - documentation. 22 my head, no. 23 the family home? 24 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 25 This right here. That's it. 26 Would this be in the files at your home? 27 This right here. That's it. 28 A I though be in the files at your home? 30 A It would be in my files. 40 And let's talk about trusts. 41 Grade daim's against asbestos trusts, 42 And let's talk about trusts. 43 Figure party may write a namative statement belove, your asperate page) describing in greater detail injured party's most significant asbestos exposure. 41 A Pour mentioned that you have settled with for made daim's against asbestos trusts, 42 And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you with those? 43 A I believe so, yes. 44 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to certify. 45 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to the fount and to the trust have to be – has to be true and accurate? 46 A Yes. 47 Q And do you know whether your mother had to be attempted to death? 48 A Ord do you know whether your mother had you death? 49 A Yes. 40 Q And do you know whether your mother had you death? 50 A Ord as we sit here today, you understand that to be true and accurate? 51 A Pour and to the trust have to be – has to be true and accurate? 52 A Ord do you know whether your mother had you death? 53 C And do you know whether your mother had you death?	15 A Yes.	15 Q And the attorney name on the front is Tom
17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer, 18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? 26 Vould this be in your files or your mother's 27 the family home? 28 This right here. That's it. 29 A Page 135 20 A not do you have documentation – 29 A Page 135 21 A - documentation. 22 my head, no. 23 the family home? 24 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 25 This right here. That's it. 26 Would this be in the files at your home? 27 This right here. That's it. 28 A I though be in the files at your home? 30 A It would be in my files. 40 And let's talk about trusts. 41 Grade daim's against asbestos trusts, 42 And let's talk about trusts. 43 Figure party may write a namative statement belove, your asperate page) describing in greater detail injured party's most significant asbestos exposure. 41 A Pour mentioned that you have settled with for made daim's against asbestos trusts, 42 And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you with those? 43 A I believe so, yes. 44 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to certify. 45 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to the fount and to the trust have to be – has to be true and accurate? 46 A Yes. 47 Q And do you know whether your mother had to be attempted to death? 48 A Ord do you know whether your mother had you death? 49 A Yes. 40 Q And do you know whether your mother had you death? 50 A Ord as we sit here today, you understand that to be true and accurate? 51 A Pour and to the trust have to be – has to be true and accurate? 52 A Ord do you know whether your mother had you death? 53 C And do you know whether your mother had you death?	16 Q Do you have a withdrawn.	16 Bevan. Do you see that?
18 would you be able to tell me how much you've 19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 q Would this be in your files or your mother's 21 A I twould not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 26 Q – to show it? 27 A – documentation. 28 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 29 This right here. That's it. 29 Page 135 1 A – documentation. 20 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 21 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 22 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 31 And number five, paragraph 5, states 32 "Injured party may write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant asbestos exposure." 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 5 asbestos exposure." 4 I says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you with those? 4 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 15 A Oleay. 4 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 Certify. 5 A No. I wouldn't know why. 5 Certify. 6 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 10 A I found this pevan know? 11 A Tim not sure. 12 Q And do you know whether your mother had 2 to A of the same document? 23 death? 24 G Dayou. 25 This right here. That's it. 26 Page 135 27 This right here. That's it. 28 This right here. That's it. 29 Q Marker and a several page) describing in 4 prevail and page 14 prevail and page 15 prevail and page 15 prevail and page 15 prevail and page 16 prevail and page 17 preva	17 If your attorneys allowed you to answer,	
19 recovered from asbestos – for asbestos-related 20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 21 files at the family home? 22 my head, no. 22 A Because it was 2000, it would probably be at 23 the family home. 24 A I have – 25 Q – to show it? 25 Q – to show it? 26 A – documentation – 26 Q Would this be in your files or your mother? 27 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 21 files at the family home? 28 A I have – 29 Q Cokay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 29 A I have – 29 Q Now lit? 29 A – documentation. 20 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 20 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 21 A I would be in my files. 22 Would this be in the files at your home? 23 A I twould be in my files. 4 Q And lefts talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 5 asbestos exposure." 6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employed by the BFGoodtich Company from 19 files or while employe		18 Q Have you seen this document before?
20 injuries? 21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation – 24 A I have – 25 Q — to show it? 26 This right here. That's it. 27 Page 135 28 A I would this be in the files at you then top age 13 of the form? 29 A I have – 29 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 20 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 21 A — documentation. 22 Mould this be in the files at your home? 23 A I twould be in my files. 24 Q And left stalk about trusts. 25 Q And left stalk about trusts. 26 Q And left stalk about trusts. 27 You mentioned that you have settled with 28 A I believe so, yes. 39 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 30 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 40 With those? 41 Do you see that? 42 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 43 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 44 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 45 C correct? 46 I believe so, yes. 47 Pice overing, cernent, and raw asbestos fiber 48 A I believe so, yes. 49 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 40 With those? 41 Do you see that? 41 A Yes. 41 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 41 Q Do you know why? 42 A M have you filled out documentation regarding 43 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 44 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 45 C and as we sit here today, you understand that 46 Q Mould Mr. Bevan know? 47 A I mn tisure. 48 A Ves. 49 Do you know why? 40 Q file and the trust have to be – has 40 Q if anybody knows the reason why this says what 41 the information contained in those submissions 41 Q and do you know whether your mother had 42 Submitted information to trusts prior to her 43 A death? 44 C And if you turn to page 17. 45 A Of the same document? 46 A Yes. 47 Death and the family home? 48 A Death and number five, paragraph 5, states 49 Dan and number five, paragraph 5, states 40 A fire paragraph 5, states 41 C And if you turn to page 17. 41 C And if you turn to page 17. 42 A of the same document? 43 A death?		
21 A I would not be able to say that on the top of 22 mry head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation — 24 A I have — 25 Q — to show it? 26 Q — to show it? 27 This right here. That's it. 28 Page 135 And number five, paragraph 5, states 29 Would this be in the files at your home? 30 A It would be in my files. 40 And left stalk about trusts. 41 G and left stalk about trusts. 42 G and left stalk about trusts. 43 I seleve so, yes. 44 A I healive so, yes. 45 A I believe so, yes. 46 A I believe so, yes. 47 A I have oudla also be Attomey Bevan. 48 A I believe so, you filed out documentation regarding at those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 40 And have you filled out documentation regarding at the search why this says what to the Court and to the trust have to be — has to be true and accurate? 40 Class. 41 Because it was 2000, it would probably be at the family home. 42 Q Clay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 41 A — documentation. 41 And number five, paragraph 5, states 42 minute partly may write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write a narrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write an arrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in greater detail injured partly may write anarrative statement ableow (or on a separate page) describing in		_
22 my head, no. 23 Q But do you have documentation — 24 A I have — 25 Q — to show it? 26 A Hoave — 27 A College of the family home. 28 A Hoave — 29 Q Okay, Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 29 This right here. That's it. 20 Would this be in the files at your home? 30 A It would be in my files. 40 Q Molet stalk about trusts. 51 You mentioned that you have settled with 52 asbestos exposure. 63 A I believe so, yes. 64 I believe so, yes. 65 And whitch attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 65 And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 66 And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and daims to them? 67 Corriect? 68 A I believe so, yes. 69 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 15 certify. 69 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 70 A Yes. 71 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information trusts prior to her 23 death?	•	
23 the family home. 24 A I have – 25 Q - to show it? Page 135 A - documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A I twould be in my files. 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filed out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 10 And how you know whether your mother had 10 List family home. 24 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? 25 This right here. That's it. Page 135 A nd number five, paragraph 5, states 2 "Injured party my write a narrative statement below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 A regater detail injured party's most significant 5 abelow (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 abelow (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 abelow (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 abelow (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 abelow (or on a separate page) describing in 6 the says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Do you know why? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be him, not me. 21 Q And hey him, not me. 22 A Of the same document? 23 death?		
24 A I have— 25 Q — to show it? Page 135 A — documentation. Page 135 A — documentation. Page 135 A It would be in the files at your home? 2 Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A It would be in my files. 4 Q And left stalk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filed out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be — has 19 to be true and accurate? 10 And oy ou know whether your mother had 21 Q And information to trusts prior to her 22 A Off the same document? 24 Q Okay. Could you turn to page 13 of the form? This right here. That's it. Page 135 And number five, paragraph 5, states 1 Injured party may write a narrative statement 3 below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 asbestos exposure." 6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Off the same document? 23 Q Yes.	•	
25 This right here. That's it. Page 135 1 A - documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A It would be in my files. 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to thern? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? Page 135 And number five, paragraph 5, states Page 175 And number five	•	
Page 135 A - documentation. 1		
1 A -documentation. 2 Q Would this be in the files at your home? 3 A It would be in my files. 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be - has 19 to death? 20 And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 death? 24 And let's talk about trusts and beyor home? 25 Injured party may write a narrative statement 26 "Injured party may write a narrative statement 3 below (or on a separate page) describing in 3 below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 asbestos exposure." 6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 Mrille employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 14 Q Doyou know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mir. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 death?		
2		
3 below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 10 Q And do you know whether your mother had 20 And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And firyou turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 3 below (or on a separate page) describing in 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 asbestos exposure." 6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 the employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why? 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 Q Yes.		
4 Q And let's talk about trusts. 5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 Q And do you know whether your mother had 20 And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 4 greater detail injured party's most significant 5 asbestos exposure." 5 asbestos exposure." 6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 certify. 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 Q Yes.	-	
5 You mentioned that you have settled with 6 or made claims against asbestos trusts, 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 20 A And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 A Of the same document? 25 death? 26 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 27 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 28 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 29 1969 to 1987." 20 Do you see that? 21 A Yes. 22 A No Law we set that? 23 Q Yes. 24 Of the same document? 25 Q And if you turn to page 17. 26 A Of the same document? 27 Q Yes.	·	
6 It says, "Ms. Damell was exposed to J-M 7 correct? 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 Q And do you know whether your mother had 20 And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Ards.		
7 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 25 death? 26 A Yes. 27 pipe covering, cement, and raw asbestos fiber 8 while employed by the BFGoodrich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 Certify. 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 Q A of the same document? 23 death? 24 Of the same document? 25 A Of the same document? 26 A Of the same document? 27 Q Yes.	•	· ·
8 A I believe so, yes. 9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 A Yes. 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 And do you know whether your mother had 23 death? 28 while employed by the BFGoodfich Company from 9 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why? 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 death? 24 Q Yes.		
9 Q And which attorney, if anyone, has helped you 10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attorney Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 1969 to 1987." 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 23 Q Yes.		
10 with those? 11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 19 to be true and accurate? 10 Do you see that? 11 A Yes. 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 death? 24 Yes.		
11 A That would also be Attomey Bevan. 12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 20 Ard have you filled out documentation regarding 12 Q There's no mention of talc. 13 A Okay. 14 Q Do you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
12 Q And have you filled out documentation regarding 13 those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 Q There's no mention of talc. 15 A Okay. 16 Q Do you know why? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
those asbestos trusts and claims to them? 13 A Okay. 14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 O O you know why? 15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 20 A It would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
14 A Yes, through the Probate Court, which has to 15 certify. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 Q Do you know why? 25 A No. I wouldn't know why. 26 A I'm not sure. 27 Q I'm not sure. 28 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 29 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		1 2 4
15 A No. I wouldn't know why. 16 Q And as we sit here today, you understand that 16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 Q Yes.		
16 Q Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 the information contained in those submissions 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 26 Would Mr. Bevan know? 17 A I'm not sure. 28 Uf anybody knows the reason why this says what 29 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
the information contained in those submissions 17 A I'm not sure. 18 to the Court and to the trust have to be has 18 Q If anybody knows the reason why this says what 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 Of the same document? 25 Q Yes.	•	· ·
18 to the Court and to the trust have to be – has 19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 24 Q Yes. 25 If anybody knows the reason why this says what 26 If anybody knows the reason why this says what 27 A It would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 28 A It would be him, not me. 29 A Of the same document? 20 A Yes.		
19 to be true and accurate? 19 it says, it would be Mr. Bevan, not you? 20 A Yes. 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 Q Yes. 29 A Of the same document? 20 Q Yes.		
20 A Yes. 21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 20 A It would be him, not me. 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
21 Q And do you know whether your mother had 22 submitted information to trusts prior to her 23 death? 21 Q And if you turn to page 17. 22 A Of the same document? 23 Q Yes.		
 submitted information to trusts prior to her death? A Of the same document? Q Yes. 		
23 death? 23 Q Yes.		
	22 submitted information to trusts prior to her	22 A Of the same document?
24 A She did. 24 And the first question says, "Has any	23 death?	23 Q Yes.
	24 A She did.	
25 Q And do you know whether that was true and 25 asbestos-related lawsuit been filed on behalf	25 Q And do you know whether that was true and	asbestos-related lawsuit been filed on behalf

Pages 138..141

MARILYN HOL	LEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 13814		
Page 1			
1 of this injured party?"	1 A Uh-huh. Yes.		
2 Do you see this?	2 Q Do you recognize this document?		
3 A Yes.	3 A Well, by just looking at it now I see that I		
4 Q It says "No."	4 signed in 2012.		
5 Do you see that?	5 Q And would you tell me the process that you		
6 A Yes.	6 would go through before signing a document like		
7 Q Is that correct?	7 this? Did you talk to Mr. Bevan? Did you		
8 A This was in 2000?	8 review documents?		
9 Q Yes. Yes.	9 A I would talk to Mr. Bevan and we would discuss		
10 A I wouldn't know for sure. I wouldn't know for	10 the particular offer from the company. And I		
11 sure, because my mom was still living and the	11 also if it were accepted, I also would have		
12 interaction with the attorney would have been	12 received a release.		
13 between her and Attorney Bevan.	13 Q Okay. All right. Now the rest of the		
14 Q Who, if anyone, would know whether this	14 documents I'm going to go through pretty		
15 statement is correct?	15 quickly. You can put them all in front of you		
16 A Attorney Bevan's office.	16 if you'd like		
17 Q And you would expect it to be true and	17 A Right.		
18 accurate, correct?	18 Q -to-		
19 A I would expect it to be true and accurate, yes.	19 A Right.		
20 Q And when you've submitted forms to other	20 Q – Ms. Holley.		
21 trusts, have you disclosed the fact that you	21 A Right.		
22 have in fact filed other lawsuits against other	22 (Defendants' Exhibit 17 was marked.)		
23 asbestos companies?	23 Q D Ex. 17 is a document entitled or a		
24 A I can't recall that.	24 document that appears to be a release relating		
	25 to Johns-Manville of Travelers.		
25 Q But you would expect that if that question were	23 to 301 ii is-iviai iviile or Travelers.		
Page 1			
1 asked by other trusts that you would in fact	1 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.		
2 disclose the other lawsuits, correct?	2 Q Do you recognize this document?		
3 A I would expect so if that were the case.	3 A Yes, I recall it.		
4 Q And you've never received advice to do	4 (Defendants' Exhibit 18 was marked.)		
5 something other than that?	5 Q D Ex. 18 appears to be a claims resolution form		
6 A No, I have not.	6 for Kathryn Damell for \$20,000 for Manville.		
7 Q That's something you would remember?	7 A When was this? This was executed when?		
8 A I believe so.	8 Q This looks like it was executed in 2000. 10		
9 Q The by the way, if you withdrawn.	9 November 11, 2000.		
10 Did you file a claims form with the	10 A If it were 2000, my mother would have handled		
11 Kaiser Asbestos Trust? Do you know?	11 it.		
12 A I can't say for sure.	12 Q Okay. All right.		
13 Q Okay. Roughly how many trusts have you filed	13 (Defendants' Exhibit 19 was marked.)		
14 against?	14 Q D Ex. 19 is from The Plibrico Asbestos Trust in		
15 A I couldn't begin to say. I know that there	15 Hopewell, New Jersey. A settlement – a		
16 were a number of defendants sued and there are	16 liquidated value of \$350,000 and a settlement		
17 a number that have been settled, but I really	17 amount of \$29,000. Do you recognize this		
18 couldn't even give you a ballpark figure.	17 amount of \$29,000. Do you recognize this 18 document?		
19 (Defendants' Exhibit 16 was marked.)	19 A It appears I executed this in September of '07.		
20 Q Let's go to D Ex. 16, the very next document.	20 Q Okay.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
21 A Okay.	,		
22 Q D Ex. 16 appears to be a document entitled	22 Q D Ex. 20 is a release agreement for Owens		
23 "Kaiser Aluminum & Chemical Corporation	23 Coming/Fibreboard Asbestos Injury Trust.		
24 Asbestos Personal Injury Trust Release."	24 A Lexecuted this in '09.		
25 Do you see this?	25 Q And – let me finish these.		

Pages 142..145

	Y - 04/05/2017 Pages 14214		
Page 142	Page 144		
1 (Defendants' Exhibit 21 was marked.)	1 A Is that 29?		
2 Q D Ex. 21 is for Owens Coming Personal Injury	2 Q Yes.		
3 Trust again dated November 18, 2009. Do you	3 A Okay. Yes.		
4 see that?	4 (Defendants' Exhibit 30 was marked.)		
5 A Uh-huh. Uh-huh.	5 Q D Ex. 30. \$26,000, April 16, 2010. Do you see		
6 (Defendants' Exhibit 22 was marked.)	6 that?		
7 Q D Ex. 22 is for United Gypsum Asbestos Personal	7 A Yes.		
8 Injury Trust.	8 (Defendants' Exhibit 31 was marked.)		
9 A Okay.	9 Q D Ex. 31. Settlement amount of \$8,600 dated		
10 Q Dated March 23, 2009.	10 May 12, 2012. Do you see that?		
11 A Okay.	11 A Yes.		
12 Q Do you see that?	12 Q And, by the way, so for a settlement like that		
13 A Yes.	13 of \$8,000, do you know whether that settlement		
14 Q You signed that?	14 has anything to do with whether the company		
15 A Yes.	15 produced a product with or without asbestos and		
16 (Defendants' Exhibit 23 was marked.)	16 how much asbestos it had and how much exposure		
17 Q D Ex. 23 is from the Probate Court of Summit,	17 your mom had to that product?		
18 Ohio regarding the approval of a proffered	18 MR. COREN: Objection.		
19 settlement of \$257,000. And included in that	19 I'll instruct her not to answer. Once again,		
20 is it looks like attorney's fees of \$88,000.	20 the issue of settlements and the issues going		
21 A Right. Uh-huh.	21 into settlements is a matter before Magistrate		
22 Q Is that to Mr. Bevan?	22 Dickson, and we're retaining our objections and		
23 A It would have been Mr. Bevan and the estate	23 instructing the witness not to answer on that		
24 attorney, who at that time was Donald Walker. 24 basis.			
25 Q Okay.	25 Q Could you answer the question but for the		
Page 143 1 (Defendants' Exhibit 24 was marked.)	Page 145		
2 Q D Ex. 24 is a settlement amount of \$60,000,	2 MR. COREN: It's a "yes" or		
3 again with 20,000 to Mr. Bevan in 2004. Do you	3 "no" answer, Ms. Damell – Ms. Holley. Sorry.		
4 see this?	4 Q You would be able to answer the question unless		
5 A Yes.	5 Mr. Coren hadn't instructed you?		
6 (Defendants' Exhibit 25 was marked.)	6 A I believe I would be able to.		
7 Q D Ex. 25 is a settlement amount of \$172,000	7 Q Okay.		
8 with attorney's fees of \$59,000 dated April 20,			
9 2005.	8 (Defendants' Exhibit 32 was marked.) 9 Q D Ex. 32. \$34,000. Another settlement amount.		
10 A Yes.			
11 (Defendants' Exhibit 26 was marked.)	10 Do you recognize that? 11 A Yes.		
,			
12 Q D Ex. 26 is \$16,000 dated – or I'm sorry.	,		
13 \$16,000 dated August 4, 2006. Do you see that?	13 Q D Ex. 33. \$16,000. Do you recognize that?		
14 A Uh-huh.	14 A Yes.		
15 (Defendants' Exhibit 27 was marked.)	15 (Defendants' Exhibit 34 was marked.)		
Q D Ex. 27. \$40,000 settlement dated May 21, 16 Q D Ex. 34. An amended probate order for a			
7 2007. Do you see that? 17 settlement amount of \$2,300. Do you recognize			
18 A Yes.	18 that?		
19 (Defendants' Exhibit 28 was marked.)	19 A Yes.		
20 Q D Ex. 28, a settlement amount of \$29,000 dated	20 (Defendants' Exhibit 35 was marked.)		
21 November 15, 2007. Do you see that?	21 Q D Ex. 35. A settlement amount of \$11,000 dated		
21 November 15, 2007. Do you see that? 22 A Yes.	21 Q D Ex. 35. A settlement amount of \$11,000 dated22 April 13, 2015. Do you recognize that?		
21 November 15, 2007. Do you see that? 22 A Yes. 23 (Defendants' Exhibit 29 was marked.)	 21 Q D Ex. 35. A settlement amount of \$11,000 dated 22 April 13, 2015. Do you recognize that? 23 A Yes. 		
21 November 15, 2007. Do you see that? 22 A Yes.	21 Q D Ex. 35. A settlement amount of \$11,000 dated22 April 13, 2015. Do you recognize that?		

Pages 146..149

MARILYN HOLLE	Y - 04/05/2017 Pages 146149		
Page 146	Page 148		
April 15, 2004. Do you recognize that?	1 Q Since the BASF complaint was filed in two		
2 A Yes.	2 thousand – in early 2011, have you settled		
3 (Defendants' Exhibit 37 was marked.)	3 with other defendants for asbestos-related		
4 Q D Ex. 37. A settlement amount of \$24,000 dated	4 claims?		
5 April 27, 2010. Do you recognize that?	5 A Yes.		
6 A Yes.	6 Q And in settling with those, have you, in light		
7 (Defendants' Exhibit 38 was marked.)	7 of the BASF complaint, asked specific questions		
8 Q January 20, 2010, a settlement amount of	8 about the asbestos content in the products that		
9 \$113,000. Do you recognize that?	9 you were settling?		
10 A Is that	10 A No, I have not asked specific questions with		
11 Q DEx. 38.	11 regard to asbestos.		
12 A Yes, I see it's 38.	12 Q Why not?		
13 Q Okay.	13 A No. Because I –		
14 A Yes.	14 MR. COREN: Excuse me.		
15 Q In terms of the various settlements, did you	15 THE WITNESS: Okay.		
rely on Mr. Bevan's advice before consenting to	16 MR. COREN: Work-product.		
17 settlements with other asbestos-related	17 THE WITNESS: Yeah.		
18 defendants?	18 MR. COREN: Okay. I'm		
19 A Is the question did I rely on that with	19 asserting an objection.		
20 relation to other settlements or just one	20 Q And you can answer.		
21 particular settlement at a time?	21 MR. COREN: No. It's		
22 Q One particular settlement at a time.	22 work-product. She can't answer. I'm		
23 A We did one particular settlement at a time.	23 instructing her not to answer.		
24 Q So for each settlement you would have a	24 MR. ASSAF: Why she didn't		
25 discussion with him regarding whether you	25 ask questions?		
Page 147	Page 149		
1 should settle or not?	1 A No. No.		
2 A I'd say yes, that would be a basis of our	2 MR. COREN: It's her mental		
3 conversation.	3 processes.		
4 Q Would it be in person or over the phone?	4 MR. ASSAF: Her mental		
5 A Either.	5 processes?		
6 Q And would a factor in the settlement be whether	6 MR. COREN: Yes. You're		
7 in fact the defendant had produced a product	7 trying to invade into her work-product		
8 with asbestos?	8 regarding a settlement and why she made and		
9 MR. COREN: Objection.	9 what was on her mind as to things other than		
10 Once again you're asking about settlements	10 BASF. You're not entitled to it, Gene. And		
11 beyond BASF.	11 that's our position and we said that regarding		
12 And I'm instructing you not to answer.	12 the other settlements.		
13 The matter is an issue before the judge	13 It's a matter before Magistrate Judge		
14 on settlements with other parties other than	14 Dickson and until all of those issues are		
15 BASF.	15 resolved, I'm instructing her not to go into		
16 Engelhard is a matter before Magistrate	16 details regarding other settlements.		
17 Judge Dickson and until that is resolved, I'm	17 Q After the BASF case was filed in 2011, you had		
18 instructing you not to answer.	18 understood from Mr. Bevan that there had been a		
19 Q Was it always Bevan or were there other lawyers	19 fraud with Engelhard, fair?		
20 or paralegals involved in the recommendation to	20 A Yes. That was my understanding.		
21 settle cases?	21 Q That - according to Mr. Bevan, that Engelhard		
22 A To the best of my knowledge, it was always	22 led him to believe that there was no asbestos		
23 Attomey Bevan.	23 in the talc, correct?		
24 Q And did you keep notes of those conversations?	24 A That is my understanding.		
25 A No.			
	25 Q Okay. In light of that understanding, did you,		

Pages 150..153

MARILYN HOLLE	_		
Page 150	Page 152		
after the filing of the BASF complaint in 2011,	1 A To give whatever they asked for if I had it.		
2 ask specific questions about whether a product	2 Q Correct. So if you still if you haven't		
3 contained asbestos so that you wouldn't run	3 given the records to Mr. Coren, based upon your		
4 into another Engelhard situation?	4 normal practice, doesn't that tell you he		
5 MR. COREN: And it's the	5 hasn't asked for them?		
6 same question that you had asked before, just a	6 MR. COREN: Objection.		
7 little bit different when addressing, and I'm	7 It's argumentative.		
8 giving her the same instruction. We're not	8 MR. ASSAF: Not		
9 here to answer questions about her thought	9 argumentative. She said earlier you didn't ask		
10 processes regarding other settlements. It's an	her for them and now after lunch you say you		
11 issue that is before Magistrate Judge Dickson	11 did ask. Did you review them?		
12 and until it is resolved, we are not I'm	12 MR. COREN: I'm saying		
13 instructing her not to answer.	13 we they were requested. Have we reviewed		
14 MR. MARINO: Michael, could	14 them yet, I have to ask my staff who handled		
15 I ask you to speak up when you're talking?	15 it. I don't know.		
16 It's hard to hear you over the phone.	16 MR. ASSAF: Well,		
17 MR. COREN: Sorry about	17 Mr. Coren, when is this going to happen?		
18 that. And I will try.	18 Discovery's supposed to end in four months.		
19 Q You mentioned that you believe the settlements	19 We've already been in front of the judge. You		
20 are confidential?	20 filed your we've had extensive discovery		
21 A Yes.	21 briefing and her deposition's today. When		
22 Q Do you have any written confidentiality	22 exactly are you going to decide whether you're		
23 agreements in your custody, control, or	23 going to review these documents or not?		
24 possession?	24 MR. COREN: I'm not here to		
25 A I may have.	25 argue with you, Gene. You're trying to, you		
Page 151	Page 153		
1 Q And where would they be?	1 know, and I'm not going to let you goad me into		
2 A They probably would be with my records.	2 an argument with you.		
3 Q The records that are at your house –	3 MR. ASSAF: It's not an		
4 A At my house. The ones that I have, yes.	4 argument. I just want to know: When are you		
5 Q That Mr. Coren hasn't reviewed yet?	5 going to review these documents?		
6 A I don't know if he's reviewed them or not.	6 MR. COREN: Well, I'm not		
7 Q Okay. Well, earlier you said he hadn't asked	7 responding to you today.		
8 you for them?	8 Q By the way, going back to the Williams		
9 A Right. Yeah.	9 settlement discussions that you heard about,		
10 MR. ASSAF: And, Mr. Coren,	10 that there were the fact of discussions, would		
11 was she wrong there? Because let's correct the	11 you have anything in your materials so you're		
12 record.	12 able to put a date of when you heard about		
13 MR. COREN: What?	13 those discussions?		
14 MR. ASSAF: Have you asked	14 A No, I wouldn't.		
15 her for her records?	15 Q Okay. How would you have heard of them? By		
16 MR. COREN: Yes, we have.	16 phone or by mail or? By meeting?		
17 MR. ASSAF: Oh.	17 A Probably by conversation, either in a meeting		
18 Q So he has asked you for your records?	18 or phone, but I don't — I don't recall that.		
19 A I don't recall it, that's what I'm saying. I	19 Q And in terms of the settlement discussions in		
20 don't recall that he asked for specific	20 the Williams case, as we sit here today		
21 records. No, I don't recall that.	21 A This particular case we're discussing?		
22 Q Because you've dealt with Mr. Bevan and	22 Q Yes.		
23 attorneys for 15 years, and if an attorney	23 A Okay.		
24 asked you for records, it would be your normal	24 Q With respect to the settlement discussions in		
25 practice to tell them about the records, fair?	25 this particular case, the Williams case, you		

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 154..157 Page 154 Page 156 were never told what the proposed economic 1 BY MS. FIELDS: settlements were and how much you would 2 Q Ms. Holley, I have a few questions on behalf of 3 receive, correct? my dients, Cahill Gordon & Reindel and Ira 4 MR. COREN: Dembrow and Peter Sloane. Objection. 5 Don't answer. 5 When you talked with Mr. Assaf earlier, I 6 Now you're trying to invade 6 believe he asked you if other than what you've 7 communications by and between attorney-clients, 7 learned from Mr. Bevan if you had any personal including regarding something that was in knowledge that his client had done anything 8 8 9 mediation. So I'm --9 wrong, and I'd like to ask you that same 10 MR. ASSAF: Instructing 10 question with respect to my client. 11 11 So other than what you've learned from her --12 MR. COREN: -- asserting 12 Mr. Bevan, do you have any personal knowledge 13 of any wrongdoing by Cahill Gordon & Reindel? a --13 14 MR. ASSAF: -- not to 14 A I have no personal knowledge, no. 15 answer? 15 Q And other than what you've learned from 16 MR. COREN: Mr. Bevan, do you have any personal knowledge -- privilege. 17 17 of any wrongdoing by Mr. Peter Sloane? Yeah. 18 MR. ASSAF: Instructing her 18 A No. 19 not to answer? 19 Q And I'll ask you the same question. Other than 20 MR. COREN: That's correct. 20 what you've learned from Mr. Bevan, do you have 21 A I consider that confidential. 21 any personal knowledge of any wrongdoing by 22 MR. ASSAF: I am done 22 Mr. Ira Dembrow? questioning for today, with the exception that 23 A No. 23 24 we haven't received the verifications. We 24 Q Do you know who Peter Sloane is? 25 received a lot of documents last night. We've 25 A I believe he's an attorney. Page 155 Page 157 1 identified at least two locations of documents 1 Q Uh-huh. 2 today, and there have been numerous 2 A That was involved in this originally. I'm not 3 instructions not to answer. 4 Q Have you had any personal contact with So I'm done with my questioning for 5 today, but I'm holding open the deposition at Mr. Sloane? 6 least on those topics and on any other 6 A No. No. 7 discovery issues that will arise, including 7 Q And what is the factual basis for your belief 8 after Mr. Bevan's deposition. that Mr. Sloane's an attorney? 9 Counsel, any questions? 9 A In review of documents I saw his name. 10 MS. FIELDS: I have a few 10 Q Okay. Which documents were those? 11 questions on behalf of --11 A The documents I've reviewed recently. I think 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Get a 12 he was referred to in the complaint. 13 Q Okay. And with respect to the complaint, do 13 microphone on before you start. MS. FIELDS: you have any personal knowledge concerning any 14 Oh. 15 MR. COREN: allegations in the complaint about Cahill Excuse me. I 15 16 need to use the facility. I apologize. Can we 16 Gordon & Reindel? take a break for five? 17 A No personal knowledge, no. 18 18 Q And do you have any personal knowledge of any MR. ASSAF: Sure. 19 19 allegations in the complaint concerning Peter MR. COREN: Thanks. 20 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record. 20 Sloane? 21 A No. 21 The time is 1:43. 22 22 Q And then I take it that you also have no (Recess taken.) THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on 23 personal knowledge of any allegations in the

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

24

25 A No.

complaint concerning Ira Dembrow?

24 the record. The time is 1:47.

EXAMINATION OF MARILYN HOLLEY

25

MARILYN HOLLEY - 04/05/2017 Pages 158..161 Page 158 Page 160 1 Q Okay. Oh, just a few clean up questions. don't know if he's a plaintiff or what his role Can you tell me the address of your 2 3 family home? 3 Q Isee. Okay. 4 A 1000 Moeller Avenue. Moeller is spelled Do you recall approximately -- the M-O-E-L-L-E-R. It's avenue. And that's Akron, 5 approximate date in which your mother was Ohio 44307. 6 diagnosed with mesothelioma? 7 Q 44307? 7 A No, not exactly. Actually, I believe it would 8 A Correct. 8 have been sometime in 2000, but I'm not certain 9 Q And is that where you reside? 9 of the date, no. 10 Q Was she working at BFGoodrich at the time? Do 10 A No. One of my sisters resides there. 11 Q Okay. And what is her name? you recall that? 12 A Cheryl with a -- C-H-E-R-Y-L. Last name is 12 A No. She had retired. 13 Q Okay. She retired in 1987, is that correct? 13 Woolridge, W-O-O-L-R-I-D-G-E. 14 Q Okay. And that's the location that you 14 A Correct. In 1987. 15 referred to before where you think that maybe 15 Q And was Mr. Bevan or his law firm the first 16 your mother's documents from her legal affairs firm that she retained in connection with her 17 might be kept? claims relating to her exposure to asbestos? 18 A Yes. 18 A To the best of my knowledge, he is the first 19 Q At this time that's the questions that I can 19 20 ask you today. 20 Q And do you know when your mother retained 21 MS. FIELDS: We're going to 21 Bevan's firm? 22 hold open, obviously, our questions. We came 22 A I could only guess '99 or 2000. I'm not sure. 23 prepared to depose the witness, but since we've I'm not sure. learned that there are additional documents 24 24 Q Well, do you think that she retained Mr. Bevan 25 that we weren't aware of, we're obviously going before she was diagnosed with mesothelioma? Page 159 Page 161 to wait to ask the balance of our questions 1 A I don't know. I'd hate to say. I don't know. 2 until we have all of the material. 2 Q But it would have been around the time that she 3 Additionally, there were plenty of 3 was diagnosed, is that fair to say? objections, the validity of which will be ruled 4 A I would say that's fair to say. upon at a later date and we may find ourselves 5 Q Do you know whether she personally contacted 6 back here. 6 anyone, either with BFGoodrich or any of the 7 Q So at this point that's all that I have for 7 manufacturers of asbestos products that you 8 you. 8 believe she was exposed to? 9 A Okay. 9 A I could only speculate. I have no personal 10 Q So thank you. knowledge of that. 11 A Uh-huh. 11 Q Okay. And do you have any idea when the Bevan 12 MR. COREN: Eric or John. firm might have contacted Engelhard regarding 13 MR. TUNIS: your mother's claims related to her exposure to This is Eric. asbestos? 14 I have a few questions for Ms. Holley. 14 15 THE WITNESS: Okay. 15 A Again, because I was not involved in the 16 **EXAMINATION OF MARILYN HOLLEY** Engelhard settlement, I could only guess that 17 BY MR. TUNIS: it was 2000, 2001. I'm really not certain. 18 Q Good afternoon, Ms. Holley. 18 Q All right. I don't have any questions -- any other questions at this time. 19 A Good afternoon. 19 20 Q My name's Eric Tunis. I represent Thomas 20 MR. COREN: .lohn 21 Halket in connection with the Williams 21 MR. BOYLE: Hi. This is 22 22 litigation. John Boyle. We don't have any other questions 23 Are you familiar with the name Thomas 23 at this time, although we reserve for the same 24 Halket? 24 reasons as everyone else does.

25 A Only I think by seeing it on documents, but I

MR. PLACITELLA: Just for the

Pages 162..165

	EY - 04/05/2017 Pages 162165	
Page 16	Page 164	
1 record, counsel, we sent over the verifications	2 COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA.)	
2 to BASF's answers to interrogatories. I sent	,	
the emails. Did you guys receive that?		
4 MR. FARRELL: I see an email	4 I, Sarah R. Drown, a Notary Public within and	
5 now with Ms. Holley's verifications. I don't	5 for the State of Ohio, duly commissioned and	
6 see them for the other plaintiffs.	6 qualified, do hereby certify that MARILYN HOLLEY,	
7 MR. PLACITELLA: Right. For	7 was first duly sworn to testify the truth, the whole	
8 Ms. Holley's.	8 truth and nothing but the truth in the cause	
9 MR. FARRELL: Do you have the	9 aforesaid; that the testimony then given by her was	
10 ones for the other plaintiffs?	10 by me reduced to stenotypy in the presence of said	
11 MR. PLACITELLA: I'll have to	11 witness, afterwards transcribed on a	
12 track down. I just asked my office for	12 computer/printer, and that the foregoing is a true	
13 Ms. Holley's.	13 and correct transcript of the testimony so given by	
14 MR. TUNIS: Have you sent	14 her as aforesaid.	
15 them to the other defendants?	15 I do further certify that this deposition was	
16 MR. PLACITELLA: Yes, you should	16 taken at the time and place in the foregoing caption	
17 have a copy there.	17 specified. I do further certify that I am not a	
18 MS. FIELDS: Not the	18 relative, counsel or attorney of either party, or	
19 verifications to the other defendants' –	19 otherwise interested in the event of this action.	
20 MR. PLACITELLA: Right.	20 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand	
21 MS. FIELDS: discovery	21 and affixed my seal of office at Cleveland, Ohio, on	
22 but to BASF's.	22 this 11th day of April, 2017.	
	23 Sarah R. Drown, Notary Public	
23 MR. PLACITELLA: Correct.		
24 MR. FARRELL: Was this sent		
25 to us before?	25 My Commission expires April 22, 2017.	
Page 16		
1 MR. PLACITELLA: We sent those	1	
2 just now.	2 THE STATE OF)	
3 MR. FARRELL: Had you		
	3) SS:	
4 previously sent it to us?	4 COUNTY OF)	
	, and the second	
4 previously sent it to us?	4 COUNTY OF)	
4 previously sent it to us?5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I	4 COUNTY OF) 5	
 4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6	
 4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7	
 4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said	
 4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification.	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that	
 previously sent it to us? MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I recall. MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of the Cahill defendants, we want the verification to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when you locate the verification, if there is a verification. MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with	4 COUNTY OF) 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given.	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket.	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today.	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017.	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017.	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55.	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55. 20 (Deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.)	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19 20	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55. 20 (Deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 21 (Signature reserved.)	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19 20 21 MARILYN HOLLEY	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55. 20 (Deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 21 (Signature reserved.)	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19 20 21 MARILYN HOLLEY 22	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55. 20 (Deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 21 (Signature reserved.)	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19 20 21 MARILYN HOLLEY 22 23 Notary Public	
4 previously sent it to us? 5 MR. PLACITELLA: Not that I 6 recall. 7 MR. GEYERMAN: So on behalf of 8 the Cahill defendants, we want the verification 9 to the Cahill defendants' interrogatories when 10 you locate the verification, if there is a 11 verification. 12 MR. PLACITELLA: Of course. 13 MR. TUNIS: Same with 14 respect to Mr. Halket. 15 MR. COREN: Well, there 16 being no other questions, I guess that's it for 17 today. 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're off the 19 record at 1:55. 20 (Deposition was concluded at 1:55 p.m.) 21 (Signature reserved.)	4 COUNTY OF 5 6 7 8 Before me, a Notary Public in and for said 9 state and county, personally appeared the 10 above-named MARILYN HOLLEY, who acknowledged that 11 she did sign the foregoing transcript and that the 12 same is a true and correct transcript of the 13 testimony so given. 14 IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I have hereunto affixed 15 my name and official seal at 16 this day of 17 , 2017. 18 19 20 21 MARILYN HOLLEY 22	

Page 166

Page 166 **DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET** 2 Page No. Line No. Change to: 3 Reason for change: 4 Page No. Change to: 5 Reason for change: 6 Page No. Line No. Change to: 7 Reason for change: 8 Page No. Line No. Change to: 9 Reason for change: Change to: 10 Page No. Line No. 11 Reason for change: Change to: Page No. Line No. 12 Reason for change: 13 Page No. Change to: Line No. 14 Reason for change: Page No. Change to: Line No. 15 Reason for change: 16 Page No. Change to: Line No. 17 Reason for change: Page No. Change to: Line No. 18 Reason for change: 19 Page No. Line No. Change to: 20 Reason for change: Page No. Change to: Line No. 21 Reason for change: 22 Page No. Line No. Change to: 23 Reason for change: Page No. Line No. Change to: 24 Reason for change: 25 SIGNATURE: DATE:

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

\$	\$59,000	95:5 109:22 144:10	1:55
—	143:8	12:30	163:19,20
\$10,000 35:16	\$60,000 143:2	122:13	2
\$11,000	\$8,000 144:13	113:12	2
143:25 145:21 \$113,000	\$8,600 144:9	13 118:18,19,21 136:24 145:22	81:11,13,22 88:8,9,11,12 108:21 109:6 110:4,11
146:9	\$88,000	145.22	20 20:13,14 21:7,8,9,10
\$14,000 101:16 102:14,21 103:23	142:20	53:5 56:23 57:9 95:13 96:9 97:7 98:17 102:6	40:15 117:7 141:21,22 143:8 146:8
\$16,000 143:12,13 145:13	0	108:8 111:24 121:2,3	20,000 143:3
\$172,000 143:7	01 123:17,19	40:15 71:7 76:25 90:3 91:13 95:19 96:13 97:20	2000
\$19,000 102:9 145:25	07 141:19	112:18 120:4 123:11,17 133:3 134:13 136:6,7,12 143:21 146:1 151:23	53:6 56:23 59:10 67:7,9 79:16 95:13 96:9 136:13, 22 138:8 141:8,9,10 160:8,22 161:17
\$2,000 106:16,18 107:7,18,24 114:2	09 141:24	16 103:2 104:16 139:19,20, 22 144:5	2001 67:11,16 68:11 79:16
\$2,300 145:17	1	17 137:21 140:22,23	96:13 100:12,19 102:17 103:2 104:17 118:22 119:1 121:4 123:11
\$20,000 141:6	1 51:15,16,17	18 118:22 119:1 141:4,5	161:17 2002
\$24,000	10	142:3	79:16 105:14,17 108:4
146:4	20:13 40:15 94:6,19 95:5 105:12 108:4 114:10,11	19	2004
\$250,000 21:12	141:8	141:13,14 1 950	143:3 146:1 2005
\$257,000 142:19	1000 158:4	110:25 1969	143:9
\$26,000	10:01 6:8	137:9	2006 143:13
144:5 \$29,000	11 83:8,9,18,19 94:20 95:5	1977 110:25	2007 143:17,21
141:17 143:20 \$33,000	108:3 109:8 113:21 114:8 141:9	1980 113:1	2008 143:24
108:9 \$34,000	11-CV-01754 6:7	1980s 110:13	2009 142:3,10
145:9	113 120:8,11	1987 137:9 160:13,14	2010
\$350,000 141:16	11:36	1:08	62:21 98:11 144:5 146:5, 8
\$40,000 143:16	85:8 11:48	122:16 1:43	2010/early 62:12
\$50,000	85:11	155:21	2011
38:22	12 83:8 84:22 94:13,21,25	1:47 155:24	62:12,21 148:2 149:17 150:1

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York
1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

i1

$\overline{}$
2

2012 140:4 144:10	31 144:8,9	7	accuracy 58:21
2015 87:22 145:22 2017 6:9	316 74:17 32 145:8,9	7 67:11 94:16 99:11 100:22 105:14,17	accurate 135:19 136:1,4 138:18, 19 acting
21	33	8	60:22 67:6
142:1,2 143:16 22 110:16 121:4 142:6,7 143:24	145:12,13 34 145:15,16	8 94:17 100:17,19 123:2 125:8	action 6:7 36:15 38:5 39:7 40:12 63:2 84:24 90:21 91:8
226 121:6,8,17	35 145:20,21	9	actions 83:21 89:1 108:25
228	36 145:24,25	9	actual 69:17
70:11,12,16,20 23	37 146:3,4	94:18 95:5 103:1 95	add 118:5
120:11 142:10,16,17 230	38 146:7,11,12	95:17 98	addition 95:23 96:1,3 108:22
70:13,16,21 73:7 24	4	20:17,21 65:13,14 71:8 95:14 96:3 133:18	additional 108:8 158:24
143:1,2 25 52:24 53:4 56:1,3,17	4 87:15,17,18 143:13	99 160:22	Additionally 159:3
57:6,23,24 59:13 62:8 64:19 100:19 102:17	44307 158:6,7	A	address 85:3 158:2
143:6,7 26	47 119:5,6	abatement 110:14	addressing 150:7
113:8,11 143:11,12 26(a)	5	ability 39:14	adequate 61:21
87:19 27	5	able 43:18 47:1,8 58:4 76:23	admission 42:20,22,23
143:15,16 146:5 28	6:9 94:13,14 95:12 137:1 50	104:8 105:11 116:22 117:16 124:10 134:18,21	admitted 78:21 80:20
143:19,20 289 74:3	94:6 50-pound 119:16	145:4,6 153:12 absence 60:25	advice 27:7,10,11,13,17,19 28:17,23 29:9 32:24
29 143:23 144:1	6	accept 36:5	49:24 53:25 54:2,4,6 56:6,8 71:18,20 72:1,3 89:21,25 91:25 139:4
3	6 68:18 94:15 96:12,17	accepted 53:11,18 55:3 56:2,12, 15,18 57:16,19 58:2,25	146:16 advise
3 85:12,15 102:6 106:7	119:12 123:2,6,9,11 124:20,22,23 136:13	59:3,16,20,24 60:8,17,18 61:25 118:4 140:11	36:12 38:12,14,15 133:25
30 20:15 94:6 144:4,5		Account 108:6	advised 35:12 37:12

affairs

158:16

affidavit

112:8

affiliated

88:25

afternoon

122:18,19 159:18,19

age

7:17

aggregate

70:23 71:9 72:14,22,25 73:5,17 78:5

ago

29:24 30:2 31:18,20

agree

20:25 78:18 80:16 101:13 118:13 126:4

agreement

18:25 19:14,16,18,20 23:23 24:11,18 25:12 93:7,20 127:19 128:6,12, 23 129:1,4,21 132:4,17, 20 141:22

agreements

127:15 129:19 132:6 150:23

ahead

17:15

Akron

9:4 88:19 110:14 158:5

Alex

6:10

alive

53:7 57:10

allegations

26:18 32:11 41:2 107:4 157:15,19,23

alleged

17:22 42:1 43:7 61:7 63:1 87:10 91:9

allegedly

16:19

allow

49:10

allowed

98:7 134:17

Aluminum

139:23

amended

40:25 51:18 145:16

amount

23:11 66:7,21 77:14 108:9 117:9 129:7 141:17 143:2,7,20,24 144:9 145:9,17,21,25 146:4,8

amounts

23:13 113:16

analyses

111:11,20,21 112:11

and/or

64:22,25 74:21,23 108:24 115:9

answer

13:12,22,23 17:18 21:14 22:10 23:2 27:6,8,11,16, 18,21,22 28:16,17,18 29:2,5 43:19 45:11,15 46:1,8,9,10,14 47:4,8,12 48:1,5,11 49:23,25 50:9 53:24,25 54:1,2,8 56:5,6, 7 58:4 65:19 71:21,25 72:1,2 76:23 89:22,24 90:18,22 91:3 92:19,22 93:1 116:23 117:16,20 119:14,16 120:15,18,24 131:6 133:20 134:17 144:19,23,25 145:3,4 147:12,18 148:20,22,23 150:9,13 154:5,15,19 155:3

answered

41:10

answers

23:5 57:24 72:10 81:23 162:2

ante

66:9

anybody

27:25 30:8 31:2 34:5 37:19 39:21 57:23 59:5 134:7 137:18

Apart

10:9

apologize

54:25 155:16

apology

42:11,12

apparent

114:19

Appeals' 41:3

appearances

7:11

appears

139:22 140:24 141:5,19

applicable

108:24

applications

23:19

applies

55:14

approach

52:23

appropriate

90:21

approval 142:18

approving

43:22

approximate 160:5

. . .

approximately

160:4

April

6:9 143:8 144:5 145:22 146:1,5

area

53:12,19 56:13,16 88:20

aren't

42:9

argue

152:25

argument

153:2,4

argumentative

152:7,9

arrangement

93:14

arrangements

93:11 130:12

Arthur

7:14

asbestos

9:5,21 10:4,10 13:8,11, 15,19,25 14:8 15:13,14, 17,24 16:4,15 17:6,22 20:2,3,5 29:14 32:21 35:14 37:3 44:24 45:18 46:5 47:14,21 48:13,16 53:7,11,18 55:3 56:2,12, 18,24 57:16 58:2 59:1,16 61:1,21 62:11,19 64:24 66:25 67:3,8,15 68:11 71:7 73:11 74:13,23 75:4 76:8,12 77:1,3 78:21 79:4,6,18,19,22 80:2,9, 14,21,24 81:6 88:22 89:4,9 90:7 96:3,6 97:21 98:5 99:18,21 103:13,15, 18 104:2 105:4,7 106:19 107:6,17,25 109:14,20 110:7,8,14,16 111:1,4,5, 10 112:10,14 113:6,7,23 114:5 117:1,5,25 119:10, 14,15,16,23 133:14,23 134:19 135:6,13 137:5,7 138:23 139:11,24 141:14,23 142:7 144:15, 16 147:8 148:8,11 149:22 150:3 160:17 161:7,14

asbestos' 112:19

asbestos-containing

112:21.24

asbestos-like

113:17

asbestos-related

21:2 120:6 133:16 134:19 137:25 146:17 148:3

asbestosis

98:8

aside

12:9 37:3 126:8

asked

18:1 21:24 32:6,9,17 33:1,5,14 34:5 41:9 52:14,16,17 69:22 103:12 139:1 148:7,10 150:6 151:7,14,18,20,24 152:1,5 156:6 162:12

asking

17:2 18:6 48:5,6 71:19 72:6 75:17 99:6 106:24 116:11 118:1 147:10

asks

128:1

aspects

131:16

Assaf

6:19 7:23 21:15,21 22:1, 4,8,21 23:1 28:21 29:1,6 31:12,15 52:22 71:14,17 72:8 81:9,16,19 82:16,19 85:13 86:1,6 90:23 91:19,24 92:3,9 94:12 111:18 122:10,17 124:18,23 125:1,7,12 126:3,13 127:17,23 128:3,7,10,15 130:19,23 131:1,5,8,11,17,24 132:3,8 133:7,12 148:24 149:4 151:10,14,17 152:8,16 153:3 154:10, 14,18,22 155:18 156:5

Assaf's

72:4

asserted

92:8,16

asserting

90:20 91:23 148:19 154:12

assertion

91:22 92:13

associates

19:3 108:7

assume

7:10 103:23

astounding

110:16

attached

86:7

attended

64:7

attention

88:7 100:17

attorney

13:3 18:14 20:1 24:3,9, 10 28:8,22 31:3 32:5 36:17 49:13 56:13,25 57:12 58:9 61:2,14 62:13,22 69:19 84:20,21 85:5 88:19 129:5 135:9, 11 136:15 138:12,13,16 142:24 147:23 151:23 156:25 157:8

attorney's

142:20 143:8

attorney-client

21:22 22:10 27:23 131:11,14

attorney-clients

154:7

attorneys

11:21 12:5,9,13,15 14:21,24 18:2,11 25:2 31:19 32:1,6,13 33:1,6, 10 35:8 36:9 38:8,12 39:6 43:10,23 50:3,4,5 51:8 52:17,18 60:9,15 62:1 63:22 64:8 88:25 127:9,10,12 134:17 151:23

attorneys'

32:23

August

104:16 143:13

authorization

70:25 73:16,21

automatic

92:15

avenue

158:4,5

award

28:1

aware

19:13 24:17 94:8 158:25

В

back

12:9 58:5 79:16 83:8

85:10 87:22 92:5,10 100:9 109:6 113:21 114:8 115:13 122:15

114:8 115:13 122:15 153:8 155:23 159:6

bags

119:12,16,17

balance

159:1

ballpark

139:18

based

11:19,21 15:9 24:23 26:18 46:3 47:4,6,10,20 59:23 60:14 61:25 71:6 79:3,11,12,21 80:6,11 82:6 97:20 104:9 107:3, 11 116:7,21 118:3 128:22 132:13 152:3

BASF

6:5,20,22,24 11:1,4 14:11 20:6 25:22 66:7, 10,14,18,20 73:17,22 77:16 88:24 147:11,15 148:1,7 149:10,17 150:1

BASF'S

53:9 54:22 61:22 64:23 71:2 81:23 162:2,22

basic

49:18

basically

40:6 69:9 98:2

basing

22:14,17

basis

9:10 12:13 26:14 28:19 50:21,23 79:13 83:23 116:21 117:17 131:8 144:24 147:2 157:7

becoming

104:25

beginning

6:2 84:7 103:11

behalf

7:11,14 108:7 109:24 137:25 155:11 156:2 163:7

belief

98:9 104:9 157:7

believe

9:2,10 11:22 12:13 27:22 28:6 30:5 31:1 38:12 41:24 42:4 56:13 72:16 82:25 83:1 85:24 86:20 129:14 135:8 139:8 145:6 149:22 150:19 156:6,25 160:7 161:8

i4

Bell

99:21

best

8:22 23:21 32:3 51:14 57:17 59:22 62:20 95:20 98:9 122:1 136:2,5 147:22 160:18

better

45:14 60:7 132:9

Bevan

15:3,7,21,25 16:5,20 17:9,23,24 18:15,18 19:3,7,10,11,21,23 24:6, 10,12,17 25:3,5,11,20 26:15,21 27:13,24 28:14 29:12 33:8,22 35:15 36:13,17 37:4,25 38:9 43:2,3,5,6,9 46:23 47:1 51:11 52:3,10,19 55:19 56:20,25 57:7,9,12,18 58:10 59:6 60:6,19 61:3, 9,12,15 62:2,5,13,18 63:3 64:15 65:7,24 67:19,22,25 68:2 69:14 70:25 71:6 73:4,11,25 74:1,15,16 76:25 79:3, 11,13 80:1,12 84:20,21 85:5 86:11,19 87:11,13 88:13,19 89:16 90:3 91:7,13 92:21 93:2,8,21 96:14 97:21 98:13,18 99:13 100:20 102:6,21 104:4,15 105:6,14 107:1, 4,5 108:6 109:11 110:1, 24 111:19 113:4,11,13 114:5 115:1,8,9 120:4 121:7,11 122:1 123:3,16 125:9,18,19,20 126:15, 23 130:1 132:23 135:11 136:3,16 137:16,19 138:13 140:7,9 142:22, 23 143:3 147:19,23 149:18,21 151:22 156:7, 12,16,20 160:15,24 161:11

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

Bevan's

24:3,9 27:3 28:8 46:25 62:22 63:4 93:19 99:6 103:23 112:1 126:24 130:11 132:15 138:16 146:16 155:8 160:21

beyond

147:11

BFGOODRICH

9:4 66:3 78:3 109:25 110:6,13,20,25 111:2 112:8,12,14,18,20,23 113:5,22 119:10,23 137:8 160:10 161:6

BFGOODRICH'S

111:11,20 112:11

bit

68:19 150:7

boilers

110:8

bonus

28:1

bottom

73:8,9 112:17 120:11 121:7,18

boxes

68:18

Boyle

7:14 161:21,22

brand

121:13

break

81:20 85:6 122:5 125:3 155:17

Breckenridge

98:24 101:15 108:7,20

Brent

121:11

briefed

23:9

briefing

152:21

bringing 46:12

brother

87:1,3

brought

57:25

bunch

78:10.14

C

C-h-e-r-y-l

158:12

C.P.

111:6

Cahill

7:1,2,4 25:22 71:1 85:16 88:24 156:3,13 157:15 163:8,9

called

7:17 10:19,21,23 11:1 82:13

can't

10:8 20:25 24:2,15 27:11 30:6 31:17 32:19 33:4 47:24 49:7,25 63:17,19 67:4 82:4 87:21,24 101:2 107:15 132:24 138:24 139:12 148:22

captioned

96:22

care

19:4

carefully

55:22

case

6:15 8:5 11:10,11,19 13:10 14:1,2 18:22 19:18,20 20:2,3,9 24:22, 23 25:1,16 26:1 30:18 32:7,11,17 33:25 34:11, 14 35:2,3,8,14,24 36:21 37:6,9 38:7,22,23 39:16 40:7,9,10 41:3 46:5,15, 19 47:2,6 59:6 65:1,6,10, 25 69:18 75:8 80:7 84:10,11,13 85:3 87:9 88:5 89:17 90:14 92:22 93:4,9,22 94:3 96:6 103:22 107:5 117:23,24 126:10,16 128:19 129:6, 9,16,17,20,22 130:2,13 132:16,21 139:3 149:17 153:20,21,25

cases

20:8 29:14 32:21 37:3,9 47:21 52:10 64:15 65:13, 15 66:23 67:8,15 68:11 77:1,6,8,10 79:15,17 90:7 98:17,21,23,25 99:7 101:17 102:2 115:3,24 147:21

cash

136:12

Cassandra

6:25

Catalysts

6:5 88:24

cause

51:7 74:19

caused

15:13,14,17

cement

137:7

certain

16:10 32:12 36:16,18 75:21 78:2,25 79:9 85:22 94:25 117:15 129:10 160:8 161:17

certificate

82:6

certificates

106:6

certified

7:20

certify

135:15

change

24:13 101:12

check

132:10

checked

125:2

checks

134:12,13

Chemical

101:9,10 103:6 108:14 139:23

Cheryl

158:12

circumstances

i5

8:20

civil

6:7 7:18

CLAD

101:25 114:15

claim

75:4 76:12 77:15 106:1 136:12

claimed

48:16

claims

55:10 61:22 74:5,6,23 76:9 84:25 88:22 89:6 100:12 108:19 117:12 135:6,13 139:10 141:5 148:4 160:17 161:13

clarified

18:2 26:5

clarify

16:3 63:7

Clark

112:9

class

8:1,5,7,8,21,22 11:9,17 18:4,5 19:6 24:21,23 26:1,6,9 28:1,5,7,11 31:3,4 33:8 34:2,25 35:6 36:6,11,15 37:17 38:1,5, 6 39:7,9 40:12 41:15,23, 24 42:5,6,11 43:12,21,24 44:4,5,16 57:22 60:1 63:2,22,25 64:2,8 69:4 74:6,22 75:1 76:3 87:18 88:21 91:12,15 94:4 114:25 115:4,11,23 116:4,12,16,17 117:21, 22 118:1 127:8,14

clean

158:1

clear

17:19 33:9,11 72:6 85:1 130:7

client

24:14 59:15 92:19 129:5 156:8,10

client's

iб

clients

73:12 105:23,25 106:4,6, 10 156:3

clients'

70:24 89:6

coaching

72:9,12

colleagues

64:13

collective

72:18

come

12:9 32:13 80:12 109:6 126:21 127:5

commenced

53:7 56:24 57:10

comment

132:14

Common

53:8 57:25 109:1

commonly

111:9 112:9

communication

91:25

communications

33:22,24 34:2 154:7

companies

20:6 44:20,22 45:2 47:14 48:15 50:1 51:12 99:17 103:7,9,12,14,18 108:18 115:3,19 120:5 138:23

company

10:19,21,23 11:1 14:4,7, 9 15:15,18 26:7,10,13,22 45:17,20 46:4 47:23 48:6,13 49:3,11,20 50:18,19 51:6,9 100:4,10 108:17 109:12,25 111:6 112:22,25 113:7,16,22, 25 117:5 137:8 140:10 144:14

company's

45:22

compensate

13:11

compensated

15:12,16 94:3

compensation

14:6 15:23,24 16:2,8,14, 19,23 19:15 20:5 21:1,11 42:20 61:21 93:21 95:18 97:23 98:7 116:3 118:5

complaint

12:17,19,21 15:9 26:19, 20 30:4,6 32:11 40:25 44:9,20 51:15,18 55:9,15 57:20 58:7,9,13,21 59:9, 15 60:3,13 63:2,10,13, 15,20 70:12 95:13 98:11, 24 108:20 123:5,12 124:14,21 125:19 148:1, 7 150:1 157:12,13,15,19,

complaint's

99:8

complaints

96:22 99:1 114:16,21 115:18

complicated

91:4 92:14

computer

32:15 33:16 34:6

concealment

74:21 75:9

conceivably

71:21

concept

48:2,4

concerned

125:14

concerning

89:3,8 157:14,19,24

concluded

163:20

conferences

57:13

confidential

23:22 134:3,4,5 150:20 154:21

confidentiality

22:18,22 23:23,24 24:1, 11,16,18 25:12 150:22

connection

159:21 160:16

Connolly

7:4

consent

70:24 73:16

consenting

146:16

consider

39:2 60:8,16 134:3,4,5 154:21

consideration

38:18

Consolidated

96:22 114:16,21

contact

157:4

contacted

126:21 161:5,12

contain

113:16

contained

26:18 61:1 64:24 110:6 112:14 135:17 150:3

contaminated

111:10 112:10

content

148:8

contingency

129:7,8,9

continue

54:7,15 70:21

continues

71:3

contracted

98:4

contributed

66:7

control

150:23

conversation

12:7 147:3 153:17

conversations

10:17 11:21 14:20,23 121:25 147:24

Cook

6:10

Cooper

78:3

copies

34:8 67:19 68:8

copy

52:5 67:24 82:17 86:2 123:16 128:16 162:17

Coren

6:13,14 13:20 17:13 18:14 19:1 20:22 21:13, 17,24 22:2,6,17,24 23:4 25:8 27:4,15 28:15,24 29:3 31:14,16 35:10 36:7,10,24 37:1 38:2,8, 10,25 39:18,20 40:1 41:7,9 42:2,13 43:6,9,13, 17 44:1,11 45:9 47:25 49:5 53:23 54:6,9,11,14, 19 55:13 56:4 60:4 63:7, 8 65:18 69:22 71:11,16, 22 72:8,11 76:19 77:17 79:7 81:14,18 82:18 89:18 90:17 91:1,17,21 92:1,2,7,12 104:6 105:10 106:21 107:9,20 115:5,9 116:1,5 117:13 119:25 124:8,18,22,24 125:5,23 126:11 127:22,25 128:5, 8,11,17 130:17,21,24 131:3,7,9,13,21 132:1,5 133:19 144:18 145:2,5 147:9 148:14,16,18,21 149:2,6 150:5,17 151:5, 10,13,16 152:3,6,12,17, 24 153:6 154:4,12,16,20 155:15,19 159:12 161:20 163:15

Coren's

130:10

Corning

142:2

Corning/fibreboard

141:23

Corp

101:10

Corporation

99:18 101:10 139:23

Corporation'

101:12

correct

15:6 17:3.4.6.9 18:9.10 23:16,18,20 24:9,13 25:7,10,12,13 26:15,23 32:22,24,25 33:3 35:17, 18,22 36:3,22 37:11 39:10 40:21 41:4 44:21, 22 47:18,19 51:13 55:11, 16,20,21,22,24 59:19 61:9,10,13 62:3 66:11, 12,25 67:1,12,20 69:20, 21,23 73:25 77:9 78:16, 24 79:20 80:19,20 83:4,5 84:13 86:15 90:8,9,16 106:20 107:1 109:4 110:22 114:2,6 118:16 120:6,7 131:7 135:7 138:7,15,18 139:2 149:23 151:11 152:2 154:3,20 158:8 160:13, 14 162:23

correspondence

123:3 125:10

Correspondingly

73:8,11

cost

75:7

costs

75:5

couldn't

31:5 42:15 139:15,18

counsel

6:11 27:7,10,12,17,19 28:17 29:9 49:24 53:25 54:2,5,6 56:6,8 64:20 72:2,4 82:17 84:24 86:1 89:21,25 93:18 98:14 111:19 155:9 162:1

count

95:14 103:8

County

53:9 55:4 57:16 58:1 59:2,4,17,25 108:25

couple

31:21 68:18

course

163:12

court

6:6 7:6 11:11 41:3 53:8 58:1 66:8 67:17 90:21 91:16 92:5 109:1 118:8,

10,12,15 127:5 128:1 133:24 135:14,18 142:17

covering

137:7

create

112:18

created

77:3

cursory 69:7

custody

150:23

Suvahaa

Cuyahoga

53:9 55:4 57:16 58:1 59:1,3,17,25 108:25

D

Dalmut

6:23,24

damages

75:3,12,13,15,17,21 76:1,7 78:23

dangerous

119:18

Darnell

8:7 53:7 56:23 57:10 73:13 95:13 96:18 101:18 102:9 106:11 109:2 118:21 119:9,22 123:5,12 124:14,21 137:6 141:6 145:3

Darnell's

125:13

date

6:9 34:10 54:22 62:17 66:4 69:9 104:25 118:25 125:25 153:12 159:5 160:5,9

dated

96:13 100:19 102:16 103:1 105:17 136:13 142:3,10 143:8,12,13,16, 20 144:9 145:21,25 146:4

dates

14:13 25:19

dawned

125:15

day

6:13 37:24

deal

67:23

dealing

97:20

deals 90:19

dealt

56:25 66:24 67:2 151:22

Dear

105:21

death

47:15 67:9 106:5 135:23

decedent

53:6 62:10 73:13 84:23

Decedent's

83:20

deceit

11:12

December

143:24

decide

35:19,21 36:11 37:25 38:6 152:22

deciding

70:23

decision

36:5 38:14 56:14 83:20

decisions

41:3 83:23

deems

66:8

defendant

6:20 35:16 38:21 45:2 53:10 56:11 66:3 80:18 88:24 95:25 104:14 107:17,24 129:12 147:7

defendants

7:2,17 8:14 12:2 20:2,9, 10,20,24 21:2,4,5 26:2 38:21 39:6 49:8 60:24 61:20 65:14 67:2 71:8 77:1 78:1,3,10 79:18 80:13 81:4 82:23 89:1 95:14,17 96:4 98:15,22, 25 99:3,14,17 100:13,23 101:13 102:1,13,20 103:5,21 104:20 106:15, 19 107:6 108:11 109:18 114:1,17 123:4 125:11 133:14,18 139:16 146:18 148:3 162:15 163:8

i7

defendants'

35:13 51:16 62:10 74:13 81:11 85:12,15 87:15,17, 18 89:2,7 94:14,15,16, 17,18,19,20,21 118:18 121:2 123:1,2 136:6 139:19 140:22 141:4,13, 21 142:1,6,16 143:1,6, 11,15,19,23 144:4,8 145:8,12,15,20,24 146:3, 7 162:19 163:9

defense

62:11,19 98:14

definitely

102:23

deliberate

74:20

demand

64:24 65:5

Dembrow

7:5 156:4,22 157:24

dep

82:20

depends

28:24

depose

158:23

deposition

6:3 9:23,25 10:1,2,7,9 18:8,17,23 41:6,14,17,21 51:21 84:7 103:12 118:21 121:4 122:20 155:5,8 163:20

deposition's

152:21

derived

77:12

describe

9:2 68:17 83:19 84:23

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

described

64:21 74:20

describing

137:3

description

9:17

deserve

116:18

destroyed

68:12

destruction

74:21

detail

83:19 137:4

details

19:12,13 149:16

determination

118:7

determine

118:10

determined

118:12,15

develop

57:13 84:24

developed

13:6 53:15,22 55:6 56:20

develops

117:8

diagnosed

160:6,25 161:3

diagnoses

97:17

diagnosing

98:20

Dickson

22:20 23:7 91:5 92:18

144:22 147:17 149:14

150:11

didn't

10:13 44:8 45:3,17 51:6 67:2 79:19 80:2 103:14

109:20 119:18 121:22

148:24 152:9

difference

80:25

differences

97:22

different

47:10 97:11,14,17

103:14 150:7

disagree

20:21,25

disagreement

71:23

discard

70:1

discarded 68:12

disclose

139:2

disclosed

86:9 138:21

Disclosures

87:19

discounted

136:12

discovery

133:24 152:20 155:7

162:21

Discovery's

152:18

discuss

10:18,21,23 11:1 27:25

122:20 140:9

discussed

12:4.16 37:14 77:21 82:12 94:9 104:10 127:7

129:25 130:2 134:6,10

discussing

24:19 132:24 153:21

discussion

10:6,10 146:25

discussions

10:15 12:8,12 34:14,17,

18,20 37:13,22 39:5,10, 11 92:21 93:2,5,6 130:10

131:15,19,25 153:9,10,

13,19,24

disease

98:4,6

diseases

97:23

dismiss

83:20 98:25 99:3

dismissal

89:6 99:13 102:1 103:5

104:19,21

dismissed

44:10,13 45:23 46:2 49:2

61:17 77:16 100:13

106:9

dismissing

103:22

dispute

104:4,8 105:6,11

distinction

120:13

distribute

134:11

distributed

134:13

Distribution

101:11

distributor

121:13

District

6:6

divide 116:16

document

51:22,24 52:2 87:21

93:16 95:15 96:12,15,21

99:12 100:19 101:1

108:4 111:25 112:1

113:13 115:17 120:9

125:24 129:4 136:8,18,

19 137:22 139:20,22 140:2,6,23,24 141:2,18

documentation 116:7 134:23 135:1,12

documents

23:14,15 30:25 31:1

32:10,16 33:2,3,4,5

39:23,24 40:2,8,11,14,

16,18,19,23 41:8,12,16,

18,19,20 51:20 52:15,17, 19 62:16 64:14 67:19,23

68:10.21.23 69:2 74:22. 25 101:3 122:23 124:9 126:4 129:13 140:8,14 152:23 153:5 154:25 155:1 157:9,10,11

158:16,24 159:25

doesn't

29:5 50:8 68:2 79:6 121:12 128:18 131:17,22

132:2 152:4

doina

26:23 132:19,20

dollars

116:15

don't

8:10,17 10:5,12,13,14,16 11:8 13:12 14:13 16:24

19:2,3,12,16,19 20:18

22:6 25:19 28:9 29:17,

19,21 30:12,19 31:1,14,

16 36:18 43:18 44:3

45:11,25 46:9,10,20

47:3,11 48:2,11 50:5,15 52:12 57:5 58:3,22,25

59:3,18,23 62:6 63:11

66:21,22 70:1 80:21,22

86:6 87:24 93:4,15,16

94:5,8,10 104:24 106:25

107:12 115:22 116:22,23

117:20 118:4,6 125:6,14

129:11,12 132:5,6,19

136:19 151:6,19,20,21

152:15 153:18 154:5 160:1 161:1,18,22 162:5

Donald

142:24

Dornbusch

7:15

dozens 44:20 47:18,20 49:3

draft 108:5

drafts

101:23

draw 39:19 120:13

Drown

7:7

due

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

89:6

duly 7:19

Ε

E's 100:9

earlier

42:24 44:19 51:19 52:14, 16 61:7 115:10 133:21 151:7 152:9 156:5

early

62:21 148:2

Eastern

53:10 54:23 56:10 61:18 100:9

economic

154:1

economics

34:21

effect

26:8

effort

75:6

efforts

84:23 either

> 11:8 22:10 43:8 56:19 68:8 93:19 118:14

126:21 130:1 147:5 153:17 161:6

Elizabeth

6:23

email

52:4 82:20 162:4

emails

33:19,21 62:16 67:21,25 68:2 162:3

employed

137:8

employees

114:21

employers

97:12,15

employment

11:17

Emtal

10:21 25:21 44:8,9,15 46:18,22 64:15 89:3,4,9, 10 103:6 108:11 118:12

enclosed

96:21 98:20 105:22 106:5 108:5

enclosing

98:19

encourage

102:22

engaged

110:13

Engelhard

10:24 11:7 14:11 25:21 66:15,20 78:24 79:25 80:2,8 83:21 84:12,25 85:4 147:16 149:19,21 150:4 161:12,16

Engelhard's

60:24 61:1

ensure

136:4

entitled

35:7 81:22 87:18 96:13 100:22 105:19 109:23 118:5 123:11 124:13,21 129:24 139:22 140:23 149:10

Eric

7:9,11 159:12,13,20

estate

8:6 9:7 19:21,23 24:5,7, 12,24 45:21 66:19 67:7, 17 75:25 76:14 77:23

78:20 79:24 90:6 105:2 114:2 142:23

estates

108:24

estimate

40:14

et al 6:4,5

ethics

45:8

events

40:21,24

evidence

22:16 60:25 64:23 74:13, 22,25 75:6,7,9 89:4,9

evidenced

111:10 112:10

Ex

51:15,17 81:13,22 94:11, 13 95:12 96:12,17 99:11 100:17,19 103:1 105:12

108:3 109:8,22 113:21 118:19,21 121:3 123:9,

11 124:20 125:8 136:7, 12 139:20,22 140:23

141:5,14,22 142:2,7,17 143:2,7,12,16,20 144:5,9

145:9,13,16,21,25 146:4, 11

exact

82:4

exactly

10:5 44:3 63:11 152:22 160:7

examination

7:18,22 155:25 159:16

examined

7:20

example

35:17 38:19

exception

154:23

excess

21:11

excuse

7:10 14:16 23:21 91:21 148:14 155:15

excused

22:13

executed

101:21 105:22 141:7,8, 19,24

executrix

105:2

exhibit

51:16 81:11 85:12,15 87:15,17,18 94:14,15,16,

17,18,19,20,21 113:8,11, 12 114:8 118:18 121:2 123:2 136:6 139:19 140:22 141:4,13,21 142:1,6,16 143:1,6,11, 15,19,23 144:4,8 145:8, 12,15,20,24 146:3,7

exist

124:5

existed 74:25

existence

64:21,22

exists 125:25

expect

35:24 36:2,3,4 43:24 49:13 50:1 51:8 103:17 138:17,19,25 139:3

expectation

42:18

expectations

43:20

expenses

75:5

experience

47:20 71:6 79:3 80:11 132:7

explain

56:1

explained

133:21

exposed

9:5,8,15,20 10:4 11:3,6 44:18,24 45:19 46:17,22 48:14 96:25 97:4 115:20, 25 116:9,10,18,20 117:1, 11,18 118:2,3,11 119:23 121:23 137:6 161:8

exposure

13:8 44:8 97:22 98:4 115:4 119:10 137:5 144:16 160:17 161:13

exposures

114:22

extensive

69:11,12,17 152:20

extensively

23:9 112:7

extent

27:5,6,16 28:17 53:24 56:5 72:1 78:25 79:9 89:20,22

eyebrows

132:9

F

facilities

96:24 97:4

facility

155:16

fact

9:11 29:1,6,7 80:24 99:12 104:2 105:6 113:6, 8 116:20 119:23 138:21, 22 139:1 147:7 153:10

factor

147:6

facts

15:8 18:22 25:6,21 57:5, 7,8 60:14 61:24 62:1 65:4 69:8,18 74:14 86:10,14,17,18 87:9,10 89:16 91:8,16 92:21 102:19

factual

9:10 85:2 157:7

factually

117:15

fair

15:8,9,21,22 16:12 43:5 48:23 50:13,15 51:2,3 57:18 61:20 66:8 78:18 79:6,9 80:22 86:9,18 91:10 103:19,20 117:12 149:19 151:25 161:3,4

fairly

94:23 117:20

fairness

49:18 117:16

false

17:8 74:24

familiar

159:23

family

19:2,22 124:2,4 126:5 134:9 136:21,23 158:3

far

12:3 51:11

Farrell

6:21 162:4,9,24 163:3

February

96:13 123:11,17

federal

7:18 22:5,9,15 99:23

fee

18:25 19:14,16,17,20 93:13 127:14,19 128:5, 12,22,23 129:1,4,19,21 130:11 132:3,6,17,20

feel

38:16

fees

130:2,12 131:16,25 142:20 143:8

fiber

111:1,4 137:7

fibers

61:1

fiduciary

24:4,24 134:10

Fields

6:25 7:1 86:4 155:10,14 156:1 158:21 162:18.21

figure

109:19 139:18

figures

77:11

file

51:9 68:24 69:5,13 96:6 139:10

filed

15:9 44:9,20 51:12,25 54:22 59:9 63:10,13,16, 20 82:10 87:25 95:24 99:8 106:1 108:25 109:10,24,25 114:16 115:2 128:1 137:25 138:22 139:13 148:1 149:17 152:20

files

32:15 50:17 52:8,19 68:17 69:14 93:17,19,24 123:15,21,22 125:21 135:2,3 136:20,21

filing

30:4,6 55:16 63:2 95:13 115:18,24 133:17 150:1

filings

21:20

filled

135:12

filling 86:8

finalized

82:9,11

find

24:25 46:20 59:5 69:16 126:20 159:5

fine

122:8

finish

141:25

Firestone

97:12

firm

19:1 30:9,20 71:1 73:11 88:23 93:14 130:11 160:15,16,21 161:12

first

7:19 25:14 30:5 51:8,10 53:5 56:17 57:5 58:18 63:1,15,24 64:6,11 70:22 75:12 81:23 82:2,3 95:12 110:3 126:15 137:24 160:15,18

five

20:13 40:15 81:17 88:20 137:1 155:17

flip

111:23

focused 54:24

follow

32:23

following

97:3 105:23,25 106:2,4,

6.10 108:23

follows

7:21

foregoing

83:10

form

13:21 17:14 20:23 25:9 35:11 36:8 38:3,11 39:1, 18 42:3,14 43:13 44:2,12 45:10 47:25 49:6 60:5 65:18 76:20 77:18 79:7 89:19 104:7 106:22 107:10,21 115:6 116:6 117:14 120:1 131:1,3 136:12,24 139:10 141:5

former

112:12

forms

138:20

forth

22:19 61:3 115:13

forward

54:3 101:22

forwarding

101:8

found

45:1,16 46:15 48:6 49:20 113:15

foundation

131:2.4

four

20:12 28:11 152:18

fourteen

101:17

fraud

11:12 16:5 17:23 79:10 80:1 87:10 149:19

fraudulent

14:3,5 16:20 42:21,22,23 43:7 62:11,18 75:8

front

21:20 58:14 81:12 85:14 87:16 94:11 109:8 125:9 136:15 140:15 152:19

full

further 74:19

G

gathering 74:20

Gene

6:19 28:25 71:23 81:14 125:6,23 126:11 130:18 132:6,14 149:10 152:25

general

68:20 97:12 129:23

generally

32:23 68:5,6

Georgia

103:5 108:11,12 117:2

Georgia-pacific

100:2

getting

19:16 133:10

Geyerman

7:3 82:22 163:7

give

22:7 32:5 47:8 68:20 75:20 82:4 94:12 123:7 139:18 152:1

given

19:14 31:19,25 33:9 73:15,21 80:7 88:23 93:25 152:3

giving

90:15 150:8

qo

17:15 30:14 65:17,21,23 70:11 71:18 87:13 96:11 99:11 100:9 112:17 118:9,19 121:3 122:10 139:20 140:6,14 149:15

goad

153:1

goes

76:9 82:22 87:21 108:19

going

9:23 12:19 17:2 22:12 27:5 54:3 61:16 65:25 70:6,12,21 77:2 80:16 81:1 82:16 88:17 89:21 90:17 92:8 93:15 94:3,22 95:7 96:11 109:6,10 111:23 113:21 117:11 123:1,7 125:8 129:6 130:15 133:19,25 140:14 144:20 152:17,22,23 153:1,5,8 158:21,25

good

6:13 7:24,25 44:6 70:6 81:15 90:11 122:18,19 159:18,19

Goodyear

97:12

Gordon

7:1,2,4 25:22 85:16 88:24 156:3,13 157:16

Gordon's

71:1

gotten

52:2 66:14 133:8

Grant

7:3 101:14

Great

122:9 124:11 133:12

greater

137:4

greatest

98:7

greeting

18:19

ground

21:23

grounds

21:15,16,17,25 22:1,7

group

38:21 61:19 72:18 98:15 114:17

guess

14:10 43:22 48:9 86:25 102:6 160:22 161:16 163:16

guy 90:11 91:7

guys 162:3

Gypsum

142:7

Н

hadn't

145:5 151:7

half-truth

89:2,7

Halket

7:12 159:21,24 163:14

Hall

111:6

handle

49:14 129:6

handled

141:10 152:14

handling

67:15

happen

35:25 152:17

happened

84:11

happening

34:10

happens

126:8

hard

52:5 67:19,24 150:16

harm

13:14 16:9 26:12,14 49:21 51:7,10

harmed

8:23,25 9:3 12:14,24 13:4,6 43:12 44:18 50:14,18 115:12 117:24

harmful

11:16,18,25 12:2,3,25 13:2

harms

12:22,23 15:11,12,14,17, 23 16:1 27:1,2,14

Harwick

101:9,10,11 103:6 108:14 hasn't

51:11 125:3,4 151:5 152:5

i11

hate

161:1

haven't

32:9 33:9,14 67:21 69:22 93:18 95:21 152:2

154:24

he's

25:14 66:1 90:11 151:6 156:25 160:1

head

31:17 134:22

hear

150:16

heard 25:23,24 63:1 80:2 120:24 126:16 153:9,12,

15

hearing 43:22

heck

119:18

help 40:4 90:13,15 94:24

118:8

helped

40:6,19 120:4 135:9

helping

90:6

here's 49:15 115:17

hereinafter

7:20

hesitate

126:6

Hi

161:21

higher 64:25

hold 70:2 158:22

holding

Holley

6:3,16 7:16,22,24 27:8 32:14 49:17 53:23 54:3, 17 62:9 71:12 72:6 85:14 95:8 128:16 132:15 136:10 140:20 145:3 155:25 156:2 159:14,16,

Holley's

53:6 73:13 81:21,23 125:20 126:4 162:5,8,13

home

31:11 52:12,19 104:24 124:2,4 126:5,17 135:2 136:21,23 158:3

hopefully

126:17

Hopewell

141:15

hour

30:15

hours

63:4,6

house

151:3,4

Hygienist

112:13

hypothetical

115:22

ı

ľd

15:9 42:8 53:4 72:9 75:20 100:16 147:2 156:9 161:1

ľIJ

12:9 21:14 54:15 98:13 125:5 126:1 127:17 144:19 156:19 162:11

l'm

6:13,14 10:12 12:1,2 16:10 18:2,5,6 19:13 20:11,16 21:5 22:12,17 24:25 26:19 27:5 29:3 32:12 36:14,15 39:19 43:21 46:13 47:7 48:6,20 49:15 50:21 51:17 53:1 54:11 59:11 66:16 69:6 11,12 74:18 75:20,24 78:2 81:1 82:16 83:15 85:22 88:11,17 89:21 90:17,22 91:18 92:7,18 93:15,23 94:8 95:7 98:19 103:4 106:24 109:10 111:23 117:15,22 118:1 120:21 123:1,7 124:2,3, 25 125:8,14 129:10

70:10,12,20 71:18 72:6,

133:19,25 137:17 140:14 143:12 147:12,17 148:18,22 149:15 150:7, 12 151:19 152:12,24 153:1,6 154:9 155:4,5

157:2 160:8,22,23

l've

161:17

37:12 42:17 92:8 120:24 157:11

idea

24:21 27:2,3 68:20 77:20 94:2 105:5 161:11

identified

29:21 87:2 91:6,7 92:3 155:1

identify

6:11 17:21 75:7 77:14 101:3

illnesses

98:2

imagine

36:16

impact

71:21

incentive

28:1

inches

68:18

inclination

51:10

included

99:17 106:18 142:19

includes

106:12,13 113:13

including

6:15 73:12 75:3 76:7 77:1 83:21 101:18 154:8 155:7

incorporating

27:9,19 54:1 56:7 72:3

incur

75:1 76:5

incurred

75:2,6 76:1,6,14

independent

26:16,17,22,25 29:4

indicated

57:9 96:23

indicating

60:25

individual

7:1 37:3 88:25

individually

101:4

individuals

106:2

Industrial

112.12

industries

120:22

information

23:19 29:15 34:6,19 35:20 57:2 80:7,17 83:12,22 84:3,7,9,14,17 85:2 87:7 90:13,25 102:23 114:18 115:7 127:16 135:17,22

informed

36:3,4,20 37:8 62:12,18

initial

11:20

initially

51:25

injured

43:25 44:4 137:2,4 138:1

injuries

13:11,16,19 14:1 16:15 17:6,20,25 20:6 21:2 42:1 120:6 133:17 134:20

injury

16:4 17:22 53:8 56:24 61:21 73:12 75:4 76:8,12 139:24 141:23 142:2,8

instance

11:15

instruct

21:14 22:9 27:5,17 28:16 53:24 56:5 71:12,25 89:21 90:18 133:20 144:19

i12

instructed

145:5

instructing

23:2 29:2 90:22 91:3 92:18 131:6 144:23 147:12,18 148:23 149:15 150:13 154:10,18

instruction

22:22,23 27:16 54:20 55:14 145:1 150:8

instructions

155:3

instructive

78:22,25

insulated 110:7,8,9

insulation

110:17 121:14

interaction

138:12

interested 41:25

Interesting

35:6 52:22

International

108:18

interrogatories

23:6 81:24 82:8 83:15 85:16 86:9,13,20 162:2 163:9

interrogatory

81:10 83:3,18 84:22

interrupt

54:7

invade

131:15 149:7 154:6

investigation

involuntary 89:5

involved

47:13 59:6 75:21 76:25 79:10 83:22 110:15 147:20 157:2 161:15

Ira

7:5 156:3,22 157:24

irrelevant

21:19

isn't

45:8 46:7 125:21 132:4

issue

23:6,8 45:6 60:9,10,16 74:15,16 91:4,25 92:13 133:21,23 134:1 144:20 147:13 150:11

issues

23:5 46:13 60:15 91:9 107:14 108:2 144:20 149:14 155:7

it's

19:11 21:18,19 22:21,22 23:4,6,8 29:1,6,8 33:9 38:7 45:7,11,25 48:3,4 49:17,18 50:8,13 60:12 68:19 71:19 78:25 80:22 86:25 87:25 91:2,3,4,18, 19,22 92:15,16 93:25 100:22 102:6 103:1,4,23 104:9 109:25 113:11 114:11 115:17 119:9 122:3 123:11 124:13 126:9 129:7 132:7 145:2 146:12 148:21 149:2,13 150:5,10,16 152:7 153:3 158:5

its

26:7,10,12 70:24 71:2 104:2 105:4 110:14 112:25 113:23 114:5

J

J-m 137:6

James

112:8

January

105:14,17 108:4 119:1,2 121:4 146:8

Jared

6:17 132:8

Jersey

6:6 92:15,16 127:3,5,20 129:17 132:17 141:15

job

9:17 110:15

John

7:14 159:12 161:20,22

Johns

111:4

Johns-manville

140:25

Johnson

103:7 108:14

join

86:4

iudae

22:19 23:7 91:5 92:17,18 134:2 147:13,17 149:13 150:11 152:19

judge's

41:1

Judgment 109:24

June 67:11 118:22 119:4

123:19

K

Kaiser

139:11,23

Kathryn

8:6 53:6 56:23 57:10 101:18 102:9 106:11 109:1 118:21 123:5,12 124:14,21 141:6

70:1,3,5 109:8 147:24

kept

34:10 158:17

Kevin

7:13

Kimberlee

6:4 29:18

kind

75:23

knew

51:12 86:11 104:5 105:7

8:9,10,11,16,17,18,20 11:8,19 14:19 15:20 16:24 17:18 19:12,20 20:18 23:10 27:2,14 28:4 29:11,16,19,20 30:19 31:14,16 34:13,18 35:7 36:18 37:23 38:24 40:16 43:3,4,7,8 44:3,23,25 46:23 48:11 49:2 51:11 56:15 57:15 58:25 59:3, 18,23,24 60:2,9,10,14,17 61:23 62:2,5,9 63:17 64:4 65:24 66:2,19,21 69:19,25 72:7,14 74:9 77:11,22,25 83:6 86:13, 17 92:7 94:7,8,10 98:3, 21 104:1,3 105:3 106:24, 25 107:1,4,12 113:25 115:8,24 117:4,17 119:18 120:16 121:12,22 125:6 126:25 127:11.13 129:8 130:18 131:9,16 135:21,25 137:14,15,16 138:10,14 139:11,15 144:13 151:6 152:15 153:1,4 156:24 160:1,20 161:1,5

knowing 11:5

knowledge 8:22 12:18.20 14:25 15:2,3 23:18,22 25:5 26:16,17,22,25 28:19 29:4 32:4 39:14,17 51:14 55:8 56:21 59:22 62:6,7 65:4,8 73:20,23,25 86:14,24 88:22 89:5,23 90:1 95:20 98:9 104:9 136:2,5 147:22 156:8,12, 14,16,21 157:14,17,18, 23 160:18 161:10

known

17:9 61:8 64:20 90:2 91:13 101:11 114:4

knows

15:25 16:6,20 28:23 43:2 71:19 113:4,5,7 137:18

i13

L

laboratory

111:11,21 112:11

language

101:13

laptop

33:17

lasted

63:4

late

62:11,20 110:13

law

30:20 71:1 73:11 90:21 160:15

lawful

7:17

Lawrence

108:17

lawsuit

8:2 13:5.9.10 15:8 16:25 45:16.22.24 48:8 49:11 50:17 53:8 54:22 55:19 56:24 57:1,14,25 61:17 79:14 95:23 96:1,3 99:13 116:21 133:17 137:25

lawsuits

47:13 49:3 55:20 95:24 138:22 139:2

lawyer

90:11 125:20

lawyers

19:10 88:3 134:7 147:19

lavperson

60:18

learn

47:22 62:9 80:12

learned

156:7,11,15,20 158:24

learns

28:25

leave

12:8 37:3 **led** 149:22

legal

18:2 28:23 45:6 46:12 49:17 60:15,16 71:20 91:24,25 158:16

let's

12:8 33:7 44:7 45:24 46:2 51:15 58:5 70:11 76:11 81:8 82:5 83:8,17 91:6 99:11 101:5 103:23 108:15 116:12,15,24 118:19 122:10 123:9 128:24 130:7 133:6,13 135:4 139:20 151:11

letter

96:13 98:12 103:24 114:9 124:21 125:13

letting 27:1,13

light

148:6 149:25

limited

75:3 76:7 117:8

Linda 87:1,3

line

119:12 120:11 124:16

liquidated

141:16

Liquidating

108:17

list 112:25

listed

45:2 108:8 109:2

listing

81:4

lists 97:5

literally

102:17 litigation

32:20 69:14 71:7 80:11 97:21 123:21 133:3 159:22

litigations 83:4

little 39:19 150:7

lives

124:4

living

129:15 138:11

6:5

local 67:17

locate

75:7 163:10

location 158:14

locations

155:1

log

29:8 132:5

logged

31:13 124:20 125:2,4,16, 22 127:24 132:4

long

29:23,24 30:1,2,13 69:10

look

34:5 52:17,18 68:17 125:6,24 126:1,4

looked

52:15 127:18

looking

140:3

looks

82:7 141:8 142:20

loss

75:3,23 76:8,11,13,18 77:15

losses

75:2 76:6

lot

68:19 154:25

lump 80:22 lunch

122:3,5,21,25 125:3,15 127:18 152:10

lung

98:4

М

M-o-e-I-I-e-r

158:5

ma'am

70:17 119:13 120:10,13

machinery

110:9

magistrate

91:5 92:18 134:1 144:21 147:16 149:13 150:11

Magnesia

53:10 54:23 56:11 61:18 100:10

magnitude

20:12 21:6 133:15

mail

126:21 153:16

maintained

21:18 64:14

major

110:14

Mansour

108:6

manufacturer

79:4,5 121:13

manufacturers

53:14,20 55:5 77:24 78:2,20 79:1 121:23

161:7

Manville

111:4 141:6

March

142:10

Marilyn

6:3,15 7:16,22 81:21,22 155:25 159:16

Marino

7:13 150:14

marked

51:16,17 81:11 85:12 87:15 94:14,15,16,17,18, 19,20,21 118:18 121:2 136:6 139:19 140:22 141:4,13,21 142:1,6,16 143:1,6,11,15,19,23 144:4,8 145:8,12,15,20,

i14

24 146:3,7 Martillotta

96:14 98:13 99:6 100:20 105:15

Master

96:22 114:16,20

material

60:23 70:5 75:23 89:3,8 120:21 159:2

materials

113:14 153:11

matter

6:4 8:14,15,24,25 9:6 12:3 36:14 39:3 45:7 48:3,4 79:10 90:19 91:2, 18 92:13,17 97:23 144:21 147:13,16 149:13

mean

8:15 19:25 36:10 44:3 54:17 55:7 69:6 74:11 76:2 117:19

means

54:21 55:8 66:13 71:10, 19 72:7,15 74:9 75:24

meant

57:15 58:8,19

mediation

154:9

medical 98:20

meet

18:11

meeting

28:8,12 29:12,21,23 30:1,5,9,13 31:2,6 33:8 39:20 41:7 57:4 62:23 63:3,12,15,24,25 64:3,6, 11 69:9 126:18,20,22,25 153:16,17

meetings

57:13 58:10 63:14,18,21

i15 64:7 69:4 127:8 **Mines** nearly months 99:21 114:19 98:12 99:8 152:18 member minute morning necessarily 39:7 70:15 7:24,25 87:3 members minutes 8:7,8,21,23 11:17 26:6,9 mother need 50:3,5 54:5 71:12 118:8 28:5,7 41:25 42:5,6,12 81:17 8:6 9:1,3,4 10:18 11:3, 43:11,24 44:4,5 75:1 18,20,24 12:14,15 14:18, 131:14 155:16 misleading 76:3 115:11 116:16,17 20,22,24 15:1,5 16:5,15 74:24 needs 134:9 25:7 26:9 44:24 45:4,19 46:5 misrepresentation 46:17 48:14 51:13 57:11 Members' 43:8 58:10 77:3 78:19 98:3 negotiated 74:6,23 100:13 103:22 121:22 56:20 misrepresentations memories 135:21 141:10 160:5,20 60:23 89:2.7 negotiating 40:20.23 mother's 35:8 53:14,21 55:6 70:22 mistake memory 12:8,12,23 13:15 24:24 45:20 neither 40:4 40:8 55:19 67:7 75:25 127:23 mistaken 76:14 77:15,22 78:20 mental 79:24 90:6 99:13 114:2 66:16 never 149:2,4 136:20 158:16 161:13 36:19 37:7,15 44:14,17 Moddrell mention 50:18 94:9 115:19,25 **Motions** 112:12 137:12 116:18,20 117:11 118:2, 109:23 3,11 120:24 139:4 154:1 Moeller mentioned multiple 158:4 12:23 15:11 19:22 44:19 new 20:9,10 6:6 92:14,15 114:20 51:19 55:15 61:7 67:18 Mogul 125:13 126:14 135:5 99:23 127:3,5,20 129:17 Ν 150:19 132:16 141:15 mom night meso 11:16 14:15 26:5 47:15 name 154:25 117:8.10 56:23 61:25 102:18 8:10 101:9 121:13,22 106:12,13 110:20 123:17 mesothelioma nominal 136:15 157:9 158:11,12 129:15 138:11 144:17 13:7 98:3,6 160:6,25 61:18 159:23 mom's normal name's 35:14 104:13 62:21 68:8 125:18.19 151:24 152:4 159:20 126:14 monetary note named 42:20 76:6,21 Michael 85:6 45:19 88:21 125:17 6:14 71:15 91:20 150:14 money 126:9 notes 13:4,15,18,25 14:1 17:2, microphone 31:6,7,8,21,25 32:2,4,6, names 5,8,11,17 26:2,4 34:22 155:13 15 33:7 69:3,5,7,11,13, 28:10 29:22 35:1,9,21 36:11,21 16 126:16,18 147:24 Mike 37:10,13 38:1,6 39:15 naming 21:21 41:25 42:7,10,12,19 notice 53:10 56:11 114:17 127:1 43:12,25 44:10 45:4,21 miles narrative 46:5,19 47:2,22 49:4,12, noticed 110:6,16 137:2 22 50:1,13,20,25 51:6 114:15 million 66:14,17,19 75:13,15,17, nature 111:3,5 116:15 21 76:1 77:14 103:13 November 23:11 106:18 116:13,19 117:9, 53:5 56:23 57:9 95:12 millions nay 10 118:14 120:5 96:9 98:11 141:9 142:3 111:1 87:24 143:21 monitor mind

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York 1-800-325-3376 www.deposition.com

number

6:7 20:11,21 49:8 68:21

Neal

87:1,3

6:8

50:6 149:9

83:18 99:14 137:1 139:16,17

numerous

47:13 114:16 155:2

0

object

107:16,22,23

objection

13:20 17:13 20:22 21:13 22:12 25:8 27:4 28:15 35:10 36:7,24 37:1 38:2, 10,25 39:18 42:2,13 43:13 44:1,11 45:9 47:25 49:5 54:9,14,16 60:4 65:18 71:24 72:12 76:19 77:17 79:7 89:18 90:15, 24 104:6 105:10 106:21 107:9,20 115:5 116:1,5 117:13 119:25 130:17, 20,22 144:18 147:9 148:19 152:6 154:4

objections

21:23 83:11 130:20 144:22 159:4

obligations

8:4

obtain

70:24 101:14

obviously

98:24 114:22 158:22,25

occur

14:12 29:23

occurred

131:15

October

Octobe 87:22

offer

36:6 140:10

offered

34:22 35:1 36:1 38:16, 19,22

offers

66:6

office

18:20 24:3 28:8 62:22 63:5 68:9 69:2 126:24

133:10 138:16 162:12

offices

127:2

oh

40:17 71:14 83:14 95:1 96:5 109:16 114:12 121:19 124:6 129:18 151:17 155:14 158:1

Ohio

9:4 88:19 142:18 158:6

Ohio-venued

88:20

okay

9:24 12:6,8,11 13:2 16:12,13,14 17:1,5,16,20 18:15,17 20:19,21 21:1 24:9,16 31:22,24 32:13 33:12 37:2,4,5,17 41:20, 23 42:9 44:6 46:16 47:16,20 48:21,24 49:1, 19,25 50:12,16 51:11,15 52:21,24 53:2,3 54:3,10 55:1,12,25 57:3,25 58:6 59:14 60:21 62:23 64:5 65:20 66:23 67:10,11,13, 25 68:3.23 69:1 70:2.8.9. 11,14,16,18,19 72:17 74:4,17,19 75:12 76:16 79:15 81:1,5 82:2,7,21 83:18 84:21 85:14,21,25 86:23 87:4,23,25 88:9,18 90:2,13 92:12 93:1,7 94:11 95:2,4,6,9,10 96:9 97:3 98:16,17 99:6,11 100:16,18 101:5,7 103:1, 10,11,24,25 104:4,11,18 105:1,3,13 107:2,14 109:13,15,16,21 110:3,5, 12 111:15,16,17,23 112:2,3,17 113:20 114:12,13 115:16,22 116:25 117:7 118:10,20, 23,24 119:8,22 120:19 121:5,10,19 122:4,6,7,9, 25 123:8 124:5,7 125:7 126:20 127:8 128:10,11, 13,14,20,25 129:5,18 130:8 131:5,8 132:7,12, 22 136:9,11,24 137:13 139:13,21 140:13 141:12,20 142:9,11,25 144:3 145:7 146:13 148:15,18 149:25 151:7

153:15,23 157:10,13 158:1,11,14 159:9,15 160:3,13 161:11

omissions

60:23 89:3,8

once

27:15 54:19 55:13 56:4 71:12 91:17 92:13 144:19 147:10

ones

151:4 162:10

open

67:16 155:5 158:22

operator

6:10

opinion

41:1

opportunity

36:20

opposed

98:8

order

20:12 21:6 66:8 69:16 94:23 95:7 133:15 145:16

originally 157:2

outlining

19:15

outside

133:22

owed

80:18

Owens

141:22 142:2

owes

45:20

Ρ

p.m. 163:20

page

62:8 73:8 74:2 88:11,12 96:11,17 102:6 105:24 106:7 108:21 109:6 110:4,11,24 111:24 112:18 114:8 119:5 120:8,11 121:6,8 136:24 137:3,21

i16

paid

95:21 105:25 106:9,16 115:11 132:23

paper

68:19

papers

62:16 111:22

paragraph

52:24 53:4 55:10,18 56:1,3,17,22 57:6,22,24 58:23 59:13 62:8 64:19 66:17 70:11,20 73:7 74:3,17 83:9 84:1 88:8,9 98:19 114:14 137:1

paralegals

147:20

Pardon

31:15

part

54:24 55:2 61:18 72:25 115:4 116:3 120:3 121:25 123:15

participate

70:25 73:16,21

participated

72:22,24

particles

113:17

particular

11:15 19:19 25:19 26:6 34:19 35:3 39:3,11 40:10 47:6 56:9 57:1,14 58:9, 23 79:10 80:7 93:16 104:13 116:8 117:16,22, 23 128:22 129:12,22 140:10 146:21,22,23 153:21.25

particularly

61:3 64:22 78:2

parties

133:22 147:14

party

44:16 57:12 102:24 137:2 138:1

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

party's 137:4

passed

67:11 102:18 123:17,24

passing 104:13

pause 54:5

pay

35:16 46:5 49:22 50:19, 24 79:5 103:18

payable 108:6

paying

49:11 103:13

payment 136:13

Pease 73:12

pecuniary

75:2,12,13 76:6

pending 23:7 91:5

Pennsylvania

127:3

people

27:1,14 28:6 29:12 43:20 46:12 50:13 63:21 64:2,7 66:24 77:2 115:2,10,18, 19,24 116:3,17 117:1,11 118:2,11

percent 94:6,7

person

28:22 50:16,17,18,19 56:21 57:6,8 59:7 60:2,7 66:1 73:24 84:16 85:1 102:21 122:1 147:4

personal

12:18,20 14:25 15:2 26:16,17,22,25 28:19 31:7,21,25 32:2 62:6,7 65:8 83:11 84:2,8,9,14, 16 86:14,23 87:6 89:23 90:1 139:24 142:2.7 156:7,12,14,16,21 157:4, 14,17,18,23 161:9

personally

11:8 24:20 29:20 33:4 56:14 59:24 60:11 66:2 75:18 94:5 104:3 161:5

persons 51:9 83:22

Peter

6:21 7:5 156:4,17,24 157:19

phone

126:21 147:4 150:16 153:16,18

phrase

47:9 70:21 71:9

phrasing 50:7

pile 116:13

pipe

110:16 137:7

pipes 110:7

piping 77:3

place 30:13 45:3

Placitella

6:17,18 30:8,11,17 33:9 36:10 37:25 38:8 62:24 63:3 64:11 69:22 86:3 115:2 124:19 126:15,23 127:15 130:10 132:11,13 133:9 161:25 162:7,11, 16,20,23 163:1,5,12

Placitella's

19:1 30:9 93:14 94:2 127:2

plaintiff

9:6 25:15 46:6 53:6 61:17 62:9 64:20,25 66:5,11 73:12,13,14 81:13,22 83:11 84:2 160:1

Plaintiff's

64:20

plaintiffs

6:14,18 8:15 14:15,18

29:19 39:6 65:9 72:20.21 74:25 76:3 78:14 87:19 88:21 96:24 97:3,9 98:23 101:15,17 102:6 108:8, 23 114:20 116:8 125:17 126:9 162:6,10

plaintiffs'

74:5 88:1 93:18 109:23

plant

110:6,15 112:8 119:11,

pleadings

41:2

Pleas

53:8 58:1 109:1

please

6:11 7:8 21:23 27:10 49:16 50:12 52:25 54:2 56:8 70:1 71:14 72:4 87:17 89:25 92:6,9 98:21 101:9,22 113:10

plenty

159:3

Plibrico 141:14

point

14:10 60:1 85:19 112:3 159:7

pointing

56:10

portion 66:6 92:11

position

149:11 possesses

83:11 84:2

possession 150:24

possibility 25:15 37:8

possibly

128:19 129:6

potential 87:2

potentially 53:13,20 55:5 pounds

111:1,3,5

Powerpoint

30:23

practice

53:11,18 55:3 56:2,12, 15,18 57:16 59:16 151:25 152:4

i17

practices

57:19 58:3 59:1,20,24 60:3,8,17,18 118:4

predecessor 53:9 54:23

predecessors

61:22 predecessors'

71:2

prefer 42:12,15

preparation 18:17,22 41:14,17,21

51:21 prepare

40:19 41:5 101:25

prepared 18:8 82:3 158:23

present

6:16 10:1 18:13,15,16 28:9 39:21 127:9,10

presentation 30:17,21,23

presented 12:17 46:3 129:13

presently 96:8

pretty

69:15 133:2 140:14

previous 25:24

previously

96:23 105:25 106:8 114:18 163:4

prior

28:13 29:13 67:9 82:12 104:12 125:18 135:22

privilege

21:22 22:10,14 27:23 29:8 90:19,20 91:2,18,22 92:14,16 131:2,4,10,12, 14 154:16

privileged

22:22 91:20

privy

12:7 26:19 36:17 37:21 39:7,10 57:2

probabilities

47:5

probably

29:20 52:7 128:17 133:5 136:22 151:2 153:17

probate

67:17 135:14 142:17 145:16

problem

66:18

Procedure

7:19

proceeding

75:5

proceedings

127:6 process

83:19 140:5

processes

149:3.5 150:10

produce

132:6

produced

124:20 125:2,3,16,22 127:24 132:4 144:15 147:7

product

26:8,9,11,12,14 45:3 53:13,20 55:5 79:19,20 144:15,17 147:7 150:2

products

11:4,6 45:18 60:24 64:23 121:14,15 148:8 161:7

proffered

142:18

program

55:4 57:17

programs

53:12,19 56:19 59:17

prohibiting

24:18 93:8

project 110:14

prompt

54:7

proof

48:12

proposed 154:1

prosecute

84:25

prosecuting

75:8

prove

75:8

provide

89:16 91:15

provided

24:4 114:18

providing 90:24

proximate

74:19

purchased

110:25 111:3

purely

87:6

pursuant

7:18

pursuing

95:18

7:10 26:24 29:8 47:11 62:17 87:16 107:11 108:11 116:24 125:8 126:8 140:15 153:12

putting

69:8 71:23 72:12

Q

qualified

46:13

question

13:13,24 16:11 17:19 27:9 29:10 32:12 43:19 44:6 45:12 46:8,9,11 47:4,5,7,11 48:1,9,22 49:17,18,23,25 50:4,6,8, 9,22 54:1,3 58:4,23 59:23 71:20 72:2,5 75:20 83:13 90:18 92:4,6,10 107:11 116:23 119:9,12, 15 120:16,19,21 124:24 137:24 138:25 144:25 145:4 146:19 150:6

156:10,19 questioning

154:23 155:4

questions

54:8 58:8,19 117:19 148:7,10,25 150:2,9 155:9,11 156:2 158:1,19, 22 159:1,14 161:18,19, 22 163:16

quickly

140:15

quite

68:19

quo

66:9

R

R.T.

10:19 100:4,6 103:6 104:1 108:14,15

raising

132:9

raw

111:1,3,5 113:14 119:15, 16 137:7

read

9:25 10:1 55:22 58:18 66:16 88:17 92:5,9,11 101:10

reads

83:9

real

119:10,23

really

17:12,18 20:18 24:15 31:5 32:9 48:4 49:7,9 58:4 93:23 116:22,23 118:6 126:6 129:10

i18

139:17 161:17

reason

13:10,18 14:2 22:11,15 46:25 49:10 91:14 97:25 107:16,22,23 120:3 124:19 137:18

reasonable

36:1

reasonably

60:22 71:1

reasons

22:18 79:25 133:20 161:24

recall

10:3,5,6,9,12,13,14,16 19:2,4,16 24:20 29:17 30:12 49:7,9 63:17 64:9, 10 66:3 67:4 79:22 87:21 93:16 103:16 129:11,12, 19 131:22 132:19,20,24 133:4 136:19 138:24 141:3 151:19,20,21

receive

35:20 36:22 37:10 47:22 68:22 70:5 154:3 162:3

153:18 160:4,11 163:6

received

21:1,11 33:6 39:24 40:16 41:12 66:20 67:18,21 68:8,21 127:1 133:16 139:4 140:12 154:24,25

receiving

27:25 41:25 61:20 69:2

recess

85:9 122:14 155:22

recognize

11:12 51:22 95:15 140:2 141:2,17 145:10,13,17, 22 146:1,5,9

recollect

128:18 132:2

i19

recollection

62:20 93:13 101:6 102:12,16 113:20,24 121:21 129:21 131:22

recommend

70:23

recommendation

147:20

recommended

107:5

record

6:2 54:15,16 71:24 72:13 85:7,11 92:11 99:10 113:12 122:11,12,16 151:12 155:20,24 162:1 163:19

recorded

6:3

records

98:20 106:8 151:2,3,15, 18,21,24,25 152:3

recover

120:4 129:24

recovered

134:19

recovery

130:3,13

refer

57:23 121:6

reference

96:18

referenced

56:3 60:3 69:3

references

111:22

referred

14:10 120:23 157:12 158:15

-f---:---

referring

56:10 96:2

refresh

40:4,20 101:5 102:12,15 113:20,24 121:21

refreshed

40:23

regard

35:13 132:20 148:11

regarding

15:4 18:21 25:6,21 33:21,25 52:8 56:18 57:1 60:24 84:10,12 92:21 98:17 109:11 123:3,5 131:16,25 135:12 142:18 146:25 149:8,11,16 150:10 154:8 161:12

Reindel

7:5 156:3,13 157:16

reiterate

127:17

relate

32:10,16

related

161:13

relating

32:7 40:8,10,11 64:14 74:22 140:24 160:17

relation

146:20

relationship

19:5,7,9,23 28:13 90:5 104:15 132:16

relationships

29:13

release

101:8,13 105:22 106:5 139:24 140:12.24 141:22

releases

23:25 24:5,6 101:21

106:3

relevance

22:25 23:3

relevant

35:12 69:18 80:17

relied

71:1 86:10

relies

27:6,17 28:17 53:25 56:6 72:1

rely

49:24 60:15 146:16,19

relying

15:7 60:22 89:20

remember

28:9 29:22 40:5 63:11 66:21,22 78:3 82:24 87:24 103:11 104:24 108:12,15,16 109:17 115:12 139:7

removal

110:15

remove

112:20,23

33:8 91:15 118:1

repeat

13:24 29:10 38:4 45:12 47:9 50:9

replace

75:6

reporter

7:7,8 92:5

represent

6:12 8:6 9:6 98:14 159:20

representation

14:3,12,14,17 15:15 26:7 42:21,22,24

representations

14:5,25 15:18,19,20,25 25:6 61:8 71:3 88:23 91:9

representative

8:1,5 11:9 18:3,4,5 19:6 24:22,23 26:1 28:2 31:3 34:25 35:6 37:17 39:9 41:15,24 43:22 57:22 60:1 61:2,15 67:6 88:21 91:12 94:4 114:25 115:24 116:12 117:21,22

representatives

28:11 34:3 38:6 63:23 64:3 69:4 127:9.14

represented

14:7,9 19:2,21 28:22 29:7 73:4 88:20 114:20

representing

6:14 31:4 36:15

represents

20:1

reps

63:25 64:8

request

83:12 84:3 86:5 127:18

requested

92:11 152:13

requirement

127:20

reserve

161:23

reserved

163:21

reside

158:9

residences 86:21,25

resides

158:10 resolution

141:5

resolve

98:22 99:7

resolved

147:17 149:15 150:12

respect

10:17 31:24 37:6 58:12 67:5 76:11 86:17 129:16 153:24 156:10 157:13 163:14

respond

27:10,20 28:20 43:14,16 56:8 72:4 77:19 79:8 89:25 92:8 133:25

responding

86:12 153:7

response 84:1 85:15

responses

83:3 109:23

responsible 49:21 53:13,20 55:5

responsive

67:14

83:12 84:3

rest

140:13

restored

66:9

result

89:1

retained

160:16,20,24

retaining 144:22

retired

160:12.13

return

66:6,13

returned 66:17

reveal

54:4

revealing

27:9,11,19 29:9 30:16 34:9 54:2 56:8 72:3 89:24 92:23

review

40:2,6 41:20 51:24 57:19 58:21 60:13 69:23 70:7, 15 82:8,9 85:19 122:23 124:9 140:8 152:11,23 153:5 157:9

reviewed

41:5,16,18 51:20,21 55:9,15 58:7 69:20 82:11 83:22 93:18 126:7 136:3 151:5.6 152:13 157:11

reviewing

58:12 60:13

revise

101:9

rife

117:5

right

9:22 16:7,16,18 19:8 20:17 25:4 30:22 32:21 37:18,20 42:10 43:1,2, 11,20 52:9,11,13 58:15, 17 60:20 68:7 70:10,20 73:9 74:2 76:4 77:5,7 78:7,8,9,11,13,15,17 80:3,14 81:3,7,8,12 86:8 87:4 88:2,4,6 90:7 95:11, 12 99:9 102:12 104:1 105:16,20 107:2 108:13 110:11 111:14 112:5,6 114:11 117:3,6 119:17 121:18 123:10,18 131:21 133:13 136:10,25 140:13,17,19,21 141:12 142:21 151:9 161:18 162:7,20

Robert

112:11

role

11:9 41:23 43:21 160:1

room

39:21

roughly 98:12 139:13

Rule

87:19

ruled

159:4

rules

7:18 22:5,9,15

run

150:3

S

Sam

96:14 105:14,21

Sarah

7:7

savvy

133:2

Saw

82:3 133:17 157:9

saying

10:12 12:1,2 24:25 29:3 115:17 116:7 117:23 130:6 151:19 152:12

says

58:1 59:15 60:21 61:14, 16 65:9 66:5 73:8 74:5, 12,19 76:3 84:2 88:13 96:21 98:18 102:9 105:24 106:7,10,15 108:5,10,21 110:3 111:9 112:17,23 114:14 115:21 120:13 121:11 131:19 137:6,18,19,24 138:4

scheme

62:11,19 63:2

scope

133:24

search

32:14

second

12:10,21 51:18 54:24 55:2 58:13 83:9 84:1 96:17 102:5 109:7 114:8,

see

32:15 46:9,10 47:3,11 53:16 58:22 61:5 62:14 65:2,11 71:4 73:9,18 74:7 75:10 77:2 80:17 81:8,25 82:2,5 83:24 84:4 85:17 88:15 89:11 96:5,15,18,19 97:1,9,11, 14 99:2,15,18,25 100:2, 4,10,14,24 101:5,19 102:3.7.10 104:24 106:10,16,17 109:1,3 110:11,18 111:7,12 112:8,15 113:2,18 114:23,24 115:20 116:22 117:20 118:6 119:20 120:25 121:16 123:12 124:13 126:2 128:2 133:6 136:16 137:10 138:2,5 139:25 140:3 142:4,12 143:4,13,17,21, 25 144:5,10 146:12

seeing

132:24 136:19 159:25

160:3 162:4,6

seeking

13:14,18,25 14:1,6 16:1, 8,14,19,23

seen

87:20 101:1 136:18

sent

123:16 162:1,2,14,24 163:1,4

sentence

53:5 54:17 56:17 57:6

60:21 61:12,16,23 64:19 66:5 70:22

i20

separate

93:20 137:3

separately

108:25

September

136:13 141:19

serve

101:25

service 82:6

set

22:19 61:3 81:23 109:17

settle

35:1,3,8 36:21 37:8,9 38:23 39:15 77:9 83:20 147:1,21

settled

38:7 66:3 72:25 77:6,10, 16,23,25 78:20,24 79:17, 24,25 80:13 84:10 85:3 106:8 114:1 135:5 139:17 148:2

settlement

34:13,16,18 36:1,6 37:13 39:4 43:23 53:12,19 55:4 56:19 57:17 59:17 61:19, 25 64:24 65:5 66:7,9 71:10 72:15 73:1,17,22 100:22 102:20 105:19 107:5,14,24 108:5,10,22 123:4 125:10 133:22 141:15,16 142:19 143:2, 7,16,20,24 144:9,12,13 145:9,17,21,25 146:4,8, 21,22,23,24 147:6 149:8 153:9,19,24 161:16

settlements

23:8,11,12 24:4,19 35:13 38:15,17 59:1 66:24 70:23 72:19,23 73:5 78:6 133:13,23 134:6,10,11 144:20,21 146:15,17,20 147:10,14 149:12,16 150:10,19 154:2

settling

66:23 76:25 78:12 79:2 101:16 102:2,13 107:16 109:17 148:6,9

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

seven

102:1,13,20 103:8,21 104:19,23 109:18 111:3, 5 112:19 114:1 123:4 125:10

severity

98:2

short

94:23

shouldn't

118:13

show

30:25 39:23 47:1 78:19 81:2 109:7,10 123:1 134:25 136:7

showing

51:17 103:4 113:13

shown

41:8

sign

23:23 24:5 82:13 85:23

signature

163:21

signed

23:24 24:1 83:2 140:4 142:14

significant

113:16 137:4

signing

82:24 140:6

similarly

73:14 74:12

sisters

124:4 158:10

sit

10:14 16:25 17:20 18:6 36:19 37:7 39:13 77:13 91:12 105:3 107:15 130:9 135:16 153:20

situated

73:15

situation

115:23 150:4

six

125:17

Sloane

7:5 156:4,17,24 157:5,20

Sloane's

157:8

slow

39:19

soapstone

120:14 121:15

Soapstone/talc

112:7

somebody

13:3 38:19 44:7 50:23 59:7 61:11 80:18 91:13 117:7 124:8

somebody's

29:7

soon

101:21 133:11

sorry

39:19 83:15,16 88:11 119:3,5 124:25 143:12 145:3 150:17

sort

68:24 78:4

sorted

130:16 134:1

sought

20:5

sound

20:17

source

15:7

Southern

103:7 105:4,7 108:16 109:11 112:21,24 113:4,

7,15,21,25 114:4 117:4

Southern's

117:2

speak

18:21 150:15

speaking

21:23 22:12 130:19

specific

37:10 39:15 62:17 148:7,

10 150:2 151:20

specifically

9:19 30:19 63:19 64:16, 18 66:2 68:4 101:18

108:10

specification

112:19

speculate

161:9

speculation

46:7

speculative

47:3 48:11

spelled 158:4

spite

60:13

spoke

18:19 25:20

spoken

18:18 28:6

spoliation

64:21 74:13 75:9

spot

81:15

spouses

108:23

St

108:17

staff

152:14

Standard

101:11

start 33:7 48:10 76:11 83:8,17

started

123:9 155:13

93:12

starts

56:22

state

30:6 54:15

stated

22:11 39:2 42:17

statement

121:7 137:2 138:15

statements

16:20 17:9,23 74:24

89:3,8

states

6:5 113:14 137:1

stating

54:11

status

66:9

steam

110:7

step 58:5

stipulate

121:12

stipulated 121:11

stipulating

129:5

stop

71:16 72:9

stops

48:9

Subject 83:10 88:17

submission 82:12 92:17

submissions

22:19 135:17

submitted 23:15 135:22 138:20

substance

32:18 64:12

substantive

47:8

succinctly

22:11

sued

46:4 47:23 48:7 49:20 50:23 65:15 139:16

suffered

75:14,18 suggesting

sum

35:9 36:21 37:9,11 39:15

Summary

109:24

summer

39:15

Summit

142:17

supplied

113:15,22

supplier

61:19 112:25 121:14

suppliers

53:14,21

supposed

7:10 152:18

supposition

39:2 117:19

sure

13:25 16:4 20:11,16,24 21:5 24:2 29:11 32:19 36:14 38:5 45:13 50:11 63:17,24 64:13 69:6 75:24 86:3 87:21 93:23 124:3 137:17 138:10,11 139:12 155:18 157:3 160:22,23

surprise

107:8

surprised

107:3,12,13

surrounding

65:5

swear

7:8

sworn

7:19

system

32:20 68:24 69:5,13

Т

T-a-I-c

120:23

take

30:13 31:6 35:21 38:17

51:6 65:25 70:15 81:17, 19 85:6 94:11 123:21 125:24 155:17 157:22

taken

19:4 65:1,6,9 85:9 89:1 122:14 155:22

takes

90:21

talc

9:8,16 10:7,10,11,15 11:13,14,18,24 12:1,3, 14,24 13:2 14:8 15:1 25:6 44:8,15 46:18,22 60:24 61:1,19 64:23 77:23 78:1,20,21 79:1,4, 5,6 80:3,9,14,18,21,24 89:4,5,9,10 96:25 97:4 98:14,22 99:3 100:10,22 101:12 102:1,13,20 103:5,7,8,12,13,14,15, 17,21 104:2,19 105:4,7, 8,19 106:15,18,19 107:6, 7,16,17,24 108:11,12,16, 18 109:11,14,18,20,21 111:21 112:13,19,21,22, 24,25 113:4,5,6,15,16, 22,23,25 114:4,5,22 115:3,4,18,20,25 116:9, 10,18,20 117:2,4,7,11,18 118:2,3,11,12 120:14,16, 23 121:23 125:10 137:12 149:23

Talc/soapstone

111:9 112:9

talcum

120:15,20

talk

50:3,5 53:4 57:17,24 59:7 60:6,7 87:13 102:22 122:1 126:12 135:4 140:7,9

talked

42:24 84:6 86:21 93:11 115:10 156:5

talking

25:24 43:19,21 46:11 50:2 59:9,11 75:22,23 96:8 107:13 117:24 120:20,21 150:15

talks

55:10 110:24

tanks

110:8

telephone

7:14

tell

9:15,19 31:17 64:13 127:20 133:15 134:18 140:5 151:25 152:4 158:2

tells

35:15

term

72:14 78:5

terms

11:9 12:22 15:11,23 27:1 28:5 34:22 41:23 42:9 51:5 57:20 68:10 75:25 79:15 86:8,12 97:23 102:19 128:21 130:12 132:15 146:15 153:19

testified

7:20 10:3 76:24 112:13

testify

93:3

testifying

93:8

testimony

122:20

thank

101:8 124:11 159:10

Thanks

155:19

there's

20:9 35:15 45:16 59:16 70:21 71:18,20 80:25 96:18 98:12 113:4,5 125:17 137:12

they're

8:22 36:14 45:24 69:12 70:6 81:12 95:3 117:17 124:2,3 129:6

thing

35:24 78:4 84:22

things

40:5 42:9 86:25 126:1 129:25 149:9

think

9:8 11:3,6 13:9,12,14 17:25 19:4 28:10 33:4 35:7 37:21,24 38:5 42:11,17 43:11,18 44:10 45:7,11,20,25 46:4,12, 18,20 47:3,21 49:10 50:13,15,19 51:2,19 59:6 61:7 70:4,6,9 76:13 78:25 79:2 80:21,22,24 81:12,16 84:6,22 86:6 89:13 90:11 91:14 95:1, 3,25 97:19 107:15,19,23 114:11 115:2 116:17 117:9 118:1,4 124:2,3 126:7 129:14 130:15 136:7 157:11 158:15 159:25 160:24

thinking

50:7

Thomas

7:11 61:3,15 88:13 159:20,23

thought

75:20 150:9

thousand

148:2

three

20:12 21:6 28:10 40:18, 23 41:11,18,19 51:20 98:12 99:8

time

6:8 18:16 25:20 41:12 58:18 62:1 63:1,15 67:14,16 68:23 75:22 80:8,10 82:4 85:8,11 90:20 111:2 122:3,13,16 127:1 142:24 146:21,22, 23 155:21,24 158:19 160:10 161:2,19,23

times

68:22

timing

126:1

Tire 97:12

today

6:10,16 7:7 10:14 16:25 17:21 18:6,18 36:19 37:7 39:13 40:20 77:13 91:12

105:3 107:15 130:9 135:16 152:21 153:7,20 154:23 155:2,5 158:20 163:17

today's

6:9 41:6

told

9:20 11:13,14,15,18,22, 24 12:1,24 13:2 25:14 26:21 33:2 34:16,21,25 64:16,17 79:11 80:1 89:15 154:1

Tom

19:3,21 56:25 62:13 101:21 114:14 136:15

top

31:17 123:4 134:21

topic

65:7 126:25

topics

155:6

total

101:16 102:14 107:7

totally

21:19

track

162:12

Travelers

140:25

tremolite

113:17

trial

65:1,6,10,16,21,23,25 66:4

trouble

115:16

true

15:5,13 16:17,21,22 33:13 47:14 84:15 89:13 91:11 98:10 135:19,25 136:4 138:17,19

trust

23:17 24:1,3 90:9 108:6 133:23 135:18 139:11,24 141:14,23 142:3,8

Trustee

108:17

trusts

23:15 135:4,6,13,22 138:21 139:1,13

Truth

23:21

truthful

23:19,20,21 26:7,10,13

try

54:7 90:2 91:6 94:22 95:7 150:18

trying

49:15 109:19 149:7 152:25 154:6

Tunis

7:9,11 159:13,17,20 162:14 163:13

turn

52:24 60:21 73:7 74:2,17 88:7 96:17 100:17 102:5 103:1 105:12 108:3 109:22 110:3 113:8,9,11 119:5 120:8 121:6 133:13 136:24 137:21

Turning

62:8 64:19 114:8

two

21:6 30:15 63:4,6,18 79:1 102:17 148:1 155:1

typical

74:5

U

Uh-huh

47:17 53:1 63:9 73:10 76:10 83:25 85:22 88:14 96:10 99:5,22,24 101:24 105:18 106:14 109:5 110:2 112:4 116:14 120:12 124:12,15,17 136:14 140:1 141:1 142:5,21 143:14 157:1

Uh-uh

87:5

underlying

55:18 61:24 64:15 68:11 74:23 75:4 76:8,12 79:15 83:21 84:10,11,12,24

87:9 91:8 108:1 126:6

understand

8:1 9:20 23:17 32:12 39:3 48:2 55:23,24 56:1 58:3 97:22 127:19 128:23 129:1 130:6 135:16

understanding

8:4 19:17,19 45:14 47:7 48:3,20 50:21 59:22 71:9 77:8,10 78:23 79:21 80:6 97:25 102:19 108:1 115:1,16 128:9,20,22 129:23 132:22 149:20, 24.25

understands

91.8

understood

78:5 79:16 119:22 149:18

unequivocally

30:7 64:10 82:25

uninvolved

51:13

United

6:5 142:7

urge

101:14

use

155:16

useful

82:21

usually

67:23

٧

validity 159:4

_

value

76:21 141:16

Vanderbilt

10:19 100:4,6 103:6 104:2 108:15

various

21:19 22:19 66:24 68:10, 22 120:5 146:15

verification

82:14,17,24 83:6 85:23 86:2 163:8,10,11

verifications

82:23 83:2 133:8 154:24 162:1,5,19

versus

6:4

victim

62:10 117:10

video

6:2,8,9

VIDEOGRAPHER

6:1 7:6 85:7,10 122:12, 15 155:12,20,23 163:18

view

53:12,19

vis-a-vis

24:6

voluntarily

61:17

voluntary

103:5

W

W-o-o-l-r-i-d-g-e

158:13

wait

159:1

waiting

106:3

waiving

83:10

Walker

142:24

want

11:10,11 22:7 26:4 38:23 42:7 45:4 47:2 49:22 50:9,24 51:4,5 61:11 91:15 94:25 109:7 116:10 121:6 153:4 163:8

wanted

24:13 55:23 57:15 59:5 73:24

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - New York

Ware

73:14

wasn't

49:20 83:14 124:20 125:1,16

way

9:1 11:5,17 18:25 26:24 32:7 46:3 47:10 48:7 50:6,7 51:24 66:16,23 67:5 70:1 72:24 90:2 91:6 93:12 101:1 107:11 111:18 116:24 139:9 144:12 153:8

we'd

32:14

we'll

23:13 46:20 81:1,19 85:6 125:23 126:11 133:10

we're

6:1 7:10 9:23 12:19 54:24 77:2 91:2 94:22 96:7,11 107:13 109:6,19 117:24 122:5,15 144:22 150:8 153:21 155:23 158:21,25 163:18

we've

91:6 127:18 152:19,20 154:25 158:23

week

66:4 114:15

weeks

100:16 102:17

weren't

34:23,25 64:2 115:12 158:25

what's

8:4 9:10 19:17 26:20 34:9,10 51:17 55:3 57:15 75:21 82:13 97:25 129:3

White

112:21,24

who's

35:16 91:13

William

7:4

Williams

6:4,15 13:9 19:10 25:16 29:18 37:6 40:11 58:12 88:5 92:22 93:22 129:9, 16 132:16 153:8,20,25 159:21

willing

35:16 66:6,13 93:3 127:5

withdrawn

9:19 14:22 18:7 27:24 28:4 34:8 36:25 38:20 39:12 48:17,19,25 50:16 52:15 57:21 58:11,24 59:12 64:5 65:13 67:5 73:3 80:10 81:21 83:16 84:8 86:11 97:19 112:22 127:12 133:1 134:16 139:9

withholding

74:21

witness

13:23 17:16 22:9,13 23:2 43:15 65:20 72:10 87:16 90:22 94:13 144:23 148:15,17 158:23 159:15

witnesses

87:2

won't

64:9 82:25

Woolridge

158:13

words

32:17 56:9 64:12

work

96:24 97:3 124:7

work-product

148:16,22 149:7

worked

9:4,11 44:14,17 45:4 77:4 110:20

working

97:15 160:10

works

117:7

wouldn't

37:23 45:6 69:19 90:14 91:15 94:7 104:8 119:4 137:15 138:10 150:3 153:14

write

137:2

writing

93:21 132:25

written

19:14 26:20 93:7,13 119:17 127:14,19 128:12 132:17,19 150:22

wrong

26:23 46:25 47:1,23 48:7 50:2,24 70:9 151:11 156:9

wrongdoing

156:13,17,21

Υ

yea

87:24

veah

17:16 29:25 35:4 44:14 48:18 63:9 69:7 75:16 81:16,18 95:3 100:7 118:13 128:7 130:21 131:13 148:17 151:9 154:17

year

82:7

years

30:3 31:18,20 32:21 71:7 76:25 90:3 91:14 95:19 97:14,20 112:19 117:8 120:4 133:3 134:14 151:23

yep

. 83:19 88:10 104:22 119:7

vesterday

18:11,19 39:20

yet alone

126:8

you'd

15:12 140:16

you'll

69:25

you're

8:1 13:10,14 15:7 16:1, 14,19 17:2 18:4 22:11 23:1 24:13 28:18 35:7 37:17 43:19 46:11,12 59:9 66:13 79:2 89:20 116:7 117:21 120:20 129:24 130:6,18,25 131:5 147:10 149:6,10 150:15 152:22,25 153:11 154:6

i24

you've

32:20 36:19 37:7 47:13 49:2 50:23 65:15 66:14, 24 67:6,14,18 76:24 83:2 90:2 91:7 93:2 95:18 120:4 125:19 133:2,16 134:13,18 138:20 139:4 151:22 156:6,11,15,20